arXiv:1304.5867v1 [math.AG] 22 Apr 2013

EQUIVARIANT CYCLES AND CANCELLATION FOR MOTIVIC COHOMOLOGY

J. HELLER, M. VOINEAGU, AND P. A. ØSTVÆR

Abstract. We introduce a Bredon motivic cohomology theory for smooth schemes with an action by a finite group over a field. These are defined via complexes of equivariant correspondences using the equivariant Nisnevich topology. We generalize the theory of presheaves with transfers to the equivariant setting, culminating in an equivariant version of Voevodsky's Cancellation Theorem.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Schemes with G-action 6
3. Equivariant Nisnevich topology 11
4. Presheaves with equivariant transfers 18
5. Bredon motivic cohomology 21
6. Relative equivariant Cartier divisors 26
7. Equivariant rigidity 30
8. Equivariant triples 32
9. Homotopy invariance of cohomology 42
10. Cancellation Theorem 50
Acknowledgements. 54
References 54

1. Introduction

The theory of motivic cohomology for smooth schemes over a base field is a well established one. It is a powerful computational tool with ramifications for many branches of algebra, algebraic and arithmetic geometry: quadratic forms, algebraic K-theory, special values of L-functions, to name a few. The success of this theory is best exemplified by its fundamental role in Voevodsky's resolution of the Milnor Conjectures [Voe03].

The purpose of this article is to generalize Suslin and Voevodsky's construction of motivic cohomology [VSF00], especially Voevodsky's machinery of presheaves

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14F42, 19E15; Secondary 14C15, 55N91.

The first author received partial support from DFG grant HE6740/1-1.

The second author received partial support from JSPS Grant in Aid (B), No. 23740006.

The third author received partial support from the Leiv Eriksson mobility programme and RCN ES479962.

with transfers [Voe00], to the equivariant setting of smooth schemes over a field equipped with an action of a finite group G (and $|G|$ coprime to $\mathrm{char}(k)$).

Using Totaro's construction [Tot99] of an algebro-geometric version of the classifying space of an algebraic group, Edidin-Graham [EG98] have constructed an equivariant version of Bloch's higher Chow groups. This theory has proved to be interesting, amongst other reasons, for its connection to equivariant algebraic K-theory and the equivariant Riemann-Roch theorem [EG00, EG08]. These equivariant higher Chow groups are an algebro-geometric version of topological Borel cohomology. Our construction follows a different route altogether and results in a more refined *Bredon style* cohomology theory. These Bredon motivic cohomology groups form a new set of invariants for smooth schemes with G-action. As a first indication that the Bredon motivic cohomology theory we construct is a refinement of equivariant higher Chow groups, we note that the former is equipped with a grading by the representations of G while the latter is graded by integers. In fact in Section 5.3 we construct a comparison map from our Bredon motivic cohomology to the equivariant higher Chow groups. This comparison map will be the focus of a sequel paper, where we establish a Beilinson-Lichtenbaum type comparison theorem relating the two theories.

We define our theory via hypercohomology in the equivariant Nisnevich topology on smooth G-schemes. The equivariant Nisnevich topology was introduced in [HKO11], where it was used to study Hermitian K-theory. A surjective, equivariant étale map $f : Y \to X$ is an equivariant Nisnevich cover if for each point $x \in X$ there is a point $y \in Y$ such that f induces an isomorphism $k(x) \cong k(y)$ on residue fields and an isomorphism $G_y \cong G_x$ on *set-theoretic* stabilizers. A different generalization of the Nisnevich topology was introduced in [Her], the fixed point Nisnevich topology. A cover in this topology is as above but with the requirement that f induce an isomorphism $I_y \cong I_x$ on scheme-theoretic stabilizers rather than the set-theoretic ones. (An equivalent formulation is that for each subgroup H, the map on fixed points $f^H : Y^H \to X^H$ is a Nisnevich cover.) We focus on the equivariant Nisnevich topology in the present work for two reasons. One is that equivariant algebraic K-theory satisfies descent for all equivariant Nisnevich covers but does not satisfy descent for every fixed point Nisnevich cover. The second is that the fixed point Nisnevich topology does not behave well with respect to transfers, see Example 4.9.

A presheaf with equivariant transfers is a presheaf F of abelian groups on smooth G-schemes which are equipped with functorial maps $\mathcal{Z}^* : F(Y) \to F(X)$ for finite equivariant correspondences $\mathcal{Z}$ between X and Y. The presheaf with equivariant transfers that is represented by X is denoted by $\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(X)$. We then define equivariant motivic complexes $\mathbb{Z}_G(n)$, which are the result of the $\mathbb{A}^1$-singular chains on $\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{P}(k[G]^n \oplus 1))/\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{P}(k[G]^n)$, where $k[G]$ is the regular representation. Bredon motivic cohomology is defined as equivariant Nisnevich hypercohomology with coefficients in the complex $\mathbb{Z}_G(n)$. In light of the equivariant Dold-Thom theorem [dS03], our construction is analogous to the topological Bredon cohomology with coefficients in the constant Mackey functor $\mathbb{Z}$. Our setup is however flexible enough to allow for more sophisticated coefficient systems. The benefit of allowing Mackey functor coefficients is well understood in topology. As explained in Section 5.2, we have an embedding of cohomological Mackey functors into our category.

In order to establish the fundamental properties of our theory we generalize to the equivariant setting Voevodsky's techniques for analyzing the cohomological behavior of presheaves with transfers. Most of the paper is focused on the generalization of this machinery. A more detailed study of the Bredon motivic cohomology groups themselves as well as versions with other coefficient systems, especially K-theory, will appear in a sequel paper. Our motivating examples are when $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$, but we establish the results here in a greater generality. The full theory as developed here works best for abelian groups over an algebraically closed field (the case $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$ does not require this assumption), though we anticipate further generalizations are possible. We explain the source of these two limitations.

The first difficulty arises from the question of existence of equivariant triples. We refer to Section 8 for a precise definition of an equivariant triple, but point out here that it is in particular a smooth equivariant relative curve $X \to S$ between smooth G-schemes. A typical step in several key arguments used in the course of establishing the homotopy invariance result is that in order to establish an isomorphism of sheaves with equivariant transfers it suffices to show the isomorphism on zero dimensional, essentially smooth G-schemes. The methods we use in this paper can establish this reduction step only in the presence of a good supply of equivariant triples. More precisely, if $x \in X$ is a point, there should be an invariant open neighborhood $U \subseteq X$ of x and a smooth G-scheme S such that $U \to S$ is a smooth equivariant curve. As a simple illustrative example, consider an irreducible two dimensional representation V viewed as smooth G-scheme: no invariant neighborhood of the origin can fit into a smooth curve (else consideration of the map on tangent representations shows that V would have a one-dimensional subrepresentation). We are able to establish the existence of equivariant triples around an arbitrary point when G is abelian, and this is the best that can be done regarding equivariant triples.

The method we use to prove the homotopy invariance for the higher cohomology relies on using the local structure of a smooth G-scheme to determine the local behavior of the cohomology groups in terms of certain equivariant contractions. Our second technical problem is to understand the equivariant Nisnevich local structure of a smooth G-scheme: this topology is sensitive to the local equivariant and representation theoretic structure, both of which can be very complex. The presence of "twisted orbits" in general makes the local equivariant Nisnevich structure of smooth G-schemes around nonrational closed points rather subtle. By a "twisted orbit" we mean a finite equivariantly irreducible smooth G-set which is not isomorphic to G/H for any subgroup (consider the smooth $\mathbb{Z}/2$-scheme $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{R})$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{R})$). The local representation theoretic structure is the tangent representation T_xX, a representation of I_x over $k(x)$. Nonequivariantly the analysis of the local structure around a point $x \in X$ of a smooth d-dimensional scheme begins with an open $U \subseteq X$ and an étale map to $U \to \mathbb{A}^d$. Then U is a Nisnevich neighborhood of $x \in X$ and of $0 \in \mathbb{A}^d$ and ultimately we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}^h_{X,x} \cong \mathcal{O}^h_{\mathbb{A}^d,0}$ of Hensel local rings. Equivariantly, this strategy presents some difficulties. If there is no representation of V over k such that $T_xX = V_{k(x)}$, one can't put a linear action on $\mathbb{A}^d$ in such a way as to obtain $T_xX = T_{\{0\}}\mathbb{A}^d_{k(x)}$ as representations. Even when the representation T_xX can be defined over k, U will only be I_x-equivariant. To obtain something G-equivariant, one is led to consider $(G \times U)/I_x \to X$ but this does not provide a Nisnevich neighborhood of x in general. At x this map is

$G/I_x \times \{x\} \to G \cdot x$ and if the orbit $G \cdot x$ is twisted then this map does not admit an equivariant splitting. Around rational points, both difficulties disappear and we have a good enough understanding of this local structure in order to carry this program out around rational points, consequently around any closed point when the base field is algebraically closed. When $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$, representations are defined everywhere and the first difficulty disappears even over a nonalgebraically closed field. The difficulty of twisted orbits also disappears in this case, ultimately tied to the fact that the complement of the fixed points has free action.

Many arguments appearing here follow along the lines of the corresponding nonequivariant arguments. However, as the previously mentioned technical problems show, it is rather nontrivial to make this theory work. In writing the proofs, an emphasis is placed on details which are not the same as in the nonequivariant case. In order for this paper to be readable to the reader somewhat familiar with the material in [Voe00] or [MVW06], without the need to excessively flip back and forth between loc. cite. and the present work, we have chosen in a number of places to include arguments which are similar to their nonequivariant counterparts in [Voe00] and [MVW06].

Our main theorem, Theorem 10.8 is an equivariant version of Voevodsky's Cancellation Theorem requires that $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$. The Cancellation Theorem is an algebro-geometric version of the familiar suspension isomorphism in singular cohomology of topological spaces. It asserts that in motivic cohomology, we can cancel suspension factors of the algebro-geometric sphere $\mathbb{G}_m$. In our equivariant version, the $\mathbb{G}_m$ is equipped with a G-action. To establish the Cancellation Theorem we modify Voevodsky's proof [Voe10a]. However, parts of these arguments seem to only work in the presence of a group action on $\mathbb{G}_m$ that is compatible with the group structure on $\mathbb{G}_m$. For $\mathbb{Z}/2$, the action $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ satisfies this requirement.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a smooth $\mathbb{Z}/2$-scheme over a perfect field k. Then*

$$H^n_{GNis}(X, C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y)) = H^n_{GNis}(X \wedge \mathbb{G}, C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y \wedge \mathbb{G}))$$

where $\mathbb{G}$ is one of the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-schemes, $\mathbb{G}_m$ with the trivial action or $\mathbb{G}_m$ with the action $x \mapsto x^{-1}$.

Other main results are as follows. The first is a new form of equivariant Suslin-Gabber rigidity in Theorems 7.2 and 7.4, valid for presheaves with equivariant transfers.

Theorem 1.2. *Let F be a homotopy invariant presheaf with equivariant transfers on $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$, over a field k. Suppose that F is torsion, coprime to $\mathrm{char}(k)$. Let $S = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}^h_{W,Gw})$ be the Henselization of a smooth G-scheme at a closed orbit.*

1. *Let $X \to S$ a smooth affine G-scheme of relative dimension one admitting an equivariant good compactification. Then $g_1^* = g_2^* : F(X) \to F(S)$ for any two equivariant sections $g_1, g_2 : S \to X$ are two equivariant sections which coincide on the closed orbit of S.*
2. *If $w \in W$ is a rational point such that the I_x-representation T_xX decomposes into a sum of one dimensional representations then*

$$F(Gx) \xrightarrow{\cong} F(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx})$$

is an isomorphism.

Equivariant versions of Suslin-Gabber rigidity have been treated for equivariant K-theory in [YØ09] and [Kri10]. Unlike in those cases, which involved points with trivial stabilizers, the result we prove here is valid around points with nontrivial stabilizers.

The next is Theorem 8.19 which provides a Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence for certain special equivariant Nisnevich covers. This has important consequences. It in particular allows for the computation of the equivariant Nisnevich cohomology of open invariant subsets of G-line bundles over smooth zero dimensional G-schemes, see Theorem 8.19, which is the precursor to the homotopy invariance theorem.

In Theorem 9.13 we establish our homotopy invariance result.

Theorem 1.3. *Let either G be an abelian group and k algebraically closed field or $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$ and k a perfect field. If F be a homotopy invariant presheaf with equivariant transfers on $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$. Then $H^n_{GNis}(-, F_{GNis})$ is also homotopy invariant presheaf with equivariant transfers.*

We mention a few technical results that may be of independent interest. In Section 2.15 we establish a Bertini-type result for equivariant linear projections, these are used later in the construction of equivariant triples. We establish an equivariant version of Gabber's base change theorem in étale cohomology in Theorem 2.10 which is used in the course of the proof of the rigidity theorem. Finally in Section 3.2 we show that the points of the equivariant Nisnevich topology are the Henselizations $\mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx}$ of smooth G-schemes along orbits Gx of points of X.

Lastly we mention that several other constructions of equivariant cohomology theories related to algebraic cycles exist. There is for example work of Lawson, Lima-Filho, and Michelson [LLFM96], Joshua [Jos07], and Levine-Serpe [LS08]. It would be interesting to see how these different constructions relate to the one carried out here.

An outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we record results about G-schemes, equivariant divisors, bundles, and cohomology that we need in later sections. In Section 3 we recall the equivariant Nisnevich topology and establish some of its basic properties. In Section 4 we introduce equivariant finite correspondences and presheaves with equivariant transfers. We formally define Bredon motivic cohomology in Section 5 and using the machinery developed in later sections we establish properties and some computations. For example we identify the weight zero complex with $\mathbb{Z}$ and the complexes associated to one dimensional representations with $(\mathcal{O}^*)^G[-1]$. (That this complex does not depend on the representation type is special to dimension one, in higher dimensions distinct representations yield complexes which are not quasi-isomorphic). We relate equivariant transfers and equivariant divisors in Section 4, in particular in Theorem 6.12 we compute the equivariant Suslin homology of equivariant affine curves. Next we establish rigidity properties for torsion presheaves with equivariant transfers in Section 7. In Section 8 we study equivariant triples and establish a Mayer-Vietoris sequence in Theorem 8.19. The homotopy invariance of cohomology is established in Section 9. Finally in Section 10 we establish a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-equivariant generalization of Voevodsky's Cancellation Theorem.

Notations and conventions. Throughout k is a field, which is assumed to be perfect starting in Section 8 and G is a finite group whose order is coprime to $\mathrm{char}(k)$. We write $G\mathrm{Sch}/k$ (resp. $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$) for the category whose objects are schemes of finite type (resp. smooth schemes) over k equipped with a left action by G and morphisms are equivariant morphisms.

We write $\mathbb{A}(V) = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathrm{Sym}(V^{\vee}))$ for the affine scheme associated to a vector space over k and $\mathbb{P}(V) = \mathrm{Proj}(\mathrm{Sym}(V^{\vee}))$ for the associated projective scheme. We sometimes write V for both the vector space as well as its associated scheme $\mathbb{A}(V)$. Given a subset $Z \subseteq X$ we write $G \cdot Z = \cup_{g\in G} gZ$ for the *orbit* of Z. It is important to distinguish between two types of stabilizer groups of $x \in X$,

1. the *set-theoretic stabilizer* G_x of x, is $G_x = \{g \in G \mid gx = x\}$
2. the *inertia group* of x is $I_x = \ker(G_x \to \mathrm{Aut}(k(x)/k))$.

2. Schemes with G-action

In this section we collect several useful facts used throughout this paper about schemes with an action of a finite group.

2.1. **Quotients by group actions.** We first recall some basic facts about quotients of schemes by finite groups. By a quotient $\pi : X \to X/G$ we simply mean a categorical quotient. In particular quotients are unique when they exist. If $X = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ then $X/G = \mathrm{Spec}(A^G)$. More generally if X is quasi-projective then a quotient $\pi : X \to X/G$ exists. The quotient of a scheme by a finite group satisfies the following additional properties:

1. π is finite and surjective,
2. the fibers of π are the G-orbits of the G-action on X, and
3. $\mathcal{O}_{X/G} = \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X^G$.

Definition 2.1. Say that X is *equivariantly irreducible* if there is an irreducible component X_0 of X such that $G \cdot X_0 = X$.

Let $H \subseteq G$ be a subgroup and X an H-scheme. The scheme $G \times X$ becomes an H-scheme under the action $h(g, x) = (gh^{-1}, hx)$ and we define

$$G \times^H X = (G \times X)/H.$$

The scheme $G \times^H X$ has a left G-action through the action of G on itself. Therefore we have a functor $G\times^H - : H\mathrm{Sch}/k \to G\mathrm{Sch}/k$ which is left adjoint to the restriction functor $G\mathrm{Sch}/k \to H\mathrm{Sch}/k$.

The H-action on $G\times X$ is free and so $\pi : G\times X \to G\times^H X$ is a principle H-bundle. In particular, π is étale and surjective. It follows that if X is smooth, then so is $G \times^H X$. This defines a left adjoint to the restriction functor $G\mathrm{Sm}/k \to H\mathrm{Sm}/k$,

$$G \times^H - : H\mathrm{Sm}/k \to G\mathrm{Sm}/k.$$

2.2. ***G*-sheaves and cohomology.** Let X be a G-scheme. Write $\sigma : G \times X \to X$ for the action map. In this section τ will denote one of the Zariski, Nisnevich, or étale Grothendieck topologies on X. Roughly speaking, a G-sheaf on X is a sheaf which has a G-action which is compatible with the G-action on X. A precise definition is as follows.

Definition 2.2. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sheaf of abelian groups, in a Grothendieck topology τ, on X. Write $pr_2 : G \times X \to X$ for the projection.

1. A *G-linearization* of $\mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism $\phi : \sigma^*\mathcal{F} \to pr_2^*\mathcal{F}$ of sheaves on $G \times X$ which satisfies the cocyle condition

$$[pr_{23}^*(\phi)] \circ [(1 \times \sigma)^*(\phi)] = (m \times 1)^*(\phi)$$

on $G\times G\times X$. Here $m : G\times G \to G$ is multiplication and $pr_{23} : G\times G\times X \to G\times X$ is the projection to second and third factors.

(2) A *G-sheaf* (in the τ-topology) on X is a pair $(\mathcal{F}, \phi)$ consisting of a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on X and a G-linearization ϕ of $\mathcal{F}$.

(3) A *G-module* on X is a G-sheaf $(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ where $\mathcal{M}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module and the G-linearization $\phi : \sigma^*\mathcal{M} \cong pr_2^*\mathcal{M}$ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{G\times X}$-modules. Similarly a *G-vector bundle* on X consists of a G-module $(\mathcal{V}, \phi)$ such that $\mathcal{V}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_X$-module on X.

We usually write $\mathcal{F}$ rather than $(\mathcal{F}, \phi)$ for a G-sheaf or module, leaving the G-linearization implicit.

Remark 2.3. When G is finite, a G-linearization of $\mathcal{F}$ is equivalent to the data of isomorphisms $\phi_g : \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\cong} g_*\mathcal{M}$ for each $g \in G$ which are subject to the conditions that $\phi_e = id$ and $\phi_{gh} = h_*(\phi_g)\circ\phi_h$ for all $g, h \in G$

A map $f : (\mathcal{E}, \phi_{\mathcal{E}}) \to (\mathcal{F}, \phi_{\mathcal{F}})$ of G-sheaves is a morphism f of sheaves which is compatible with the G-linearizations in the sense that $\phi_{\mathcal{F}}\circ\sigma^* f = pr_2^* f\circ\phi_{\mathcal{E}}$. Write $\mathrm{Ab}_\tau(G, X)$ for the category of G-sheaves on X. The category of G-sheaves on X has enough injectives, for example one may simply note that it is equivalent to the category of τ-sheaves on the quotient stack $[X/G]$.

Given a G-sheaf $\mathcal{M}$, the group G acts on the global sections $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{M})$. We thus have a functor $\Gamma_X^G : \mathrm{Ab}_\tau(G, X) \to \mathrm{Ab}$ from the category of G-sheaves to abelian groups defined by $\Gamma_X^G(\mathcal{M}) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{M})^G$. The *$\tau$-$G$-cohomology* $H^p_\tau(G; X, \mathcal{M})$ are defined as the right derived functors

$$H^p_\tau(G; X, \mathcal{M}) = R^p\Gamma_X^G(\mathcal{M}).$$

The functor Γ_X^G can be expressed as a composition $\Gamma_X^G = (-)^G\circ\Gamma(X, -)$. The Grothendieck spectral sequence for this composition yields the convergent spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(G, H^q_\tau(X, \mathcal{M})) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}_\tau(G; X, \mathcal{M}), \tag{2.4}$$

where $H^*(G, -)$ is group cohomology.

Definition 2.5 ([MFK94])**.** The equivariant Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}^G(X)$ is the group of G-line bundles on X, with group operation given by tensor product.

The following cohomological interpretation of $\mathrm{Pic}^G(X)$ is well known.

Theorem 2.6 (Equivariant Hilbert 90)**.** *Let X be a G-scheme.*

(1) *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Pic}^G(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^1_{Zar}(G; X, \mathcal{O}_X^*).$$

(2) *There are natural isomorphisms*

$$H^1_{Zar}(G; X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \cong H^1_{Nis}(G; X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \cong H^1_{et}(G; X, \mathcal{O}_X^*).$$

Proof. The second item is an immediate consequence of the first together with the spectral sequence (2.4). We sketch a proof of the first item. Consider the classifying topos BG, consisting of G-objects in the topos $(\mathrm{Sch}/k)^{\sim}_{Zar}$ of Zariski sheaves. The category $\mathrm{Ab}(BG/X)$ of abelian objects in BG/X is equivalent to $\mathrm{Ab}_{Zar}(G, X)$ and the equivariant cohomology defined above agrees with the cohomology defined in the topos BG. Now $(BG/X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is a ringed topos and the category of line bundles for $(BG/X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is equivalent to the category of G-line bundles on X. In general if (E, A) is a ringed topos then $H^1(E; *, A^*)$ is isomorphic to equivalence classes of (E, A)-line bundles. Specialized to the case $(E, A) = (BG/X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is the statement of the theorem. □

Theorem 2.6 and the spectral sequence (2.4) yield the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1(G, H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*)) \to \mathrm{Pic}^G(X) \to (\mathrm{Pic}(X))^G \to H^2(G, H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*)). \tag{2.7}$$

Lemma 2.8. *If X is a reduced G-scheme then $p^* : \mathrm{Pic}^G(X) \to \mathrm{Pic}^G(X \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ is injective, where $p : X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \to X$ is the projection. If X is normal then p^* is an isomorphism.*

Proof. If X is reduced then $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) = H^0(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{O}_{X\times\mathbb{A}^1}^*)$ and $\mathrm{Pic}^G(X) \to \mathrm{Pic}^G(X \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ is injective. If X is normal then it is an isomorphism. The lemma then follows from (2.7) together with the five lemma. □

We finish by recording an equivariant version of Gabber's proper base change theorem for the cohomology of torsion étale G-sheaves, which will be needed to establish an equivariant version of Suslin's rigidity theorem in Section 7.

Definition 2.9 (see e.g., [Ray70]). Let A be a commutative ring and $I \subseteq A$ an ideal which is contained in the Jacobson radical of A. The pair (A, I) is said to be a *Henselian pair* if the following equivalent conditions are satisfied:

1. For all $f \in A[t]$ and $\beta \in A/I$ such that $\overline{f}(\beta) = 0$ and $\overline{f}'(\beta)$ is invertible in A/I, then there is $\alpha \in A$ such that $\overline{\alpha} = \beta$.
2. For every finite A-algebra R, $\mathrm{Idem}(R) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Idem}(R/IR)$, where $\mathrm{Idem}(-)$ denotes the set of idempotent elements of a ring.
3. For every étale ring map $f : A \to B$ and $p : B \to A/I$ fitting into a commutative triangle

$$\begin{tikzcd} & B \arrow[dl, "p"'] \\ A/I & A, \arrow[l] \arrow[u, "f"'] \end{tikzcd}$$

   p lifts to an A-homomorphism $\overline{p} : B \to A$.

Theorem 2.10 (Equivariant Proper Base Change). *Let (A, I) be a Henselian pair with G-action. Let $f : Y \to \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ be a proper equivariant map and define Y_0 by the pull back*

$$\begin{tikzcd} Y_0 \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d, "f'"'] & Y \arrow[d, "f"] \\ \mathrm{Spec}(A/I) \arrow[r, "j"] & \mathrm{Spec}(A). \end{tikzcd}$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a torsion G-sheaf on Y and write $\mathcal{F}_0 = i^*\mathcal{F}$. Then the restriction map induces an isomorphism $H^k_{et}(G; Y, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^k_{et}(G; Y_0, \mathcal{F}_0)$.

Proof. Note that the restriction map i^* induces a map of spectral sequences (2.4). By Gabber's base change theorem [Gab94, Corollary 1] the restriction map $H^p_{et}(Y, \mathcal{F}) \to H^p_{et}(Y_0, \mathcal{F}_0)$ is an isomorphism. Thus the induced comparison map of spectral sequences (2.4) is an isomorphism on the E_2-page and it follows that $H^k_{et}(G; Y, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^k_{et}(G; Y_0, \mathcal{F}_0)$. □

2.3. **Divisors on G-schemes.** The notion of Cartier divisor and rational equivalence of Cartier divisors admits a straightforward equivariant generalization.

Definition 2.11. (1) An *equivariant Cartier divisor* on X is an element of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_X^*/\mathcal{O}_X^*)^G$. Write $\mathrm{Div}^G(X)$ for this group with the group law written additively.

(2) A *principal equivariant Cartier divisors* is defined to be an invariant rational function on X, that is an element in the image of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}^*)^G$.

(3) Two equivariant Cartier divisors are equivariantly rationally equivalent $D \sim D'$ provided that $D - D'$ is principal. Write $\mathrm{Div}^G_{rat}(X)$ for the group of equivariant Cartier divisors modulo rational equivalence.

Explicitly, a global section of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_X^*/\mathcal{O}_X^*)$ consists of a covering U_i and $f_i \in \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{K}^*)$ such that $f_i/f_j \in \Gamma(U_i \cap U_j, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$ for all i, j. To say that $\{(U_i, f_i)\}$ is G-invariant means that $\{(U_i, f_i)\} = \{(gU_i, gf_i)\}$ for all $g \in G$, where gf_i is the rational function $gf_i(x) = f_i(g^{-1}x))$. This means that $gf_i/f_j \in \Gamma(gU_i \cap U_j, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$ for all i, j and $g \in G$.

Write $\mathcal{Z}^1(X)$ for the group of codimension one cycles on X. The homomorphism $cyc : Div(X) \to \mathcal{Z}^1(X)$ is defined by $cyc(D) = \sum_{Z \in X^1} ord_Z(D)Z$ where X^1 is the set of closed integral codimension one subschemes.

Lemma 2.12. *Let X be a smooth G-scheme. Then*

$$cyc : \mathrm{Div}(X) \to \mathcal{Z}^1(X)$$

is an equivariant isomorphism.

Proof. Note that if $D \in \mathrm{Div}(X)$ then $ord_Z(gD) = ord_{g^{-1}Z}(D)$. It follows that cyc is equivariant. Since X is smooth, cyc is an isomorphism. □

Given an equivariant Cartier divisor the usual construction yields a G-line bundle. Recall that $D = \{(U_i, f_i)\}$ is a Cartier divisor then the associated line bundle $\mathcal{O}(D)$ is defined by $\mathcal{O}(D)|_{U_i} = \mathcal{O}_{U_i} f_i^{-1}$. It is straightforward to check that when D is an equivariant Cartier divisor then the associated the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(D)$ has a canonical G-linearization. We write again $\mathcal{O}(D)$ for the G-line bundle defined by this choice of linearization.

Proposition 2.13. *Let X be a regular G-scheme.*

(1) *Then the association $D \mapsto \mathcal{O}(D)$ induces an injective homomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Div}^G_{rat}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^G(X),$$

whose image consists of G-line bundles $\mathcal{L}$ which admit an equivariant injection $\mathcal{L} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_X$.

(2) *Suppose that G acts faithfully on X. Then every G-line bundle admits such an injection and in particular* $\mathrm{Div}^G_{rat}(X) = \mathrm{Pic}^G(X)$.

Proof. The first part is straightforward from the definitions. When X has faithful action, then $H^1(G; X, \mathcal{K}_X^*) = 0$ which implies that $\mathrm{Div}^G(X) \to \mathrm{Pic}^G(X)$ is surjective. □

When the action isn't faithful, $\mathrm{Div}^G_{rat}(X) \subsetneq \mathrm{Pic}^G(X)$ can be a proper subgroup. For example, $\mathrm{Pic}^G(k)$ is isomorphic to the character group of G over k while $\mathrm{Div}^G_{rat}(k) = 0$.

Proposition 2.14. *If X is regular then* $\mathrm{Div}^G_{rat}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1) = \mathrm{Div}^G_{rat}(X)$.

Proof. Let $K = \ker(G \to \mathrm{Aut}(X))$. Then G/K acts faithfully on X and $\mathrm{Div}^G_{rat}(X) = \mathrm{Div}^{G/K}_{rat}(X)$. Since $\mathrm{Pic}^{G/K}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1) = \mathrm{Pic}^{G/K}(X)$ the proposition follows from Proposition 2.13. □

2.4. **Linear projections.** The following results on equivariant linear projections will be used in Section 8 when we construct equivariant triples. The sheaf of differential $\Omega^1_{X/k}$ is a G-vector bundle on X. We define the tangent space $T_xX = (\Omega^1_{X,x})^* \otimes k(x)$, which is naturally an I_x-representation over $k(x)$.

Below we write $V_n = \mathrm{Sym}^n V$ and consider $\mathbb{A}(V) \subseteq \mathbb{A}(V_n)$ via the n-tuple embedding.

Lemma 2.15. *Let V be a representation of G and $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}(V)$ be a smooth closed invariant subscheme. Let $x \in X$ be a rational point, W a G-representation, and $f : T_xX \to W$ an I_x-equivariant linear map. Then there is a G-equivariant linear map $\phi : \mathbb{A}(V) \to \mathbb{A}(W)$ such that $f = d\phi|_X : T_xX \to W$.*

Proof. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & W & \\
 & & T_xX \arrow[u, "f"] \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[dll] & V. \arrow[dlll, dashed, bend left] \\
\mathrm{Ind}(T_xX) \arrow[uurr, bend left] \arrow[urrr] & & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The G-equivariant map $\mathrm{Ind}(T_xX) \to W$ is induced by $f : T_xX \to W$. Similarly $T_xX \subseteq V$ induces $\mathrm{Ind}(T_xX) \to V$. We may choose an equivariant map $V \to \mathrm{Ind}(T_xX)$ so that the composite $T_xX \to V \to \mathrm{Ind}(T_xX)$ agrees with the canonical (I_x-equivariant) map. Now the composition $V \to \mathrm{Ind}(T_xX) \to W$ has the required properties. □

Theorem 2.16. *Let V be a faithful G-representation over an infinite field k and let $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}(V)$ be a smooth closed affine G-scheme. Let $\mathcal{P} \subseteq X$ be an invariant set of closed points. Let W be a G-representation such that for each $x \in \mathcal{P}$ there is an I_x-linear projection $T_xX \to W$. Let $V_n = \mathrm{Sym}^n V$. Then for $n >> 0$, a general equivariant linear projection $\mathbb{A}(V_n) \to \mathbb{A}(W)$ induces a map $X \to \mathbb{A}(W)$ which is smooth at each point of $\mathcal{P}$.*

Proof. Equivariant projections $\mathbb{A}(V_n) \to \mathbb{A}(W)$ are parameterized by a Zariski open subset of $\mathrm{Hom}_G(V_n, W)$, which is nonempty for $n >> 0$. The set of equivariant projections inducing a map $X \to \mathbb{A}(W)$ that is smooth at a given orbit is open. Therefore it is enough to consider the case when $\mathcal{P}$ consists of a single orbit. In the general case, once n is sufficiently large, the set of linear projections inducing a map $X \to \mathbb{A}(W)$ which is smooth at orbit of $\mathcal{P}$, can be expressed as the intersection of nonempty Zariski open subsets, therefore it is nonempty and because k is infinite it has a rational point. Moreover, by descent, it is enough to consider the case $\mathcal{P}$ is the orbit of a rational point. The previous lemma then implies that there is an equivariant projection which is smooth at this orbit. □

Theorem 2.17. *Let V be a faithful representation over an infinite field k and $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}(V)$ a closed invariant subscheme. Let W be a representation which decomposes into a sum of one-dimensional representations. Then for $n >> 0$ the general equivariant linear projection $\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Sym}^n V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(W)$ has center which intersects X properly.*

Proof. Write $V_n = \mathrm{Sym}^n V$. The k-valued points of a Zariski open subset of $H^0(\mathbb{P}(V), \mathcal{O}(n) \otimes W)^G$ parameterize equivariant linear projections $\mathbb{P}(V_n) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(W)$. The condition that the center of a projection $\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Sym}^n V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(W)$ meets X properly is open. It remains to see that there exists such an equivariant projection.

Write $W = W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_k$, where each W_i is a one-dimensional representation. An equivariant projection $p : V_n \to W$ is specified by nonzero equivariant linear maps $p_i : V_n \to W_i$. The center of $p : V_n \to W$ is the intersection $\cap_i \mathbb{P}(\ker(p_i))$.

We claim that there is an integer N such that for any rational orbit $G \cdot x$, any $n \geq N$, and $1 \leq i \leq k$, there is an equivariant projection $p_i : V_n \to W_i$ which does not vanish at x. Assuming this claim, the result then follows. Indeed, fix an $n \geq N$ and choose $x_1 \in X \subseteq \mathbb{P}(V_n)$, where X is embedded via the n-fold embedding. By the claim there is a linear projection $p_1 : \mathbb{P}(V_n) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(W_1)$ such that $x \notin C(p_1)$. This implies that $C(p_1)$ intersects X properly. If X was zero dimensional, then p_1 together with any $p_2, \ldots, p_k$ satisfy the requirement. Otherwise, choose $x_2 \in C(p_1) \cap X$ and $p_2 : \mathbb{P}(V_n) \to \mathbb{P}(W_2)$ which doesn't vanish at x_2. Then $X \cap C(p_1) \cap C(p_2)$ is a proper intersection. Continuing in this way we find $p_1, \ldots, p_k$ such that the center of $p = \oplus p_i : \mathbb{P}(V_n) \to \mathbb{P}(W)$ meets X properly.

It remains to establish the claim. Let I be the ideal of an orbit $G \cdot x$ in $\mathbb{P}(V)$. Equivariant linear projections $V_n \to W_i$ are parameterized by $H^0(\mathbb{P}(V), \mathcal{O}(n) \otimes W_i)^G$ and those that vanish on $G \cdot x$ are parameterized by $H^0(\mathbb{P}(V), \mathcal{I}(n) \otimes W_i)^G$. We have an exact sequence of coherent sheaves

$$0 \to \mathcal{I}(n) \to \mathcal{O}(n) \to (\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I})(n) = \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I} \to 0.$$

Since $|G|$ is invertible in k, whenever $H^1(\mathbb{P}(V), I(n)) = 0$, we have a short-exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(\mathbb{P}(V), \mathcal{I}(n) \otimes W_i)^G \to H^0(\mathbb{P}(V), \mathcal{O}(n) \otimes W_i)^G \to H^0(\mathbb{P}(V), \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I} \otimes W_i)^G \to 0.$$

As n grows, the dimension of the middle vector space becomes arbitrarily large while the right hand vector space has constant dimensions. Thus for large n, $H^0(\mathbb{P}(V), \mathcal{I}(n) \otimes W_i)^G \neq 0$ which establishes the claim.

□

3. Equivariant Nisnevich topology

In this section we introduce the equivariant Nisnevich topology and list some of its properties. The equivariant Nisnevich topology has been recently been used by Hu-Kriz-Ormsby [HKO11] and Krishna-Østvær [KØ12]. A related topology, the fixed point Nisnevich topology, was defined by Herrmann in [Her].

3.1. **Basic properties.** A *cd-structure* on a category $\mathcal{C}$ is a collection $\mathcal{P}$ of commutative squares $Q(X, Y, A)$ of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd} B \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y \arrow[d, "p"] \\ A \arrow[r, "e"] & X \end{tikzcd}$$

which are closed under isomorphism. The Grothendieck topology associated to $\mathcal{P}$ is the Grothendieck topology generated by declaring the pair $(A \to X, Y \to X)$ to be a covering.

Definition 3.1. (1) A Cartesian square $Q(X, Y, A)$ in $G\mathrm{Sch}/k$

$$\begin{tikzcd} B \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y \arrow[d, "p"] \\ A \arrow[r, "e"] & X \end{tikzcd}$$

is said to be an *equivariant distinguished square* if p is étale, $e : A \subseteq X$ is an invariant open embedding and p induces an isomorphism $(Y - B)_{red} \xrightarrow{\cong} (X - A)_{red}$.

(2) The *equivariant Nisnevich topology* on $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$ (resp. $G\mathrm{Sch}/k$) is the Grothendieck topology associated to the *cd*-structure defined by the equivariant distinguished squares and we write $(G\mathrm{Sm}/k)_{GNis}$ (resp. $G\mathrm{Sch}/k$) for the associated site.

Lemma 3.2. *A presheaf of sets F is a sheaf in the equivariant Nisnevich topology if and only if $F(\emptyset) = *$ and for any distinguished square Q as above the square*

$$\begin{tikzcd} F(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & F(Y) \arrow[d] \\ F(A) \arrow[r] & F(B) \end{tikzcd}$$

is a pull back square.

Proof. This follows from [Voe10b, Lemma 2.9] □

Example 3.3. Let V be a representation. Consider the equivariant open covering of $\mathbb{P}(V \oplus 1)$ given by $\mathbb{P}(V \oplus 1) - \mathbb{P}(V) = \mathbb{A}(V)$ and $\mathbb{P}(V \oplus 1) - \mathbb{P}(1)$. The intersection of these opens is identified with $\mathbb{A}(V) - 0$. We thus have an equivariant distinguished square

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathbb{A}(V) - 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{A}(V) \arrow[d] \\ \mathbb{P}(V \oplus 1) - \mathbb{P}(1) \arrow[r] & \mathbb{P}(V \oplus 1). \end{tikzcd}$$

Note also that the inclusion $\mathbb{P}(V \oplus 1) - \mathbb{P}(1)$ equivariantly $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopic to $\mathbb{P}(V)$, the requisite deformation given by $([x_0 : \cdots : x_{n+1}], t) \mapsto [x_0 : \cdots : x_n : tx_{n+1}]$.

The standard characterizations of a Nisnevich cover in the nonequivariant setting admit an equivariant generalization.

Definition 3.4. Let $f : Y \to X$ be an equivariant morphism. An *equivariant splitting sequence* for $f : Y \to X$ is a sequence of invariant closed subvarieties

$$\emptyset = Z_{m+1} \subseteq Z_m \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Z_1 \subseteq Z_0 = X$$

such that $f|_{Z_i - Z_{i+1}} : f^{-1}(Z_i - Z_{i+1}) \to Z_i - Z_{i+1}$ has an equivariant section. The integer m is called the length of this splitting sequence.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $f : Y \to X$ be an equivariant étale map between G-schemes. The following are equivalent.*

1. *The map f is an equivariant Nisnevich cover.*
2. *The map f has an equivariant splitting sequence.*
3. *For every point $x \in X$, there is a point $y \in Y$ such that f induces an isomorphism $k(x) \cong k(y)$ of residue fields and an isomorphism $G_y \cong G_x$ of set-theoretic stabilizers.*

Proof. The proof follows along the lines of the nonequivariant arguments in [MV99, Lemma 3.1.5] and [Voe10c, Proposition 2.17].

(1)⇔(2) Suppose that $f : Y \to X$ is an equivariant Nisnevich cover. Note that there is a dense invariant open subscheme $U \subseteq X$ on which f has a splitting. Indeed, this is true by definition for covers coming from distinguished squares and this property is preserved by pullbacks and by compositions. Restricting to the complement of this open and repeating the argument we may construct an equivariant splitting sequence.

For the converse, we proceed by induction on the length of a splitting sequence. The case $m = 1$ is immediate. Suppose that we have a splitting sequence as above of length m. The restriction of f to $Z_m \times_X Y \to Z_m$ has an equivariant section s. Since s is equivariant and étale $s(Z_m) \subseteq Z_m \times_X Y$ is an invariant open. Let D be its closed complement. Consider the map $\widetilde{Y} := Y - D \to X$. Then $\{\widetilde{Y} \to X, X - Z_m\}$ forms an equivariant distinguished covering of X. The pullback of $f : Y \to X$ along $X - Z_m$ has a splitting sequence of length less than m and so by induction is an equivariant Nisnevich cover. Similarly the pullback of f along $\widetilde{Y} \to X$ splits and is thus also a cover. It follows that f itself is an equivariant Nisnevich covering.

(2)⇔(3) Suppose that f has a splitting sequence. Then $x \in U_k = Z_k - Z_{k+1}$ for some k. Let s be a section of f over U_k and let $y = s(x)$. Then one immediately verifies that f induces an isomorphism $k(x) \cong k(y)$ and $G_y \cong G_x$.

For the other direction we proceed by Noetherian induction. Consider first the generic points η_i of the irreducible components of X. There is an $\eta_i' \in Y$ such that $f : \eta_i' \cong \eta_i$ and $G_{\eta_i'} \cong G_{\eta_i}$. It is not hard to see that this implies that there is a dense equivariant open $U_1 \subseteq X$ over which f has an equivariant splitting. Restrict to $Z_1 = X - U_1$ and repeat. This constructs an equivariant splitting sequence.

□

Changing the condition above on stabilizers leads to the variant of the equivariant Nisnevich topology defined in [Her].

Definition 3.6. An equivariant étale map $f : Y \to X$ is a *fixed point Nisnevich cover* if for each point point $x \in X$, there is a point $y \in Y$ such that f induces an isomorphism $k(x)\cong k(y)$ of residue fields and an isomorphism $I_y \cong I_x$ of inertia groups.

By [Her, Lemma 3.2.4], a map $Y \to X$ is a fixed point Nisnevich cover if and only if for every subgroup $H \subseteq G$, the map on fixed points $f^H : Y^H \to X^H$ is a Nisnevich cover. The following simple example illustrates an important difference between these two topologies.

Example 3.7. Let X be a smooth G-scheme with free action. Consider the action map $G \times X^{triv} \to X$, where X^{triv} is the G-scheme X considered with trivial action. This is a fixed point Nisnevich cover. However, it is not equivariantly locally split and so is not an equivariant Nisnevich cover.

Suppose that X is quasi-projective, so that a quotient $\pi : X \to X/G$ exists. If $f : U \to X/G$ is a Nisnevich cover, then $f' : \pi^{-1}U \to X$ is an equivariant Nisnevich cover because it is an equivariant étale cover and the local splitting for f induces an equivariant splitting sequence for f'. We thus have an induced map of sites $(X)_{GNis} \to (X/G)_{Nis}$ and an adjoint pair on sheaf categories

$$\pi^* : \mathrm{Shv}_{Nis}(X/G) \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Shv}_{GNis}(X) : \pi_*.$$

Suppose now that that X has free action (i.e., $I_x = e$ for all x) then the quotient map $\pi : X \to X/G$ induces an equivalence of categories $\pi^{-1} : (X/G)_{Nis} \to (X)_{GNis}$. Indeed, if $p : Y \to X$ is an equivariant Nisnevich cover then $p/G : Y/G \to X/G$ is as well because it is an étale cover and the equivariant splitting sequence for p induces one for p/G. It is now easy to check that $(-)/G$ and π^{-1} are inverse equivalences. As a consequence we obtain the following.

Lemma 3.8. *If X is quasi-projective with free action and F is a Nisnevich sheaf on X/G then $H^i_{GNis}(X, \pi_* F) = H^i_{Nis}(X/G, F)$. Similarly if F is an equivariant Nisnevich sheaf on X then $H^i_{GNis}(X, F) = H^i_{Nis}(X/G, \pi^* F)$.*

We refer to [Voe10b] for the definition of a complete, regular, and bounded cd-structure.

Theorem 3.9. *The equivariant Nisnevich cd-structure on $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$ is complete, regular and bounded.*

Proof. The argument is similar to that of [Voe10c, Theorem 2.2] for the usual Nisnevich topology. We provide a brief sketch of the details. First, since the equivariant distinguished squares are closed under pull back, it follows from [Voe10b, Lemma 2.4] that the equivariant Nisnevich cd-structure is complete. For regularity, one needs to see that for an equivariant distinguished square the square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y \arrow[d] \\
B \times_A B \arrow[r] & Y \times_X Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

is also distinguished, where the horizontal arrows are the diagonal. Because an equivariant distinguished square is a square whose maps are equivariant and which is nonequivariantly a distinguished square, this follows immediately from the nonequivariant case which is verified in [Voe10c, Lemma 2.14].

It remains to see that the cd-structure is bounded. For this we use the equivariant analogue of the standard density structure. That is for a smooth G-scheme X, let

$D_q(X)$ be the set of open, invariant embeddings $U \to X$ whose complement has codimension at least q. The arguments of [Voe10c, Proposition 2.10] carry over to the equivariant case to show that equivariant cd-structure is bounded by this density structure. □

Corollary 3.10. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sheaf of abelian groups on $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$ in the equivariant Nisnevich topology and let X be a smooth G-scheme over k. Then*

$$H^i_{GNis}(X;\mathcal{F}) = 0$$

for $i > \dim(X)$.

Remark 3.11. In particular all smooth schemes have bounded cohomological dimension, which is crucial for our definitions in Section 5 involving hypercohomology with unbounded coefficients.

3.2. **Points.** The definition of a Hensel pair (A, I) is recalled in Definition 2.9. Let $I \subseteq A$ be an ideal contained in the Jacobson radical of a ring A. There is a functorial Henselization of the pair (A, I), consisting of a ring map $A \to A^h$ such that (A^h, IA^h) is a Hensel pair and $A/I \cong A^h/IA^h$, see e.g., [Ray70]. If A has G action and I is invariant then G acts on A^h as well because $(-)^h$ is functorial.

Remark 3.12. Let S be a semilocal Henselian G-scheme, essentially of finite type over k. Let $Z \subseteq S$ be the set of closed points and suppose that $f : Y \to S$ is an equivariant étale map which admits an equivariant splitting over Z. Then f admits an equivariant splitting. Indeed, since S is Henselian there exists a splitting $s : S \to Y$ extending the one over Z. Since s is both open and closed, it is an isomorphism of S onto its image and moreover determines a decomposition $Y = s(S) \coprod Y'$. Since s is equivariant on Z, it follows that the image of S is invariant in Y and thus s is equivariant.

In particular if S is a Hensel semilocal G-scheme with a single orbit and $Y \to S$ is an equivariant Nisnevich cover, then every equivariant Nisnevich covering of S can be refined by the trivial covering. Thus Hensel semilocal G-schemes with a single closed orbit determine points for the Nisnevich topology.

The Henselization $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx})$ of a smooth G-scheme at an orbit is computed as a limit over étale neighborhoods of Gx,

$$\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx}) = \lim_{U \in N(Gx)} U.$$

Here $N(Gx)$ is the filtering category whose objects are pairs $(p : U \to X, s)$ where p is étale and $s : Gx \to U$ is a section of p over Gx. A morphism $(U \to X, s)$ to $(V \to X, s')$ is a map $f : U \to V$ making the evident triangles commute. Write $N_G(Gx) \subseteq N(Gx)$ for the filtering subcategory whose objects are those pairs $(p : U \to X, s)$ where U has G-action, p, s are equivariant and maps between pairs are induced by equivariant maps $U \to V$.

Lemma 3.13. *The inclusion $N_G(Gx) \subseteq N(Gx)$ is cofinal. In particular we may compute $\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx}$ as the limit of equivariant étale neighborhoods of Gx:*

$$\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx} = \lim_{U \in N_G(Gx)} U.$$

Proof. As these categories are filtering, in order to show cofinality it suffices to show that for any $(p : U \to X, s) \in N(Gx)$ there is a map $(W \to X, s') \to (U \to X, s)$ in $N_e(Gx)$ with $(W \to X, s') \in N_G(Gx)$.

Let $(p : U \to X, s) \in N(Gx)$. For $g \in G$, define $p^g : g_*U \to X$ to be the étale X-scheme given by $g_*U = U$ and $p^g := gp$. The identity $U = U$ can be viewed as a map $g_*U \to (hg)_*U$ over $h : X \to X$.

Label the elements of G by $e = g_0, g_1, \dots g_n$. Define W to be the $(n + 1)$-fold fiber product

$$W = U \times_X (g_1)_*U \times_X \cdots \times_X (g_n)_*U.$$

Write $\pi_{g_i} : W \to (g_i)_*U$ for the projection and consider W as an X-scheme via the composite $p\pi_e : W \to U \to X$. Note that $hp\pi_h = p\pi_e$.

Now W has a G-action given by permuting the factors. In other words we define $h : W \to W$ to be the map determined by the formula $\pi_{g_i}h = \pi_{h^{-1}g_i}$. This determines a map since $p^{g_i}\pi_{h^{-1}g_i} = p^{g_j}\pi_{h^{-1}g_j}$. Moreover $p^{g_i}\pi_{h^{-1}g_i} = hp\pi_e$ and thus $p\pi_e : W \to X$ is an equivariant étale map.

Define now $s' : Gx \to W$ to be the map determined in the g_ith coordinate by $sg_i^{-1} : Gx \to (g_i)_*U$. This defines an equivariant section of $p\pi_e : W \to X$ over $Gx \subseteq X$. and so $(W \to X, s') \in N_G(Gx)$. Now π_e determines a map $(W \to X, s') \to (U \to X, s)$ in $N_e(Gx)$ and therefore $N_G(Gx) \subseteq N_e(Gx)$ is cofinal as claimed.

□

We write $F(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx}) = \operatorname{colim}_{U \in N_G(Gx)} F(U)$. The Hensel semi-local G-schemes $\mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx}$ are the points of the equivariant Nisnevich topology, as we now show.

Theorem 3.14. *A map $\phi : F_1 \to F_2$ of sheaves of sets on $(G\mathrm{Sch}/k)_{GNis}$ is an isomorphism if and only if*

$$F_1(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx}) \to F_2(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx})$$

is an isomorphism for all G-schemes X over k and all $x \in X$.

Proof. If ϕ is an isomorphism of sheaves then clearly it induces an isomorphism $F_1(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx}) \cong F_2(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx})$ for all X and $x \in X$.

Suppose that ϕ induces isomorphisms $F_1(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx}) \cong F_2(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx})$ for all X and $x \in X$. We first we show that ϕ is a monomorphism. Suppose that $\phi(\alpha) = \phi(\beta)$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in F_1(X)$. Then $[\alpha] = [\beta] \in F_1(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx})$ for all $x \in X$. Thus for each $x \in X$, there is some equivariant étale map $V_x \to X$ which admits an equivariant section over $Gx \to V$ and $\alpha|_V = \beta|_V$. Let $\eta_i \in X$ be generic points. Then $V_{\eta_i} \to X$ has an equivariant section over an invariant open $U_1 \subseteq X$. Consider V_{α_i} corresponding to generic points of $Z_1 = X - U_1$ and let $Z_2 \subseteq Z_1$ the complement of the set where $\coprod V_{\alpha_i}$ has a section. Proceeding in this way we obtain a finite number of equivariant étale maps $V_{x_i} \to X$ such that $V = \coprod V_{x_i} \to X$ is a Nisnevich cover. Moreover V has the property that $\alpha|_V = \beta|_V$ and because F_1 is a sheaf this means that $\alpha = \beta$ in $F_1(X)$.

Now we show that ϕ is a surjection. Let $\alpha \in F_2(X)$. For any $x \in X$ there is $[\beta] \in F_1(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx})$ such that $\phi([\beta]) = [\alpha] \in F_2(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx})$. Thus for each $x \in X$ there is an equivariant étale map $f_x : V_x \to X$, which admits an equivariant section $Gx \to V_x$, and $\beta_x \in F_1(V_x)$ such that $\phi(\beta_x) = \alpha|_{V_x}$. As in the previous paragraph we can find a finite number of points $x_1, \dots, x_n$ such that $V = \coprod V_{x_i} \to X$ is an equivariant Nisnevich cover. The elements β_{x_i} determine the element $\beta \in F_1(V)$ with the property that $\phi(\beta) = \alpha|_V$ and thus ϕ is surjective as well. $\square$

Remark 3.15. By [Her], the points of the fixed point Nisnevich topology are the semi-local rings $G/H \times \mathcal{O}^h_{X,x}$ where $H \subseteq G$ is a subgroups, and x is a point of the smooth scheme X equipped with trivial action.

The following is a useful simple alternate description of $\mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx}$ when $I_x = G_x$. This includes in particular every rational point $x \in X$.

Proposition 3.16. *Let X be a G-scheme over k and suppose that $I_x = G_x$. Then*

$$G \times^{I_x} \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,x}) \cong \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx}).$$

Proof. The map $q : G \times^{G_x} \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,x}) \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx}$ is equivariant and étale. Since $I_x = G_x$, $Gx \cong G/G_x$ and $q^{-1}(Gx) \cong Gx$. This implies that q is split. The splitting is an isomorphism onto an open subscheme and it must be surjective because otherwise $G \times^{G_x} \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,x})$ would have a closed point not in $q^{-1}(Gx)$. $\square$

Since $(G\mathrm{Sm}/k)_{GNis}$ has enough points we can form the Godement resolution of a presheaf.

Definition 3.17. Let $F(-)$ be a presheaf of chain complexes of abelian groups on $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$. The *Godement resolution* $F(-) \to \mathcal{G}F(-)$ is defined as follows. Let

$$G^0F(U) = \prod_{u \in U} F(\mathcal{O}^h_{U,Gu}).$$

Define $G^nF = G \circ \cdots \circ GF$ to be the $(n+1)$-fold composition of G. The inclusions and projections of the various factors give $n \mapsto G^nF(U)$ a cosimplicial structure. Now define

$$\mathcal{G}F(U) = \operatorname{Tot} G^{\bullet}F(U).$$

Then $F \to \mathcal{G}F$ is a flasque resolution of F on $(G\mathrm{Sm}/k)_{GNis}$. Consequently $\mathcal{G}F(U)$ computes the hypercohomology with coefficients in F:

$$H^k\mathcal{G}F(U) = H^k_{GNis}(U, F).$$

3.3. **Change of groups.** Let $H \subseteq G$ be a subgroup. We have an adjoint pair of functors

$$\epsilon : H\mathrm{Sm}/k \rightleftarrows G\mathrm{Sm}/k : \rho \tag{3.18}$$

where $\epsilon(X) = G \times^H X$ and $\rho(W) = W$. Both functors commute with fiber products and send covering families to covering families for both the equivariant Zariski and equivariant Nisnevich topologies. Therefore both define morphism of sites in the equivariant Zariski as well as in the equivariant Nisnevich topologies.

We have adjoint functors

$$\epsilon^* : Shv_{HNis}(H\mathrm{Sm}/k) \rightleftarrows Shv_{GNis}(G\mathrm{Sm}/k) : \epsilon_*$$

and

$$\rho^* : Shv_{GNis}(G\mathrm{Sm}/k) \rightleftarrows Shv_{HNis}(H\mathrm{Sm}/k) : \rho_*,$$

where $\epsilon_*F(X) = F(G \times^H X)$ and $\rho_*K(W) = K(W)$. Additionally we have that $\rho^* = \epsilon_*$. It follows that ϵ_* is exact and so we have the following.

Lemma 3.19. *Let X be a smooth H-scheme. Then*

$$H^i_{GNis}(G \times^H X, F) = H^i_{HNis}(X, \epsilon_*F).$$

4. Presheaves with equivariant transfers

Let $G\operatorname{Cor}_k$ denote the category whose objects are smooth G-varieties and morphisms are equivariant finite correspondences, that is

$$G\operatorname{Cor}_k(X,Y) := \operatorname{Cor}_k(X,Y)^G.$$

An *elementary equivariant correspondence* from X to Y is a correspondence of the form $\mathcal{Z} = Z + g_1Z + \cdots + g_kZ$, where $Z \subseteq X\times Y$ is an elementary correspondence and g_i range over a set of coset representatives for $\operatorname{Stab}(Z) = \{g \in G | g(Z) = Z\}$. The group $G\operatorname{Cor}_k(X,Y)$ is the free abelian group generated by the elementary equivariant correspondences.

There is a canonical inclusion of categories $G\mathrm{Sm}/k \subseteq G\operatorname{Cor}_k$ which sends $f : X \to Y$ to its graph $\Gamma_f \subseteq X \times Y$.

Definition 4.1. (1) A *presheaf with equivariant transfers* is a presheaf $F : G\operatorname{Cor}_k^{op} \to \mathrm{Ab}$.

(2) Say that F is *homotopy invariant* if $p : X\times\mathbb{A}^1 \to X$ induces an isomorphism $p^* : F(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} F(X\times\mathbb{A}^1)$.

A useful simple consequence of homotopy invariance is that representations are contractible.

Proposition 4.2. *Let F be homotopy invariant presheaf of abelian groups and V a finite dimensional representation. Then $p^* : F(X\times\mathbb{A}(V)) \to F(X)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The map $\mathbb{A}(V)\times\mathbb{A}^1 \to V$, $(v,t)\mapsto tv$ is an equivariant homotopy between the identity on $\mathbb{A}(V)$ and $\mathbb{A}(V) \to \{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{A}(V)$. □

Every smooth G-scheme Y represents a presheaf with transfers which we write as

$$\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y)(-) = G\operatorname{Cor}_k(-,Y).$$

Note that this is in fact a sheaf in the equivariant Nisnevich topology. If Y is quasi-projective and X is normal, then the map

$$\operatorname{Cor}_k(X,Y) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sch}/k}(X, \operatorname{Sym}(Y))^+$$

becomes an isomorphism after inverting the exponential characteristic, see e.g., [SV96, Theorem 6.8] or [BV08, Proposition 2.1.3].

Example 4.3. The sheaf $(\mathcal{O}^*)^G$ of invariant invertible functions is a presheaf with equivariant transfers which can be seen using Lemma 6.13. Alternatively one may note that the transfer structure can be described as follows. The sheaf $\mathcal{O}^*$ is represented by the group scheme $\mathbb{G}_m$. We have an induced monoid morphism $\rho : \operatorname{Sym}(\mathbb{G}_m) \to \mathbb{G}_m$. Let $\mathcal{W} : X \to \operatorname{Sym}(Y)$ be an effective finite correspondence. Define $\mathcal{W}^* : \mathbb{G}_m(Y) \to \mathbb{G}_m(X)$ by $\mathcal{W}^*(\phi) = \rho\operatorname{Sym}(\phi)\mathcal{W}$. It is immediate from this definition that $\mathcal{W}^*(\phi)$ is equivariant whenever $\mathcal{W}$ and ϕ are equivariant.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $H \subseteq G$ be a subgroup. There is an adjunction*

$$\epsilon : H\operatorname{Cor}_k \rightleftarrows G\operatorname{Cor}_k : \rho \tag{4.5}$$

where $\epsilon(X) = G\times^H X$ and $\rho(W) = W$.

Proof. We need to show that if X is a smooth H-scheme and W is a smooth G-scheme then $H\operatorname{Cor}_k(X,W)\cong G\operatorname{Cor}_k(G\times^H X,W)$. We have an H-equivariant map $i:X\to G\times^H X$, induced by $x\mapsto(e,x)$. This gives $i^*:G\operatorname{Cor}_k(G\times^H X,W)\to H\operatorname{Cor}_k(X,W)$. It is straightforward to check that this is an isomorphism. □

A presheaf F with equivariant transfers is an *equivariant Nisnevich sheaf with transfers* provided that the restriction of F to $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$ is a sheaf in the equivariant Nisnevich topology. We finish this section with a discussion of the relation between transfers and sheafification.

Theorem 4.6. *Let X be a smooth G-scheme and $p:Y\to X$ an equivariant Nisnevich cover. Then*

$$\cdots\xrightarrow{p_0-p_1+p_2}\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y\times_X Y)\xrightarrow{p_0-p_1}\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y)\xrightarrow{p}\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(X)\to 0$$

is exact as a complex of equivariant Nisnevich sheaves.

Proof. It suffices to check that the complex is exact on all $\mathcal{O}^h_{U,Gu}$, i.e., that

$$\cdots\to\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y\times_X Y)(S)\to\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y)(S)\to\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(X)(S)\to 0 \tag{4.7}$$

is exact for every equivariant Hensel semilocal G-scheme S with a single closed orbit. Let $Z\subseteq X\times S$ be an invariant closed subscheme which is quasi-finite over S. Define $L(Z/S)$ to be the free abelian group generated by the irreducible components of Z which are finite and surjective over S. The assignment $Z\to L(Z/S)^G$ is covariantly functorial for equivariant maps of quasi-finite G-schemes over S. The sequence (4.7) is a filtered colimit of sequences of the form

$$\cdots\to L(Z_Y\times_Z Z_Y/S)^G\to L(Z_Y/S)^G\to L(Z/S)^G\to 0 \tag{4.8}$$

where the colimit is taken over all invariant closed subschemes $Z\subseteq X\times S$ which are finite and surjective over S. It therefore suffices to show that (4.8) is exact. Since S is an equivariant Hensel semilocal with a single closed orbit and Z is finite over S it is also equivariant Hensel semilocal. The equivariant Nisnevich covering $Z_Y\to Z$ therefore splits equivariantly (see Remark 3.12). Let $s_1:Z\to Z_Y$ be a splitting. Set $(Z_Y)^k_Z=Z_Y\times_Z\cdots\times_Z Z_Y$. We obtain contracting homotopies $s_k:L((Z_Y)^k_Z/S)^G\to L((Z_Y)^{k+1}_Z)^G$ by letting s_k be the map induced by $s_1\times_Z id_{(Z_Y)^k_Z}$, which completes the proof. □

The previous statement fails when we replace the equivariant Nisnevich topology with the fixed point Nisnevich topology. (The following is also an example of a fixed point Nisnevich covering for which equivariant K-theory does not satisfy descent).

Example 4.9. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-schemes $X=\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$ over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{R})$ with conjugation action and X^{triv} the scheme X with trivial action. Let $Y=\mathbb{Z}/2\times X^{triv}$. The action map $Y\to X$ is then a fixed point Nisnevich cover. In [Her] it is shown that the points of this topology are of the form $G/H\times\mathcal{O}^h_{W^H,w}$. In particular, if

$$\cdots\to\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y\times_X Y)\to\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y)\to\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(X)\to 0$$

is exact in the fixed point Nisnevich topology, then its restriction to $\mathrm{Sm}/\mathbb{R}$ would be exact in the Nisnevich topology. But its restriction to $\mathrm{Sm}/\mathbb{R}$ is

$$\cdots\to\mathbb{Z}_{tr}(\mathbb{C}\times_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C})\to\mathbb{Z}_{tr}(\mathbb{C})\to\mathbb{Z}_{tr}(\mathbb{R})\to 0,$$

which is not exact in the Nisnevich topology. Indeed if it were exact then applying $\mathbb{Z}/2(n)$, the complex computing weight n motivic cohomology with mod-2 coefficients, would imply a quasi-isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}/2(n)(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{Z}/2(n)(\mathbb{C})^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}$ and then we would have

$$H^i_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z}/2(n)) = H^i\mathbb{Z}/2(n)(\mathbb{R}) = H^i\mathbb{Z}/2(n)(\mathbb{C})^{h\mathbb{Z}/2} = H^i_{et}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z}/2)$$

for all $i \geq 0$, which is not true.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $p : U \to Y$ be an equivariant Nisnevich cover and $f : X \to Y$ an equivariant finite correspondence. Then there is an equivariant Nisnevich covering $p' : V \to X$ and an equivariant finite correspondence $f' : V \to U$ which fit into the following commutative square in $G\,\mathrm{Cor}_k$,*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "p'"'] & U \arrow[d, "p"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. We may assume that f is an equivariant elementary correspondence. Write $Z = \mathrm{Supp}(f)$ and consider the pullback $Z' = Z \times_Y U \subseteq X \times U$. Then $Z' \to Z$ is an equivariant Nisnevich cover and $\pi : Z \to X$ is finite. We can find an equivariant Nisnevich cover $V \to X$ such that $V \times_X Z' \to V \times_X Z$ has a section.

Let s be such a section. Then $s(V \times_X Z') \subseteq V \times U$ is finite and equivariant over V and its associated equivariant correspondence defines the required $f' : V \to U$. □

Theorem 4.11. *Let F be a presheaf with equivariant transfers on $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$. Then F_{GNis} has a unique structure of a presheaf with equivariant transfers such that $F \to F_{GNis}$ is a morphism of presheaves with equivariant transfers.*

Proof. The proof is parallel to the proof of [MVW06, Theorem 6.17]. We begin with uniqueness. Let F_1 and F_2 be two presheaves with transfers with a map of presheaves of transfers $F \to F_i$ whose restriction to $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$ is the canonical map $F \to F_{GNis}$. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a map in $G\,\mathrm{Cor}_k$ and $y \in F_1(Y) = F_2(Y) = F_{GNis}(Y)$. Choose an equivariant Nisnevich covering $U \to Y$ such that $y|_U$ is in the image of $u \in F(U)$. Applying Lemma we have a commutative square in $G\,\mathrm{Cor}_k$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "p'"'] & U \arrow[d, "p"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $p' : V \to Y$ is an equivariant Nisnevich cover. It is straightforward to verify, using this square, that $F_1(f)(y) = F_2(f)(y)$ and so $F_1 = F_2$ as presheaves with equivariant transfers.

For existence, we first define a map $F_{GNis}(Y)\,\mathrm{Hom}_{Sh}(\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y), F_{GNis})$ which is natural for maps in $G\,\mathrm{Cor}_k$ and such that the square commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(Y) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pre}(G\,\mathrm{Cor}_k)}(\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y), F) \arrow[d] \\
F_{GNis}(Y) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Shv}(G\,\mathrm{Cor}_k)}(\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y), F_{GNis}).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Given $y \in F_{GNis}(Y)$ there is an equivariant Nisnevich cover $U \to Y$ such that $y|_U$ is the image of $u \in F(U)$. The element u determines a morphism $\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(U) \to F$ of presheaves with equivariant transfers. By shrinking U we may assume that u restricts to the zero map $\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(U \times_Y U) \to F$ under the difference map $(p_1)_* - (p_2)_* : \mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(U \times_Y U) \to \mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(U)$. This in turn implies that the induced morphism of sheaves $\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(U) \to F_{GNis}$ also restricts to zero under the difference map.

Now Theorem 4.6 implies that that u determines a map $[y] : \mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y) \to F_{GNis}$ and it is straightforward to verify that this is independent of the choice of U and u. We now define $G\operatorname{Cor}_k(X, Y) \otimes F_{GNis}(Y) \to F_{GNis}(X)$ as follows. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a finite equivariant correspondence and $y \in F_{GNis}(Y)$. Consider the composition $[y]f : \mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(X) \to \mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y) \to F_{GNis}$ and define the pairing by sending (f, y) to the image of the identity in $\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(X)(X)$ in $F_{GNis}(X)$ under $[y]f$. □

A presheaf F with equivariant transfers is said to be an *equivariant Nisnevich sheaf* with equivariant transfers if the restriction of F to $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$ is a sheaf in the equivariant Nisnevich topology. We write $\mathrm{Shv}(G\operatorname{Cor}_k)$ for the category of sheaves with equivariant transfers in the equivariant Nisnevich topology.

Corollary 4.12. *The category* $\mathrm{Shv}(G\operatorname{Cor}_k)$ *is an abelian category with enough injectives and the inclusion* $i : \mathrm{Shv}(G\operatorname{Cor}_k) \to \mathrm{Pre}(G\operatorname{Cor}_k)$ *has a left adjoint* a_{GNis} *which is exact and commutes with the forgetful functor to (pre)sheaves on* $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$.

Theorem 4.13. *Let F be an equivariant Nisnevich sheaf with equivariant transfers. Then*

1. *the cohomology presheaves* $H^n_{GNis}(-, F)$ *are presheaves with equivariant transfers,*
2. *for any smooth X,* $F(X) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Shv}(G\operatorname{Cor}_k)}(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}(X), F)$*, and*
3. *for any smooth X,*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^n_{\mathrm{Shv}(G\operatorname{Cor}_k)}(\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(X), F) = H^n_{GNis}(X, F).$$

Proof. Suppose that F is a sheaf with equivariant transfers. Then the Godement resolution $F \to \mathcal{G}F$ in Definition 3.17 is a resolution of sheaves with equivariant transfers by the same reasoning as in [MVW06, Example 6.20]. This implies the first statement. The second statement follows from the previous corollary together with the Yoneda lemma. For the third item, note that if F is an injective sheaf with equivariant transfers then $F \to G^0F$ is split and so $H^n_{GNis}(X, F)$ is a summand of $H^n_{GNis}(X, G^0F) = 0$. It follows that $H^n_{GNis}(X, F) = 0$ whenever F is an injective and the result follows. □

5. Bredon motivic cohomology

In this section we introduce our Bredon motivic cohomology, explain how Mackey functors naturally appear in this setting, and give some examples of our theory.

5.1. **Definition and first properties.** If F is a presheaf of abelian groups on $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$, write $C_nF(X) = F(X \times \Delta^n_k)$. This gives a presheaf of simplicial abelian groups $n \mapsto C_nF(X)$ and thus to an associated chain complex C_*F. We write C^*F for the associated cochain complex so that $C^{-k}F = C_kF$. If A is a cochain complex then the shifted complex $A[n]$ is the complex $A[n]^i = A^{i+n}$.

Definition 5.1. (1) Let V be a finite dimensional representation. Define $\mathbb{Z}_G(V)$ to be the complex of presheaves with equivariant transfers given by

$$\mathbb{Z}_G(V) = C^* \left(\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{P}(V \oplus 1))/\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{P}(V))\right)[-2|V|].$$

(2) When $V = k[G]^n$ we adopt the notation

$$\mathbb{Z}_G(n) = \mathbb{Z}_G(k[G]^{\oplus n})$$

By virtue of their definition, the complexes $\mathbb{Z}_G(V)$ are acyclic in degrees larger than $2|V|$. In particular $\mathbb{Z}_G(n)$ is acyclic in degrees larger than $2n|G|$.

Definition 5.2. Let X be a smooth G-variety. Define the *Bredon motivic cohomology* of X to be

$$H^n_G(X, \mathbb{Z}(m)) = H^n_{GNis}(X, \mathbb{Z}_G(m)).$$

More generally we write

$$H^n_G(X, \mathbb{Z}(V)) = H^n_{GNis}(X, \mathbb{Z}_G(V)).$$

Remark 5.3. By Corollary 3.10 all objects of $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$ have finite equivariant Nisnevich cohomological dimension. This implies that the displayed hypercohomology groups (whose coefficients are unbounded complexes) are well defined, see [Wei94, Corollary 10.5.11].

An elementary equivariant $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy between two maps in $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$ (resp. in $G\,\mathrm{Cor}_k$) $f_0, f_1 : X \to Y$ is a map $H : X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \to Y$ in $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$ (resp. in $G\,\mathrm{Cor}_k$) such that $H|_{X\times\{i\}} = f_i$. An map $f : X \to Y$ is said to be an elementary equivariant $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy equivalence if there is a map $g : Y \to X$ such that both fg and gf are elementary equivariant $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopic to the identity.

Lemma 5.4. (1) *If F is a presheaf and $f_0, f_1 : X \to Y$ are elementary equivariant $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopic then the maps $f_0^*, f_1^* : C^*F(Y) \to C^*F(X)$ are chain homotopic.*
(2) *The cohomology presheaves $X \mapsto H^iC^*F(X)$ are homotopy invariant.*
(3) *If $f : X \to Y$ is an elementary $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy equivalence then the induced map of complexes $f_* : C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(X) \to C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y)$ is a chain homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. The proofs of all these statements is exactly as in the nonequivariant setting. See e.g., [MVW06, Lecture 2]. □

Proposition 5.5. (1) *Let $U \coprod Y \to X$ be an equivariant distinguished cover, then there is a Mayer-Vietoris long exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned}\cdots \to H^n_G(X, \mathbb{Z}(m)) \to & H^n_G(U, \mathbb{Z}(m)) \oplus H^n_G(Y, \mathbb{Z}(m)) \to H^n_G(U \times_X Y, \mathbb{Z}(m)) \\ & \to H^{n+1}_G(X, \mathbb{Z}(m)) \to \cdots\end{aligned}$$

(and similarly for coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_G(V)$).
(2) *If G is abelian and k is algebraically closed or if $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$ and k is perfect, then*

$$H^n_G(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, \mathbb{Z}(m)) \cong H^n_G(X, \mathbb{Z}(m))$$

(and similarly for coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_G(V)$).

Proof. The first statement follows immediately from the fact that the Bredon motivic cohomology is defined as hypercohomology in the equivariant Nisnevich topology. The cohomology presheaves of $\mathbb{Z}(m)$ are homotopy invariant presheaves with transfers and so the second item follows from Theorem 9.13 together with the standard hypercohomology spectral sequence. □

Theorem 5.6. *Let G be abelian and k algebraically closed or $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$ and k perfect. If $F_{GNis} = 0$ then $(C_*F)_{GNis} \simeq 0$.*

Proof. Using the equivariant homotopy invariance result Theorem 9.13, the argument is the same as in [MVW06, Theorem 13.12]. □

Proposition 5.7. *Let G be abelian and k an algebraically closed field or $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$ and k perfect. Let V be a finite dimensional representation. There is a quasi-isomorphism*

$$C_*\big(\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{A}(V))/\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{A}(V)-0)\big) \xrightarrow{\simeq} C_*\big(\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{P}(V\oplus 1)/\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{P}(V))\big).$$

Proof. It follows from Example 3.3 that the map

$$\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{A}(V))/\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{A}(V)-0) \to \mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{P}(V\oplus 1)/\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{P}(V\oplus 1)-\mathbb{P}(1))$$

becomes an isomorphism after equivariant Nisnevich sheafification. The inclusion $\mathbb{P}(V) \subseteq \mathbb{P}(V\oplus 1) - \mathbb{P}(1)$ is an equivariant $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy equivalence.

The result now follows from an application of Theorem 5.6 and Lemma 5.4. □

Corollary 5.8. *Under the assumptions above, there is a quasi-isomorphism*

$$\operatorname{cone}\big(C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{A}(V)-0) \to \mathbb{Z}\big) \simeq C_*\big(\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{P}(V\oplus 1)/\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{P}(V))\big).$$

Proof. The map $\mathbb{A}(W) \to \operatorname{Spec}(k)$ is an equivariant $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy equivalence. The result thus follows from the previous proposition together with Theorem 5.6 and Lemma 5.4. □

5.2. **Coefficient systems.** Let $\mathcal{O}_G$ denote the category of finite left G-sets with equivariant maps.

A *Bredon coefficient system* is an additive functor $M : \mathcal{O}_G^{op} \to \mathrm{Ab}$. Let $\mathcal{B}_G$ denote the Burnside category of G, its objects are the same as $G\Gamma$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}_G}(A,B)$ consists of isomorphism classes of diagrams of equivariant maps of finite G-sets of the form $A \leftarrow X \to B$. The composition of $A \leftarrow X \to B$ and $B \leftarrow X' \to C$ is given by $A \leftarrow X \times_B X' \to C$. A *Mackey functor* is an additive functor $M : \mathcal{B}_G^{op} \to \mathrm{Ab}$.

The Hecke category $\mathcal{H}_G$ has the same objects as $\mathcal{O}_G$ and morphisms are given by $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_G}(S,T) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mathbb{Z}[S],\mathbb{Z}[T])$. A *cohomological Mackey functor* is an additive functor $M : \mathcal{H}_G^{op} \to \mathrm{Ab}$. There is a Hurewicz functor $H : \mathcal{B}_G \to \mathcal{H}_G$ given by sending the map $S \xleftarrow{f} X \xrightarrow{g} T$ to the map $\mathbb{Z}[S] \to \mathbb{Z}[T]$ given by $s \mapsto \sum_{x\in f^{-1}(s)} g(x)$. Maps between orbits in $\mathcal{B}_G$ may be interpreted as the maps between orbits in the stable equivariant homotopy category. From this point of view the functor H above is the result of applying the usual Hurewicz functor in stable equivariant homotopy theory.

We have an embedding $\mathcal{O}_G \subseteq G\mathrm{Sm}/k$ given by $S \mapsto \coprod_S \operatorname{Spec}(k)$. The composition $\mathcal{O}_G \subseteq G\mathrm{Sm}/k \subseteq G\operatorname{Cor}_k$ factors through a faithful embedding $\mathcal{H}_G \subseteq G\operatorname{Cor}_k$. We thus have an embedding of the category of cohomological Mackey functors into the category of presheaves with equivariant transfers. The category of (pre)sheaves with transfers has a tensor structure and by tensoring the complexes $\mathbb{Z}_G(n)$ with a cohomological Mackey functor M, we obtain Bredon motivic cohomology theory with coefficients in M.

Example 5.9. We have an embedding of topological representation spheres into our setting. Let X be a based G-CW complex write $\underline{C}_n(X)$ for the Mackey functor given by $G/H \mapsto C_n(X^H)$, where $C_*(W)$ denotes the reduced cellular chain complex of a CW-complex. Let V be a real orthogonal representation and write S^V for the one-point compactification of V. Define $\mathbb{Z}_{top}(V) = \underline{C}_*(S^V)$. Note that this definition depends on the choice of equivariant cellular decomposition of S^V, however two different choices give quasi-isomorphic complexes.

An important case of the topological spheres in the previous example occurs when $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$. Write S^σ for the topological representation sphere associated to the sign representation. Note that in this case, $\mathbb{Z}_{top}(S^\sigma) = \mathrm{cone}(\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{Z}/2) \to \mathbb{Z})$. As in [MVW06, Section 8], there is a tensor product $\otimes_{tr}$ on $D^-(G\,\mathrm{Cor}_k)$ which is induced by $\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(X) \otimes_{tr} \mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y) = \mathbb{Z}_{tr}(X \times Y)$. The following will be useful in Section 10.

Lemma 5.10. *The complex $\mathbb{Z}_{top}(S^\sigma)$ is invertible in $(D^-(G\,\mathrm{Cor}_k), \otimes_{tr})$.*

Proof. Write $q_i : \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \to \mathbb{Z}/2$ for the projection to the ith factor and write $p : \mathbb{Z}/2 \to *$ for the projection to a point. The complex $\mathbb{Z}_{top}(S^\sigma)$ is the complex $0 \to \mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{Z} \to 0$ (with $\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(*)$ in degree zero). We claim that the inverse $\mathbb{Z}_{top}(S^{-\sigma}))$ is given by $0 \to \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{p^t} \mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{Z}/2) \to 0$ (again with $\mathbb{Z}$ in degree zero, and $(-)^t$ denotes the transpose). The tensor product $\mathbb{Z}_{top}(S^\sigma) \otimes_{tr} \mathbb{Z}_{top}(S^{-\sigma})$ is the complex

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{(p,-q_1^t)} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{p^t \oplus q_2} \mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{Z}/2) \to 0.$$

Write E_* for this complex. We have a chain homotopy $s : E_* \to E_{*+1}$ between the identity on E_* and the composite $E_* \to \mathbb{Z} \to E_*$ (where $\mathbb{Z}$ is concentrated in degree zero) given by $s_0 = -\Delta$, $s_{-1} = \Delta$ and $s_i = 0$ for $i \neq 0, -1$, where $\Delta : \mathbb{Z}/2 \to \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ is the diagonal. □

5.3. **Examples.** We record a few simple examples. The first one is straightforward.

Lemma 5.11. *Let G be abelian and k algebraically closed or $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$ and k perfect. There is a quasi-isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_G(0) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathbb{Z}$ is the complex consisting of the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Z}$ in degree zero.*

Proposition 5.12. *Let V be a one dimensional representation. Then we have a quasi-isomorphism*

$$C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{A}(V) - 0) \simeq (\mathcal{O}^*)^G \oplus \mathbb{Z},$$

in the derived category of sheaves on $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$, where $(\mathcal{O}^*)^G$ is the sheaf of invariant invertible functions viewed as a complex concentrated in degree zero.

Remark 5.13. This is not a decomposition of complexes of sheaves with equivariant transfers except in the case when V is the trivial representation.

Proof. The homology of $C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(V - \{0\})(X)$ is identified with equivariant Suslin homology,

$$H_i(C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{A}(V) - \{0\})(X)) = H_i^{Sus}(G; (X \times \mathbb{A}(V) - \{0\})/X).$$

By Theorem 6.12 we thus have

$$H_i(C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{A}(V)-\{0\})(X)) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{Div}^G_{rat}(X\times\mathbb{P}(V\oplus 1), X\times\{0,\infty\}) & i=0 \\ 0 & i\neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Write K for the kernel of the action of G on $X\times\mathbb{P}(V\oplus 1)$. Then G/K acts faithfully on $X\times\mathbb{P}(V\oplus 1)$,

$$\mathrm{Div}^G_{rat}(X\times\mathbb{P}(V\oplus 1), X\times\{0,\infty\}) = \mathrm{Div}^{G/K}_{rat}(X\times\mathbb{P}(V\oplus 1), X\times\{0,\infty\}),$$

and by Proposition 6.8,

$$\mathrm{Div}^{G/K}_{rat}(X\times\mathbb{P}(V\oplus 1), X\times\{0,\infty\}) = \mathrm{Pic}^{G/K}(X\times\mathbb{P}(V\oplus 1), X\times\{0,\infty\}).$$

Using the exact sequence (6.6) for the relative equivariant Picard group and that for X smooth $\mathrm{Pic}^G(X\times\mathbb{P}(V\oplus 1)) = \mathrm{Pic}^G(X)\times\mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\mathrm{Pic}^{G/K}(X\times\mathbb{P}(V\oplus 1), X\times\{0,\infty\}) = \mathcal{O}^*(X)^{G/K}\oplus\mathbb{Z} = \mathcal{O}^*(X)^G\oplus\mathbb{Z},$$

from which the result follows. □

Proposition 5.14. *Let X be a smooth, quasi-projective G-scheme. Then*

$$H^i_{GNis}(X,(\mathcal{O}^*_X)^G) = \begin{cases} \Gamma(X,\mathcal{O}^*)^G & i=0 \\ \mathrm{Pic}(X/G) & i=1 \\ 0 & \textit{else.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Consider the short exact sequence of equivariant Nisnevich sheaves on X, $0\to(\mathcal{O}^*_X)^G\to(\mathcal{K}^*_X)^G\to(\mathcal{K}^*_X)^G/(\mathcal{O}^*_X)^G\to 0$. Since X is smooth, $(\mathcal{K}^*_X)^G$ is constant and so is flasque. We have $(\mathcal{K}^*_X)^G/(\mathcal{O}^*_X)^G = \oplus_{x\in X}(i_{Gx})_*(\mathcal{K}^*_X)^G/(\mathcal{O}^*_{X,Gx})^G$, where $i_{Gx}: Gx\to X$ is the inclusion of an orbit, which is also flasque and so $H^i_{GNis}(X,(\mathcal{O}^*_X)^G)=0$ for $i>1$.

Consider the quotient map $\pi: X\to X/G$ and the resulting Leray spectral sequence $H^p_{Nis}(X/G, R^q\pi_*(\mathcal{O}^*_X)^G)\Rightarrow H^{p+q}_{GNis}(X,(\mathcal{O}^*_X)^G)$. If U is semilocal, then one may compute that $\check{H}^1_{GNis}(U,(\mathcal{O}^*_U)^G)=0$ and therefore $R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{O}^*_X)^G=0$. It follows that

$$H^1_{GNis}(X,(\mathcal{O}^*_X)^G) = H^1_{Nis}(X/G,\pi_*(\mathcal{O}^*_X)^G) = H^1_{Nis}(X/G,\mathcal{O}^*_{X/G}) = \mathrm{Pic}(X/G).$$

□

Remark 5.15. If X is smooth but not quasi-projective, one can compute directly that $H^1_{GNis}(X,(\mathcal{O}^*_X)^G)$ is the group of G-line bundles $\mathcal{L}$ on X such that each fiber $\mathcal{L}_x$ has trivial I_x-action. The above argument still applies to show that $H^i_{GNis}(X,(\mathcal{O}^*_X)^G)=0$ for $i>1$.

Corollary 5.16. *Let G be an abelian group over an algebraically closed field or $G=\mathbb{Z}/2$ and k perfect. Let X be a smooth G-scheme and V a one dimensional representation. Then*

$$H^i_G(X,\mathbb{Z}(V)) = \begin{cases} \Gamma(X,\mathcal{O}^*)^G & i=1 \\ \mathrm{Pic}(X/G) & i=2 \\ 0 & \textit{else.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Proposition 5.12 and Corollary 5.8 we have that $\mathbb{Z}_G(V)\simeq(\mathcal{O}^*)^G[-1]$. The result then follows immediately from the previous proposition. □

For an abelian group G and one dimensional representations V, V', the chain complexes $\mathbb{Z}_G(V)$ and $\mathbb{Z}_G(V')$ are quasi-isomorphic as complexes of sheaves in the equivariant Nisnevich topology. The following example makes explicit that for higher dimensional representations, distinct representations give distinct chain complexes. For a representation V, we write $\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(T^V) = \mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{P}(V \oplus 1))/\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{P}(V))$.

Example 5.17. Let p be a prime and $G = \mathbb{Z}/p$ and k a field which admits resolution of singularities. For any representation V, we have that

$$H^i_G(k, \mathbb{Z}(V)) = H^{i-2pn}(C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(T^V)(k)) = H^{i-2pn}(z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}(V), 0)(\Delta^\bullet_k)^G),$$

where $z_{equi}(X, 0)$ is the presheaf of equidimensional cycles of relative dimension 0. We compare the complexes $\mathbb{Z}_G(V)[2|V|] = C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(T^V)$ for $V = nk[G]$ and 1^{np}. We have that $H^i(C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(1^{np})(k)) = H^{i+2np}_{\mathcal{M}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(np))$. On the other hand, $C_*z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}(nk[G]), 0)^G = \oplus_{j=n}^{np-1}\mathbb{Z}/p(j)[2j] \oplus \mathbb{Z}(np)[2np]$ in $DM(k)$ by [Nie08, Theorem 5.4]. In particular

$$H^iC_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(T^{nk[G]}) = \oplus_{j=n}^{np-1} H^{i+2j}_{\mathcal{M}}(k, \mathbb{Z}/p(j)) \oplus H^{i+2np}_{\mathcal{M}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(np)) \neq H^*_G(k, \mathbb{Z}(1^{np})).$$

We finish this section by relating our construction to Edidin-Graham's equivariant higher Chow groups [EG98]. These are constructed as follows. Consider a pair (V, U) where V is a faithful representation and $U \subseteq \mathbb{A}(V)$ is an open subscheme on which G acts freely. Then U/G exists as a scheme and it is a algebro-geometric approximation to BG. Such pairs always exist, moreover one can arrange that $\dim V$ and $\operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{A}(V)}(\mathbb{A}(V) - U)$ are arbitrarily large. The equivariant Higher Chow group of a quasi-projective G-scheme X in bidegree (n, m) is defined to be $CH^n_G(X, m) = CH^n(X \times^G U, m)$ for a pair (V, U) with $\mathbb{A}(V) - U$ of sufficiently large codimension. We refer to loc. cit. for full details.

Theorem 5.18. *Let X be a smooth quasi-projective G-scheme. There is a natural map*

$$H^i_G(X, \mathbb{Z}(1^q)) \to CH^q_G(X, 2q - i).$$

Proof. We have a natural isomorphism $H^n_{\mathcal{M}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(q)) \cong CH^k(X, 2q - n)$. The complex $\mathbb{Z}(q)$ on Sm/k is $C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}(\mathbb{P}^q)/\mathbb{Z}_{tr}(\mathbb{P}^{q-1}))[-2n]$. If Y has trivial action then $G\operatorname{Cor}_k(X, Y) = \operatorname{Cor}_k(X/G, Y)$ for a G-scheme X. Therefore we have the natural identification $\mathbb{Z}_G(1^q)(X) = \mathbb{Z}(q)(X/G)$. Using this identification, Lemma 3.8, and the projection $X \times U \to X$ we thus have the comparison map

$$\begin{aligned} H^i_G(X, \mathbb{Z}(1^q)) &\to H^i_G(X \times U, \mathbb{Z}(1^q))) \\ &= H^i_{\mathcal{M}}(X \times^G U, \mathbb{Z}(q)) = CH^k(X \times^G U, 2q - i). \end{aligned}$$

Taking (V, U) such that $\mathbb{A}(V) - U$ has sufficiently large codimension yields the result.

□

6. Relative equivariant Cartier divisors

In this section we introduce an equivariant version of Suslin homology and relate it to the group of relative equivariant Cartier divisors.

Let $f : X \to S$ be smooth. Recall that $C_0(X/S)$ denotes the group of cycles on X which are finite and surjective over a component of S. If $f : X \to S$ is equivariant then we have an equivariant inclusion $C_0(X/S) \subseteq \operatorname{Cor}_k(S, X)$, induced by $\langle f, id_X\rangle : X \hookrightarrow S \times X$. Let $F : G\operatorname{Cor}_k^{op} \to \mathrm{Ab}$ be a presheaf with equivariant transfers. Define the pairing

$$Tr : C_0(X/S)^G \otimes F(X) \to F(S) \tag{6.1}$$

to be the composite

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_0(X/S)^G \otimes F(X) \arrow[r, "Tr"] \arrow[d, hook] & F(S) \\
G\operatorname{Cor}_k(S, X) \otimes F(X). \arrow[ur, "\text{evaluate}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Define

$$C_n(X/S) = C_0(X \times \Delta^n / S \times \Delta^n).$$

The assignment $n \mapsto C_n(X/S)^G$ is a simplicial abelian group and hence gives rise to an associated chain complex.

Definition 6.2. The nth *equivariant Suslin homology* of X/S is defined to be

$$H_n^{Sus}(G; X/S) = H_n C_\bullet(X/S)^G.$$

Lemma 6.3. *Let F be a homotopy invariant presheaf with equivariant transfers. The map (6.1) factors through the zeroth Suslin homology group to yield the pairing*

$$Tr : H_0^{Sus}(G; X/S) \otimes F(X) \to F(S).$$

Proof. Because $F(X \times \mathbb{A}^1) = F(X)$ we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_0(X \times \mathbb{A}^1/S \times \mathbb{A}^1)^G \otimes F(X) \arrow[r, "Tr"] \arrow[d, "\partial_0 - \partial_1"'] & F(S \times \mathbb{A}^1) \arrow[d, "i_0 - i_1"] \\
C_0(X/S)^G \otimes F(X) \arrow[r, "Tr"] & F(S),
\end{tikzcd}$$

which implies the lemma. □

Our next goal is to compute the equivariant Suslin homology of equivariant relative curves. Recall that an equivariant Cartier divisor on X is an element of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_X^*/\mathcal{O}_X^*)^G$, see Section 2.3 for a recollection.

Definition 6.4.
1. Let X be a G-scheme and $Y \subseteq X$ an invariant closed subscheme. A *relative equivariant Cartier divisor* on X is an equivariant Cartier divisor on X (see Definition 2.11) such that $\operatorname{Supp}(D) \cap Y = \emptyset$. Write $\operatorname{Div}^G(X, Y)$ for the group of relative equivariant Cartier divisors, where the group operation is induced by that on $\operatorname{Div}^G(X)$.
2. A *principal relative equivariant Cartier divisor* is an invariant rational function $f \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}^*)^G$ on X such that f is defined and equal to 1 on Y.
3. Write $\operatorname{Div}^G_{rat}(X, Y)$ for the group of relative equivariant Cartier divisors modulo the principal relative equivariant Cartier divisors.

Let $i : Y \hookrightarrow X$ be an equivariant closed embedding of G-schemes. Define an étale sheaf on X by

$$\mathbb{G}_{X,Y} = \ker(\mathcal{O}_X^* \to i_*\mathcal{O}_Y^*).$$

Since $\mathcal{O}_X^*$ and $\mathcal{O}_Y^*$ are étale G-sheaves, so is $\mathbb{G}_{X,Y}$. See Section 2.2 for a recollection on G-sheaves and their cohomology.

Definition 6.5. The *relative equivariant Picard group* is defined to be

$$\mathrm{Pic}^G(X,Y) = H^1_{et}(G;X,\mathbb{G}_{X,Y}).$$

From the definition of $\mathbb{G}_{X,Y}$ we have a natural exact sequence

$$\Gamma(X,\mathcal{O}_X^*)^G \to \Gamma(Y,\mathcal{O}_Y^*)^G \to \mathrm{Pic}^G(X,Y) \to \mathrm{Pic}^G(X) \to \mathrm{Pic}^G(Y). \tag{6.6}$$

It follows from Theorem 2.6 together with the above exact sequence that $\mathrm{Pic}^G(X,Y) = H^1_{Zar}(G;X,\mathbb{G}_{X,Y})$. The following lemma is straightforward to verify.

Lemma 6.7. *The group* $\mathrm{Pic}^G(X,Y)$ *is isomorphic to the group consisting of pairs* $(\mathcal{L},\phi)$ *where* $\mathcal{L}$ *is a* G*-line bundle on* X *and* ϕ *is an isomorphism* $\phi : \mathcal{O}_Y \cong \mathcal{L}|_Y$ *of* G*-line bundles on* Y *and group operation induced by tensor product of* G*-line bundles.*

Proposition 6.8. *There is a natural injection* $\iota : \mathrm{Div}^G_{rat}(X,Y) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^G(X,Y)$*. If in addition* X *has faithful action and* Y *has an invariant affine open neighborhood, then* ι *is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let $D \in \mathrm{Div}^G(X,Y)$. Since $Y \cap \mathrm{Supp}(D) = \emptyset$, there is a canonical trivialization $s_D : \mathcal{O}(D)|_Y \cong \mathcal{O}_Y$. In particular we have a natural homomorphism $\mathrm{Div}^G(X,Y) \to \mathrm{Pic}^G(X,Y)$. If $(\mathcal{O}(D),s_D) = (\mathcal{O}_X, id)$ then there is an isomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{O}_X \cong \mathcal{O}(D)$ such that $\psi|_Y = s_D$. In particular $\psi : \Gamma(X,\mathcal{L}(D))^G \cong \Gamma(X,\mathcal{O})^G$ and letting $f = \psi(1) \in \Gamma(X,\mathcal{K})^G$ we have $D = div(f^{-1})$ and $D|_Y = 1$ which implies the first statement.

The image of ι consists of pairs $(\mathcal{L},\phi)$ such that $\mathcal{L}$ admits an equivariant injection into $\mathcal{K}_X$ and ϕ extends to a trivialization on an open neighborhood of Y. When the action on X is faithful, every G-line bundle on $\overline{X}$ admits an equivariant injection in $\mathcal{K}_X$ by Proposition 2.13. When Y has an open affine neighborhood, every trivialization ϕ extends to an open neighborhood of Y. □

Lemma 6.9. *If* X *is normal and* Y *is reduced then*

$$\mathrm{Pic}^G(X\times\mathbb{A}^1, Y\times\mathbb{A}^1) \cong \mathrm{Pic}^G_{rat}(X,Y).$$

If Y *has an invariant open neighborhood then*

$$\mathrm{Div}^G_{rat}(X\times\mathbb{A}^1, Y\times\mathbb{A}^1) \cong \mathrm{Div}^G_{rat}(X,Y).$$

Proof. The first statement follows from the exact sequence (6.6), Lemma 2.8, and the five lemma. For the second statement, observe that if $K = \ker(G \to \mathrm{Aut}(X))$, then G/K acts faithfully on X and $\mathrm{Div}^G_{rat}(X,Y) = \mathrm{Div}^{G/K}_{rat}(X,Y)$. The result then follows from the first part together with Proposition 6.8. □

Definition 6.10. Let $X \to S$ be an equivariant smooth map of relative dimension one in $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$. An *equivariant good compactification* of X over S is an equivariant open embedding $X \subseteq \overline{X}$ of G-schemes over S where $\overline{X} \to S$ is a proper normal (not necessarily smooth) curve with G-action and $X_\infty = (\overline{X} - X)_{red}$ has an invariant open affine neighborhood in $\overline{X}$.

Keeping notation as above, we have an isomorphism $cyc : \mathrm{Div}(\overline{X},Y) \cong C_0(X/S)$. Indeed $cyc(D)$ is supported on X for a relative Cartier divisor D and the assumptions above guarantee that it is finite and surjective over a component of S. It is straightforward to check this is an equivariant isomorphism (see Lemma 2.12) and so we immediately conclude the following.

Lemma 6.11. *Let $X \to S$ be an equivariant smooth map of relative dimension one in $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$ with good equivariant compactification $\overline{X} \to S$. Then we have*

$$cyc : \mathrm{Div}^G(\overline{X}, Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} C_0(X/S)^G.$$

With these definitions, Suslin-Voevodsky's fundamental computation of the Suslin homology of relative curves extends to the equivariant setting.

Theorem 6.12. *Let $p : X \to S$ be an equivariant smooth quasi-affine curve with equivariant good compactification $\overline{X} \to S$. Then*

$$H_n^{Sus}(G; X/S) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{Div}^G_{rat}(\overline{X}, X_\infty) & n = 0 \\ 0 & n > 0 \end{cases}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. This follows from an argument similar to [SV96, Theorem 3.1]. Define

$$\mathcal{M}_n(X/S) = \{f \in \Gamma(\overline{X} \times \Delta^n, \mathcal{K}^*) \,|\, f \text{ is defined and equal to 1 on } S \times \Delta^n\}.$$

As shown in [SV96, proof of Theorem 3.1], $\mathcal{M}_n(X/S) \subseteq C_n(X/S)$. We have an exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \to \mathcal{M}_\bullet(X/S)^G \to C_\bullet(X/S)^G \to \mathrm{Div}^G_{rat}(\overline{X} \times \Delta^\bullet, Y \times \Delta^\bullet) \to 0.$$

By Lemma 6.9 the result follows once we show that $\mathcal{M}_\bullet(X/S)^G$ is acyclic. We work with the normalized chain complex. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_n(X/S)^G$ and suppose that $\partial_i(f) = 1$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$. We need to show that there is $g \in \mathcal{M}_{n+1}(X/S)^G$ such that $\partial_i(g) = 1$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$ and $\partial_{n+1}(g) = f$. Following [SV96, Theorem 3.1], consider

$$g_i = (t_{i+1} + \cdots + t_{n+1}) + (t_0 + \cdots + t_i)s_i(f).$$

Since f is equivariant it follows that g_i is equivariant. The function

$$g = g_n g_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots g_0^{(-1)^n}$$

is then equivariant satisfies and by loc. cit. it has the required properties.

□

We finish with a discussion of the compatibility of the above isomorphism with respect to push-forwards along finite morphisms.

Lemma 6.13. *The norm map $N : \mathcal{K}^*(W) \to \mathcal{K}^*(X)$ is equivariant, where $W \to X$ is an equivariant finite surjective morphism between normal G-schemes.*

Proof. This follows from functoriality because if $g : Y' \to Y$ is an isomorphism then the norm $N : \mathcal{K}^*(Y') \to \mathcal{K}^*(Y)$ is just the inverse of the induced map $\tilde{g} : \mathcal{K}^*(Y) \to \mathcal{K}^*(Y')$.

□

Suppose that $f : \overline{X} \to \overline{Y}$ is a finite surjective equivariant map between normal G-schemes which restricts to a finite surjective equivariant map $X_\infty \to Y_\infty$ where $X_\infty \subseteq \overline{X}$, $Y_\infty \subseteq \overline{Y}$ are invariant closed, reduced subschemes. The norm map induces a map $\mathrm{Div}^G(\overline{X}, X_\infty) \to \mathrm{Div}^G(\overline{Y}, Y_\infty)$ which factors through rational equivalence to give

$$f_* : \mathrm{Div}^G_{rat}(\overline{X}, X_\infty) \to \mathrm{Div}^G_{rat}(\overline{Y}, Y_\infty).$$

If $X_\infty \subseteq \overline{X}$ has an invariant affine neighborhood, every invertible invariant regular function α on X_∞ extends to an invariant rational function $\tilde{\alpha}$ on $\overline{X}$. The difference of two different extensions is a principal relative equivariant divisor and so we have a map

$$\mathcal{O}^*(X_\infty)^G \to \mathrm{Div}^G_{rat}(\overline{X}, X_\infty).$$

Additionally we can define $f_* : \mathcal{O}^*(X_\infty)^G \to \mathcal{O}^*(Y_\infty)^G$ as follows. Extend α to $\tilde{\alpha}$ as above. The value $N(\tilde{\alpha})|_{Y_\infty}$ does not depend on the choice of extension and it is easily checked that $f_*(\alpha) = N(\tilde{\alpha})|_{Y_\infty}$ lies in $\mathcal{O}^*(Y_\infty)$.

Lemma 6.14. *Let $(\overline{Y}, Y_\infty)$ and $(\overline{X}, X_\infty)$ be good equivariant compactifications of Y and X. Let $f : \overline{Y} \to \overline{X}$ be a finite map which restricts to a map $f : Y \to X$. Then the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O}^*(X_\infty)^G \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f_*"] & \mathrm{Div}^G_{rat}(\overline{X}, X_\infty) \arrow[r, "\cong"] \arrow[d, "f_*"] & H_0^{Sus}(G; X/S) \arrow[d, "f_*"] \\
\mathcal{O}^*(Y_\infty)^G \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Div}^G_{rat}(\overline{Y}, Y_\infty) \arrow[r, "\cong"] & H_0^{Sus}(G; Y/S),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the left hand and middle vertical maps are induced by the norm map and the right hand vertical map is push forward of cycles.

Proof. The commutativity of the left hand square is immediate from the definitions. If D is a Cartier divisor on $\overline{Y}$ then $f_* cyc(D) = cyc(f_* D)$ by [Gro67, Proposition 21.10.17], this implies that the right hand square commutes. □

7. EQUIVARIANT RIGIDITY

In this section we establish an equivariant version of the rigidity theorems of Suslin [Sus83], Gabber [Gab92], and Gillet and Thomason [GT84].

Proposition 7.1. *Let $S = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}^h_{W,Gw})$ be the Henselization of a smooth G-scheme at an orbit Gw. Let $p : X \to S$ be a smooth equivariant curve with a good compactification in the sense of Definition 6.10. Let $X_0 \to S_0$ be the fiber over the closed orbit S_0 in S. Then for any n coprime to* $\mathrm{char}(k)$*, restriction induces an injection*

$$H_0^{Sus}(G; X/S)/n \hookrightarrow H_0^{Sus}(G; X_0/S_0)/n.$$

Proof. By Proposition 6.8 and Theorem 6.12 it suffices to show that the restriction $\mathrm{Pic}^G(\overline{X}, Y)/n \to \mathrm{Pic}^G(\overline{X}_0, Y_0)/n$ is injective. This follows by the same argument used in [MVW06, Corollary 7.19], replacing etale cohomology with $H^*_{et}(G; -)$ and replacing classical proper base change with Theorem 2.10. □

Suslin-Voevodsky's argument to prove [SV96, Theorem 4.3] for presheaves with transfers generalizes to the equivariant setting.

Theorem 7.2 (Equivariant Suslin Rigidity)**.** *Let F be a presheaf with equivariant transfers which is homotopy invariant and $F(Y)$ is torsion of exponent coprime to* $\mathrm{char}(k)$ *for all Y. Let $S = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}^h_{W,Gw})$ be the Henselization of a smooth G-scheme at a closed orbit and $X \to S$ a smooth affine G-scheme of relative dimension one which admits an equivariant good compactification $\overline{X} \to S$.*

If $g_1, g_2 : S \to X$ are two equivariant sections which coincide on the closed orbit of S, then $g_1^* = g_2^* : F(X) \to F(S)$.

Proof. For any n, $F_n = \ker(n : F \to F)$ is again a homotopy invariant presheaf with equivariant transfers and $F = \cup_n F_n$. Thus it suffices to consider the case when $nF = 0$. We may assume that X is equivariantly irreducible. Let $Y = (\overline{X} - X)_{red}$. The images of the sections g_i are closed subschemes $W_i \subseteq X$ which are elements of $C_0(X/S)^G$. By the definition of the pairing (6.1), we have $g_i^* = Tr(W_i)$. By Lemma 6.3, in order to conclude the result, it suffices to show that $W_1 - W_2$ becomes zero in $H_0^{Sus}(G; X/S)/n$. But by Proposition 7.1 we have an injection $H_0^{Sus}(G; X/S)/n \hookrightarrow H_0^{Sus}(G; X_0/S_0)/n$, where X_0 is the fiber over the closed orbit S_0 in S and X_0. Since g_1 and g_2 coincide on the closed orbit, we conclude that $W_1 - W_2$ is zero in $H_0^{Sus}(G; X/S)/n$ as needed. □

Lemma 7.3. *Let X be a smooth G-scheme of dimension d and $x \in X$ a rational point such that the G_x-representation T_xX decomposes as a sum of one-dimensional representations. Then there is a G_x-invariant neighborhood U of x, a G_x-equivariant map $U \to T_xX$, a $(d-1)$-dimensional representation W, and a linear projection $T_xX \to W$ such that the composition $U \to T_xX \to W$ is a G_x-equivariant curve with good compactification.*

Proof. For convenience, we will use the same notation for a representation W and its associated G-scheme $\mathbb{A}(W) = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathrm{Sym}(W^\vee))$. Since x has an invariant affine open neighborhood, replacing X by this neighborhood we may assume that X is affine, say $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$.

There is a G_x-equivariant map $\phi : X \to T_xX$ which is étale at x defined as follows. Let $m \subseteq R$ be the ideal of x, which we view as an ∞-dimensional G_x-invariant vector subspace, the quotient $m \to m/m^2$ has an equivariant splitting (since $|G|$ is coprime to $\mathrm{char}(k)$). We thus have a G_x-invariant map $m/m^2 \to m \subseteq R$ which induces $\mathrm{Sym}(m/m^2) \to R$. Applying $\mathrm{Spec}(-)$ gives the desired map.

There is a G_x-invariant open neighborhood U of x such that $\phi|_U$ is étale. Replacing X by U we may assume that $\phi : X \to T_xX$ is étale. Write $T_xX = V_1 \times \cdots \times V_d$ where V_i is a one-dimensional representation. Write $Z = T_xX - \phi(X)$ and $Z_i = Z \cap (V_1 \times \cdots \times V_i)$. Since $\dim Z \leq d-1$, there is j such that $\dim Z_j \leq j-1$. Let i be the smallest such integer, then $V_i \cap Z$ is finite. Let $W = \oplus_{j \neq i} V_j$ and $Y = \mathbb{P}(V_i \oplus 1) \times W$.

Since $X \to Y$ is equivariant and quasi-finite, the equivariant version of Zariski's Main Theorem, [Lun73] in characteristic zero and [BR85] in general, yields an equivariant factorization of $X \to Y$ as the composition of an invariant open embedding $X \subseteq \overline{X}$ followed by an equivariant finite map $\overline{\phi} : \overline{X} \to Y$. Since Y is an equivariant good compactification of $\phi(X)$ over W and $\overline{\phi}$ is affine, it follows that $\overline{X}$ is an equivariant good compactification of X over W. □

Theorem 7.4 (Equivariant Gabber Rigidity)**.** *Let F be a presheaf with equivariant transfers on $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$ which is homotopy invariant and torsion of exponent coprime to* char(k)*. Let X be a smooth G-scheme and $x \in X$ a rational point such that the G_x-representation T_xX decomposes into a sum of one dimensional representations. Then*

$$F(Gx) \xrightarrow{\cong} F(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx})$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. By Proposition 3.16, we have that $G \times^{G_x} \mathcal{O}^h_{X,x} = \mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx}$. The map of the theorem is then $\epsilon_* F(k) \to \epsilon_* F(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,x})$ where $\epsilon : G_x \mathrm{Cor}_k \to G \mathrm{Cor}_k$ is given by

$M \mapsto G \times^{G_x} M$. Replacing G by G_x and F by $\epsilon_* F$ it suffices to consider the case where G acts trivially on the point x. We proceed by induction on d, the case $d = 0$ being clear.

Write $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}^h_{X,x} = \mathcal{O}^h_{T_xX,0}$ and $\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O}^h_{W,0}$, where W is such that $\pi : \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}'$ is a smooth equivariant curve with good compactification, obtained from Lemma 7.3. Write $i : \mathcal{O}' \to \mathcal{O}$ for inclusion. Then $i^*\pi^* = id$. Consider the smooth equivariant curve $p_1 : \mathcal{O} \times_{\mathcal{O}'} \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}$ with good compactification. We have two sections given by $\langle id, id\rangle$ and $\langle id, i\pi\rangle$. Both sections agree on the closed point and so $\langle id, id\rangle^* = \langle id, i\pi\rangle^*$ by Theorem 7.2. In particular $id = \langle id, i\pi\rangle^* p_2^* = \pi^* i^*$. □

8. Equivariant triples

In this section we introduce an equivariant generalization of Voevodsky's standard triples and establish the equivariant analogues of their basic properties. From now on k is assumed to be perfect.

Definition 8.1. An *equivariant standard triple* $(\overline{X} \xrightarrow{\overline{p}} S, X_\infty, Z)$ consists of a proper equivariant map $\overline{p}$ of relative dimension one between G-schemes and closed invariant subschemes Z, X_∞ of $\overline{X}$ such that

1. S is smooth and $\overline{X}$ is normal
2. $X = \overline{X} - X_\infty$ is quasi-affine and smooth over S
3. $Z \cap X_\infty = \emptyset$
4. $X_\infty \cup Z$ has an invariant affine neighborhood in $\overline{X}$.

Note that $\overline{X}$ is an equivariant good compactification of both X and $X - Z$.

Remark 8.2. By [MVW06, Remark 11.6], these conditions imply that S is affine and that Z and X_∞ are finite over S.

Nonequivariantly any smooth scheme fits into a triple, locally around any finite set of points. Equivariantly this is more delicate. The reason is that if $f : X \to S$ is an equivariant curve which is smooth at $x \in X$ then $f : T_xX \to T_{f(x)}S$ is a surjection of I_x-representations, where $T_xX = (\Omega^1_{X/k,x})^* \otimes k(x)$. Since $|G|$ is assumed to be coprime to the characteristic, this implies that the I_x-representation T_xX (over $k(x)$) has a one-dimensional summand. However it could happen that T_xX has no one-dimensional summand, in which case there can be no such curve $X \to S$.

Below, we construct triples around a given collection of points under the condition that the tangent spaces at these points decompose into a sum of one-dimensional representations and all share a common codimension-one factor. This is enough for our applications when G is abelian and k is algebraically closed, as it implies we have triples around any orbit.

Below we will often impose the following condition on an invariant finite set of points of a G-scheme. If G is abelian over an algebraically closed field, the condition is automatically satisfied when $\mathcal{P}$ consists of a single orbit.

Condition 8.3. Let $\mathcal{P} \subseteq X$ be a finite set of points of a $d+1$-dimensional smooth G-scheme. Assume that there is a d-dimensional G-representation W such that,

1. for each $x \in \mathcal{P}$, there exists a I_x-linear projection $T_xX \to W_{k(x)}$, and
2. W decomposes into a sum of one-dimensional representations.

Theorem 8.4. *Let Y be a smooth G-scheme of pure dimension $d+1$ over an infinite perfect field k. Let $A \subseteq Y$ be an invariant closed subscheme containing no component of Y and $\mathcal{P} \subseteq A$ an invariant set of closed points. Assume that $\mathcal{P}$ satisfies Condition 8.3. Then there exists an invariant open affine neighborhood U in Y of $\mathcal{P}$ and an equivariant standard triple $(\overline{U} \to S, U_\infty, Z)$ such that $(U, U \cap A) \cong (\overline{U} - U_\infty, Z)$.*

Proof. We modify an argument of Walker [MVW06, Theorem 11.17]. We may assume that Y is affine and equivariantly irreducible. Embed Y as a closed invariant subscheme $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}(V)$, where V is a faithful G-representation. Let $\overline{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{P}(V \oplus 1)$ be the closure of Y and write $V_n = \mathrm{Sym}^n(V \oplus 1)/1$. Let W be a d-dimensional G-representation satisfying Condition 8.3.

Equivariant linear projections $p : \mathbb{P}(V_n \oplus 1) \to \mathbb{P}(W \oplus 1)$ restricting to a linear projection $\mathbb{A}(V_n) \to \mathbb{A}(W)$ are parameterized by an open dense subscheme of $\mathrm{Hom}_G(V_n, W)$, which is nonempty for n large enough.

We claim that by taking n large enough, we can find an equivariant linear projection $p : \mathbb{A}(V_n) \to \mathbb{A}(W)$ such that the following conditions are fulfilled,

1. p induces an equivariant rational map $p : \overline{Y} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(W \oplus 1)$ with finite center,
2. $p : A \to \mathbb{A}(W)$ is finite, and
3. $p : Y \to \mathbb{A}(W)$ is smooth at every point of $y \in \mathcal{P}$.

By Theorem 2.17, for n large enough, the general equivariant linear projection satisfies the first two conditions. By Theorem 2.16, for large enough n, the general linear projection $p : \mathbb{A}(V_n) \to \mathbb{A}(W)$ also satisfies the third condition.

Choose an equivariant linear projection $p : \mathbb{A}(V_n) \to \mathbb{A}(W)$ satisfying the three conditions above. There is an invariant open neighborhood of $\mathcal{P}$ in Y on which p is smooth. Replacing Y by this neighborhood, we may assume that $p : Y \to \mathbb{A}(W)$ is smooth at every point of $p^{-1}p(y)$. Write C for the center of $p : \overline{Y} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(W \oplus 1)$. Let $\overline{Y}_1 \subseteq \overline{Y} \times \mathbb{P}(W \oplus 1)$ be the closure of the graph of $\overline{Y} - C \to \mathbb{P}(W \oplus 1)$. This is an invariant subscheme and defines an equivariant map $\overline{Y}_1 \to \mathbb{P}(W \oplus 1)$. Then $Y \subseteq \overline{Y}_1$ is an open invariant subscheme and $\overline{Y}_1 - Y$ has finite fibers over $\mathbb{A}(W)$.

Write Σ for the G-invariant, closed set of singular points of the map $\overline{Y}_1 \to \mathbb{P}(W \oplus 1)$. Then Σ is finite over each $p(y_i)$ since p is smooth near each $Y \cap p^{-1}p(y_i)$. Therefore there is an invariant affine open neighborhood S of $\{p(y_i)\}$ in W over which Σ is finite and disjoint from A. Define U to be $p^{-1}(S) \cap Y - \Sigma$. By construction $p : U \to S$ is smooth and equivariant. Define $\overline{U} \subseteq \overline{Y}_1$ to be the preimage of S and $U_\infty = \overline{U} - U$. Then both $U \cap A \to S$ and $U_\infty \to S$ are finite.

Lastly, shrinking S if necessary, as in [MVW06, Theorem 11.17], we have that $U_\infty \coprod U \cap A$ has an affine neighborhood in $\overline{U}$. Intersecting the translates of this neighborhood now provides the desired invariant affine neighborhood. $\square$

Let $(\overline{X} \to S, X_\infty, Z)$ be an equivariant triple. Write ΔX for the equivariant Cartier divisor associated to the diagonal $X \subseteq X \times_S X$.

Definition 8.5. An equivariant standard triple is *split* over an invariant open $U \subseteq X$ if $\Delta X|_{U \times_S Z}$ is an equivariant principal divisor.

The proof of the following is straightforward.

Lemma 8.6. *Let $f : S' \to S$ be an equivariant map between smooth affine G-schemes over k and $T = (\overline{X} \to S, X_\infty, Z)$ an equivariant triple over S. Then*

$f^*T = (\overline{X} \times_S S' \to S', X_\infty \times_S S', Z \times_S S')$ is an equivariant triple over S'. If T is equivariantly split over U then f^*T is split over $U \times_S S'$.

In the equivariant case, the question of a triple being locally split is more delicate than its nonequivariant analog. Nonequivariantly, all divisors on $X \times X$ are locally principal. The nonequivariant argument requires more work as an equivariant Weil divisor (equivalently by Lemma 2.12, an equivariant Cartier divisor) on a smooth G-scheme might not be locally equivariantly principal. This can be seen for example from Proposition 2.13 together with the fact that $\mathrm{Pic}^G(S)$ can be nonzero for local rings S. Alternatively, note that the equivariant principal divisors are $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}^*)^G = \Gamma(X/G, \mathcal{K}^*)$ and of course it often happens that not all divisors on the quotient are locally principal.

Example 8.7. Let $X = \mathbb{A}^1$ with $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action $x \mapsto -x$. Then $(X \times X)/\mathbb{Z}/2$ has coordinate ring $k[x^2, y^2, xy]$ which is isomorphic to $k[x_1, x_2, x_3]/(x_1x_2 - x_3^2)$, the coordinate ring of an affine quadric cone. The ruling Y defined by (x_2, x_3) is a divisor on $(X \times X)/\mathbb{Z}/2$ which is not locally principal, see e.g., [Har77, Example 6.11.3].

If $\pi : A \to A/G$ is a quotient and $B \subseteq A$ is an invariant closed subscheme then since $|G|$ is coprime to $\mathrm{char}(k)$ the canonical map $B/G \to \pi(B)$ is an isomorphism. In particular we have a Cartesian square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, hook, "\Delta"] \arrow[d] & X \times_S X \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\
X/G \arrow[r, hook] & (X \times_S X)/G,
\end{tikzcd}$$

whenever the right hand vertical quotient exists.

Proposition 8.8. *Let J be a smooth G-scheme which is finite over k and let $C \to J$ be a smooth equivariant curve. Then the Weyl divisor $(\Delta C)/G \hookrightarrow (C \times_J C)/G$ is locally principal.*

Proof. Consider the coherent sheaf $\mathcal{O}(\Delta C/G)$ on $(C \times_J C)/G$ associated to the Weyl divisor $(\Delta C)/G$. The condition that the divisor $(\Delta C)/G$ is locally principal is equivalent to the condition that the coherent sheaf $\mathcal{O}(\Delta C/G)$ is locally free. Let $\overline{k}$ be an algebraic closure of k. The sheaf $\mathcal{O}(\Delta C/G)$ is locally free if it is so after base change to $\overline{k}$. The base change of $\mathcal{O}(\Delta C/G)$ to $\overline{k}$ is the sheaf associated to $(\Delta C/G)_{\overline{k}}$. Since $(\Delta C/G)_{\overline{k}} = (\Delta C_{\overline{k}})/G$ it is enough to consider the case when k is algebraically closed.

When k is algebraically closed, $J = G/H$ and $C = G \times^H C'$ for some smooth H-curve $C' \to \mathrm{Spec}(k)$. Since $(C \times_J C)/G = (C' \times C')/H$ we may replace C by C' and G by H. In other words, we may assume that $J = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ and it suffices to show that for a smooth G-curve over the algebraically closed field k, the coherent sheaf associated to $\Delta C/G \hookrightarrow (C \times C)/G$ is locally free.

Let $c \in C$ be a closed point. Write $\mathcal{O}(\Delta C/G)_{[c]}$ for the restriction of $\mathcal{O}(\Delta C/G)$ to $(C \times Gc)/G$. The sheaf $\mathcal{O}(\Delta C/G)_{[c]}$ is the coherent sheaf associated to the divisor $(\Delta Gc)/G \hookrightarrow (C \times Gc)/G$. Since c is a rational point, $Gc \cong G/I_c \times \{c\}$. This implies that the divisor $(\Delta Gc)/G \hookrightarrow (C \times Gc)/G$ is identified with $[c] \hookrightarrow (C \times G/I_c)/G \cong (C \times^{I_c} G)/G \cong C/I_c$. Normality is preserved under taking quotients and so C/I_c is a normal curve. Therefore it is also smooth and so $\mathcal{O}(\Delta C/G)_{[c]}$ is locally free of rank one. Every closed point of $(C \times C)/G$ is in some $(C \times Gc)/G$ and so $\operatorname{rank}_x[\mathcal{O}(\Delta C/G)] = 1$ (where $\operatorname{rank}_x \mathcal{F} = \dim_{k(x)} \mathcal{F}_x \otimes k(x)$) for every closed point $x \in (C \times C)/G$. But the collection of points where the rank of a coherent sheaf takes on a fixed value is constructible and so $\operatorname{rank}_x[\mathcal{O}(\Delta C/G)] = 1$ for every $x \in (C \times C)/G$. A coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on a reduced scheme X is locally free exactly when $x \mapsto \operatorname{rank}_x \mathcal{F}$ is a locally constant function on X. We conclude that $\mathcal{O}(\Delta C/G)$ is locally free of rank one. □

Corollary 8.9. *Let $X \to S$ be a smooth equivariant curve. Then the equivariant Cartier divisor $\Delta X \hookrightarrow X \times_S X$ is equivariantly locally principal.*

Proof. As this is a local question, we may assume that X and S are quasi-projective. We also write ΔX for the associated equivariant Weyl divisor on $X \times_S X$. We have the Cartesian square of normal schemes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Delta X \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & X \times_S X \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\
\Delta X/G \arrow[r] & (X \times_S X)/G.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The equivariant Weyl divisor $\Delta X \hookrightarrow X \times_S X$ is equivariantly locally principal if the Weyl divisor $(\Delta X)/G \hookrightarrow (X \times_S X)/G$ is locally principal. This Weyl divisor is locally principal exactly when the associated coherent sheaf $\mathcal{O}((\Delta X)/G)$ is locally free. Consider the map $p : (X \times_S X)/G \to S/G$. The fibers over a point $[s] \in S/G$ are $p^{-1}([s]) = (X_{Gs} \times_{Gs} X_{Gs})/G$. The restriction $\mathcal{O}((\Delta X)/G)_{[s]}$ of $\mathcal{O}((\Delta X)/G)$ to the fiber $p^{-1}([s])$ is the coherent sheaf associated to $(\Delta X_{Gs})/G \hookrightarrow (X_{Gs} \times_{Gs} X_{Gs})/G$. By the previous proposition $\mathcal{O}((\Delta X)/G)_{[s]}$ is locally free of rank one for all closed points $[s] \in S/G$. Every closed point of $\operatorname{Supp}(\Delta X/G)$ is in some $p^{-1}([s])$. The sheaf $\mathcal{O}((\Delta X)/G)$ is isomorphic to the trivial line bundle at all points not in $\operatorname{Supp}(\Delta X/G)$. We conclude that the coherent sheaf $\mathcal{O}((\Delta X)/G)$ has rank one at all closed points and hence has rank one at all points. It follows that it is locally free of rank one. □

The following is an important example.

Lemma 8.10. *Let J be an equivariantly irreducible zero dimensional smooth G-scheme and $\mathcal{L} \to J$ a G-line bundle. Let X_∞ and Z be disjoint, invariant nonempty finite subsets of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L} \oplus 1)$. Then*

$$(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L} \oplus 1) \to J, X_\infty, Z)$$

is an equivariant standard triple which is equivariantly split over any invariant open $U \subseteq \mathcal{L}$.

Proof. That this is an equivariant standard triple is clear. Consider the equivariant Cartier divisor $\Delta\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{L} \times_J \mathcal{L}$. By Corollary 8.9 it is equivariantly locally principal. This implies that $\mathcal{O}(\Delta\mathcal{L}/G)$, the coherent sheaf on $(\mathcal{L} \times_J \mathcal{L})/G$ associated to the Weil divisor $(\Delta\mathcal{L})/G$, is a line bundle. Consider an $x \in J$ and $\mathcal{L}_x$ the fiber of $\mathcal{L}$ over x.

We claim that the natural map $\psi : (\mathcal{L}_x \times_x \mathcal{L}_x)/I_x \to (\mathcal{L} \times_J \mathcal{L})/G$ is an isomorphism. It is enough to check that ψ is an isomorphism after base change to $K = k(x)$. Taking quotients commutes with base change in the sense that if X is a G-scheme over k then X_K is a G-scheme over K and we have an isomorphism of K-schemes $(X/G)_K \cong (X_K)/G$. The base change of ψ is then identified with $\psi_K : (\mathcal{L}_{x_K} \times_{x_K} \mathcal{L}_{x_K})/I_x \to (\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{K} \times_{J_K} \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{K})/G$. Since $J_K = G \times^{I_x} x_K$ as K-schemes and $\mathcal{L}_K \times_{J_K} \mathcal{L}_K = G \times^{I_x} (\mathcal{L}_{x_K} \times_{x_K} \mathcal{L}_{x_K})$ it follows that ψ_K is an isomorphism as required.

Now by [Kan79, Theorem 2.4], $\operatorname{Pic}((\mathcal{L} \times_J \mathcal{L})/G) \cong \operatorname{Pic}((\mathcal{L}_x \times_x \mathcal{L}_x)/I_x) = 0$. Therefore the G-line bundle $\mathcal{O}(\Delta\mathcal{L})$ is trivial, equivalently $\Delta\mathcal{L}$ is equivariantly principal on $\mathcal{L} \times_J \mathcal{L}$ which implies the result. □

Theorem 8.11. *Let $(\overline{X} \to S, X_\infty, Z)$ be an equivariant standard triple. Then any finite invariant set of points in X has an invariant open neighborhood U over which this triple splits.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P} \subseteq X$ be an invariant finite set of points in X. The equivariant map $\pi : X \times_S Z \to X$ is finite and so $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{P} \subseteq X \times_S Z$ is also an invariant finite set of points. By Corollary 8.9 the equivariant Cartier divisor $D = \Delta X|_{X \times_S Z}$ is equivariantly locally principal. This means that D/G is locally principal on $(X \times_S Z)/G$. Let $\overline{V} \subseteq (X \times_S Z/G)$ be a neighborhood of $\mathcal{P}/G$ on which D/G is principal and let $V \subseteq X \times_S Z$ be its preimage. There is some equivariant neighborhood U of $\mathcal{P}$ such that $U \times_S Z \subseteq V$. The equivariant triple is split over this U. □

Two equivariant finite correspondences $\lambda_0, \lambda_1 \in G\operatorname{Cor}_k(X, Y)$ are said to be $\mathbb{A}^1$-*homotopic* provided there is $\beta \in G\operatorname{Cor}_k(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, Y)$ such that $\beta|_{X \times \{k\}} = \lambda_k$.

Proposition 8.12. *Let $(\overline{X} \xrightarrow{\overline{p}} S, X_\infty, Z)$ be an equivariant standard triple which is split over an open affine $U \subseteq X$. Then there is an equivariant finite correspondence*

$$\lambda : U \to X - Z$$

such that λ composed with $j : X - Z \subseteq X$ is $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopic to the inclusion $i : U \subseteq X$. In particular for any homotopy invariant presheaf with equivariant transfers F, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(X) \arrow[r, "j^*"] \arrow[d, "i^*"'] & F(X - Z) \arrow[dl, "\lambda^*"] \\
F(U).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. We write $\overline{X}_U = U \times_S \overline{X}$. Pulling back to U gives the equivariant triple $(\overline{p}' : \overline{X}_U \to U, (X_\infty)_U, Z_U)$. The diagonal $\Delta : U \to X_U$ is an equivariant section of $\overline{p}'$, so is an element of $C_0(X_U/U)^G$. By Theorem 6.12 it thus determines the class $\Delta U \in \operatorname{Div}^G_{rat}(\overline{X}_U, (X_\infty)_U)$. By assumption, ΔU restricted to Z_U is equivariantly principal, say $\Delta U|_{Z_U} = (r_U)$, where r_U is an invariant regular function. Since $Z_U \coprod (X_\infty)_U$ has an invariant affine neighborhood in $\overline{X}_U$, we can use the Chinese remainder theorem to find an invariant rational function ϕ on $\overline{X}_U$ which is defined in an invariant neighborhood of $Z_U \coprod (X_\infty)_U$ and is equal to 1 on $(X_\infty)_U$ and equal to r_U on Z_U. Note that (ϕ) is zero in $\operatorname{Div}^G_{rat}(\overline{X}_U, (X_\infty)_U)$. We lift the class ΔU to a class $[\lambda'] \in \operatorname{Div}^G_{rat}(\overline{X}_U, (X_\infty)_U \coprod Z_U)$ by setting $[\lambda'] = \Delta U - (\phi)$.

Let F be any homotopy invariant presheaf with transfers. The diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(X_U) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "Tr({[\Delta]})"'] & F((X-Z)_U) \arrow[dl, "Tr({[\lambda]})"] \\
F(U) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative, where the vertical and diagonal maps are those obtained from Lemma 6.3. Let $\lambda' \in C_0((X-Z)_U/U)^G \subseteq G\,\mathrm{Cor}_k(U,(X-Z)_U)$ be any representative of $[\lambda']$. Let $\lambda : U \to X-Z$ be the composition of λ' together with the projection to $X-Z$. It is easily verified that $j\lambda$ and i are $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopic.

□

Theorem 8.13. *Let F be a homotopy invariant presheaf with equivariant transfers. Let X be a smooth G-scheme over k of dimension $d{+}1$ and $V \subseteq X$ a dense invariant open subscheme. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1,\dots,x_n\}$ be an invariant set of points which satisfies Condition 8.3. Then there exists an open invariant neighborhood U of $\mathcal{P}$, and a map $\phi : F(V) \to F(U)$ such that the following triangle commutes,*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(X) \arrow[d] \arrow[dr] & \\
F(V) \arrow[r, "\phi"] & F(U).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. First we treat the case of an infinite field. By specialization we may assume that all points in $\mathcal{P}$ are closed. We may replace V by $V-\mathcal{P}$ and so we may assume that the points $\mathcal{P}$ are contained in $Z = X - V$. By Theorem 8.4 and Theorem 8.11 we may shrink X around $\mathcal{P}$ and assume X fits into an equivariant triple $(\overline{X} \to S, X_\infty, Z)$ which is split on an invariant affine neighborhood U of $\mathcal{P}$. By Proposition 8.12 we have a equivariant finite correspondence $\lambda : U \to X - Z = V$ such that $\phi = \lambda^* : F(V) \to F(U)$ factors $F(X) \to F(U)$.

Note that if k is a finite field, the argument in Theorem 8.4 shows that for each prime p there is a finite extension k' of k with $[k':k]$ a power of p so that there is an invariant open U' of $Y_{k'}$ so that $(U', U' \cap A_{k'})$ comes from an equivariant standard triple over k'. The extension of the result to finite fields now follows by a standard transfer argument, see [MVW06, proof of 11.3].

□

As usual if $S = \lim S_i$ is an inverse limit of finite type smooth G-schemes over k and F is a presheaf on $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$ then we define $F(S) = \operatorname{colim} F(S_i)$.

Corollary 8.14. *Let F be a homotopy invariant presheaf with equivariant transfers. Let $S = \mathcal{O}_{X,\mathcal{P}}$ be the semilocalization of a smooth G-scheme X at an invariant finite set of points $\mathcal{P} \subseteq X$ which satisfies Condition 8.3. Let $V \subseteq S$ be an invariant Zariski dense open. Then $F(S) \to F(V)$ is an injection.*

Proof. We write $S = \cap_\alpha X_\alpha$ and $V = \cap_\alpha V_\alpha$ with $V_\alpha \subseteq X_\alpha$ an invariant open dense subscheme. Then $F(S) \to F(V)$ is the filtered colimit of the maps $i_\alpha : F(X_\alpha) \to F(V_\alpha)$. The U_α given by Theorem 8.13 is contained in some X_β and so the kernel of i_α vanishes in $F(X_\beta)$. Thus the colimit is an injection as needed. □

Recall that a G-scheme W is called equivariantly irreducible if there is a connected component W_0 of W such that $G \cdot W_0 = W$. The underlying scheme of a zero dimensional G-scheme J over k is a disjoint union of spectra of fields and we say that J is *finitely generated* over k if each of these fields is finitely generated over k.

Corollary 8.15. *Let F be a presheaf with equivariant transfers. If $F(J) = 0$ for any equivariantly irreducible, finitely generated, zero dimensional G-scheme J over k then $F(S) = 0$ for all $S = \mathcal{O}_{X,\mathcal{P}}$ where X is a smooth G-scheme and $\mathcal{P} \subseteq X$ is a finite invariant set of points satisfying Condition 8.3.*

Proof. Write J for the set of generic points of X with its induced G-action. By the previous corollary, $F(\mathcal{O}_{X,\mathcal{P}}) \subseteq F(J) = 0$. □

Definition 8.16. An *equivariant covering morphism* $f : T_Y \to T_X$, of two equivariant standard triples $T_Y = (\overline{Y} \to S, Y_\infty, Z_Y)$ and $T_X = (\overline{X} \to S, X_\infty, Z_X)$, is an equivariant finite map $f : \overline{Y} \to \overline{X}$ such that

(1) $f(Y) \subseteq X$,
(2) $f|_Y : Y \to X$ is étale,
(3) f induces and isomorphism $Z_Y \xrightarrow{\cong} Z_X$, and $Z_Y = f^{-1}Z_X \cap Y$.

Recall that we use the notation $Q(X, Y, A)$ for the equivariant distinguished square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y \arrow[d, "f"] \\
A \arrow[r, "i"] & X,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where i is an equivariant open embedding and $f : Y \to X$ is an equivariant étale morphism.

Definition 8.17. Let $f : T_Y \to T_X$ be an equivariant covering morphism of equivariant standard triples as above. The *associated equivariant distinguished square* to this morphism is $Q = Q(X, Y, X - Z_X)$ and we say that the square Q *comes from* this covering morphism.

The following is an important class of examples.

Example 8.18. Suppose that X is affine, has an equivariant good compactification $\overline{X}$ over some smooth S (see Definition 6.10), and $X = U \cup V$ is an open cover by invariant open subschemes such that $X - (U \cap V)$ has an open affine neighborhood. Then

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U \cap V \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & U \arrow[d] \\
V \arrow[r] & X
\end{tikzcd}$$

comes from the morphism of triples $(\overline{X}, \overline{X} - U, X - V) \to (\overline{X}, X_\infty, X - V)$ defined by the identity on $\overline{X}$.

Theorem 8.19. *Let X be a smooth equivariantly irreducible G-scheme over k. Let $Q' = Q(X', Y', A')$ and $Q = Q(X, Y, A)$ be equivariant distinguished squares such that Q' is the restriction of Q along an invariant open subscheme $X' \subseteq X$. Write*

$j : Q' \hookrightarrow Q$ for the inclusion. Assume that X' and Y' are affine and that Q comes from an equivariant covering map

$$T_Y = (\overline{Y}, Y_\infty, Z_Y) \to T_X = (\overline{X}, X_\infty, Z_X)$$

of equivariant standard triples and that T_X splits over X'.

Let $F : G\operatorname{Cor}_k^{op} \to \mathrm{Ab}$ be a homotopy invariant presheaf with equivariant transfers. Then the map of complexes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & F(X) \arrow[r, "{(i,f)}"] \arrow[d, "j_X"] & F(A) \oplus F(Y) \arrow[r, "{(-f,i)}"] \arrow[d, "\begin{pmatrix} j_A \\ j_Y \end{pmatrix}"] & F(B) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "j_B"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & F(X') \arrow[r, "{(i',f')}"] & F(A') \oplus F(Y') \arrow[r, "{(-f',i')}"] & F(B') \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

is chain homotopic to zero.

In particular if $Q' = Q$ then the Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$0 \to F(X) \to F(A) \oplus F(Y) \to F(B) \to 0$$

is split-exact.

Proof. By Lemma 8.21 and Lemma 8.22 we have maps $s_1 = (\lambda_A, 0) : F(A) \oplus F(Y) \to F(X')$ and $s_2 = (\psi, \lambda_B) : F(B) \to F(A') \oplus F(Y')$. For these maps to form a chain homotopy from j to zero we need that $sd + ds = j$. This boils down to six equations. Three come from the commutativity of the trapezoid in Lemma 8.21. The remaining three which involve ψ are $\psi i \simeq 0$, $j_A \simeq i'\lambda_A - \psi f$ and $j_B \simeq i'\lambda_B - f'\psi$. These follow from Lemma 8.22. □

Lemma 8.20. *Let $f : T_Y \to T_X$ be an equivariant covering morphism of equivariant standard triples. If T_X is split over V then T_Y is split over $f^{-1}(V) \cap Y$.*

Proof. By assumption $\Delta X|_{V \times_S Z_X}$ is an equivariant principal divisor, say $\Delta X|_{V \times_S Z_X} = (\phi)$. Then $(f \times f)^*(\Delta X) = \Delta Y + Q$, where the support of Q is disjoint from that of ΔY. Since $Z_Y \cong Z_X$, $\operatorname{Supp}(Q)$ is also disjoint from $Y \times_S Z_Y$. Therefore $Q|_{Y \times_S Z_Y} = (1)$. Since $(\Delta + Q)|_{(f^{-1}V \cap Y) \times_S Z_Y} = (\phi \circ (f \times f))$, it follows that $\Delta Y|_{(f^{-1}V \cap Y) \times_S Z_Y} = (\phi \circ (f \times f))$ as well. □

Lemma 8.21. *Let $j : Q' \hookrightarrow Q$ be as above. Then there are finite equivariant correspondences $\lambda_A : X' \to A$ and $\lambda_B : Y' \to B$ such that the following diagram in $G\operatorname{Cor}_k$ is $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy commutative,*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& Y' \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[dl, hook', "j_Y"'] \arrow[d, "\lambda_B"] & X' \arrow[d, "\lambda_A"'] \arrow[dr, hook, "j_X"] & \\
Y & B \arrow[l, hook', "i"] \arrow[r, "f"] & A \arrow[r, hook, "i"] & X.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. The equivariant triple T_X is split over X'. By Lemma 8.20, T_Y splits over Y'. Proposition 8.12 gives the existence of λ_A and λ_B making the triangles commute up to $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy. The square is easily seen to commute up to $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy by the construction used in the proof of Proposition 8.12. □

Lemma 8.22. *Let $j : Q' \hookrightarrow Q$ be as above. There is an equivariant correspondence $\psi \in G\operatorname{Cor}_k(A', B)$ such that the square*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B' \arrow[r, "\lambda_B \circ i' - j_B"] \arrow[d, "f'"'] & B \arrow[d, "f"] \\
A' \arrow[r, "\lambda_A \circ i' - j_A"'] \arrow[ur, dashed, "\psi"'] & A
\end{tikzcd}$$

is homotopy commutative in $G\operatorname{Cor}_k$, where $\lambda_A \in G\operatorname{Cor}_k(X', A)$, $\lambda_B \in G\operatorname{Cor}_k(Y', B)$ are the equivariant correspondences from Lemma 8.21. Moreover the composite $i\psi : A' \to Y$ is $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopic to zero.

Proof. First we define the equivariant correspondence $\psi \in G\operatorname{Cor}_k(A', B)$. Write $\Delta X'$ for the equivariant Cartier divisor on $X' \times_S \overline{X}$ corresponding to the graph of $X' \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$. Similarly, write $\Delta Y'$ for the equivariant Cartier divisor corresponding to the graph of $Y' \hookrightarrow \overline{Y}$. Write $\mathcal{M}$ for the pullback of $\Delta X'$ to $X' \times_S \overline{Y}$.

The support of $\Delta X'$ is disjoint from $A' \times_S Z_X$ so $\Delta X'|_{A' \times_S Z_X} = (1)$. Similarly $\mathcal{M}|_{A' \times_S Z_X} = (1)$ and $\Delta Y'|_{B' \times_S Z_Y} = (1)$.

By assumption $\Delta X'|_{X' \times_S Z_X}$ is equivariantly principal. By Lemma 8.20, $\Delta Y'|_{Y' \times_S Z_Y}$ is equivariantly principal as well. Write $\Delta X'|_{X' \times_S Z_X} = (r_X)$, $\mathcal{M}|_{X' \times_S Z_Y} = (r_M)$, and $\Delta Y'|_{Y' \times_S Z_Y} = (r_Y)$, where r_X, r_M, and r_Y are invariant regular functions. Furthermore we have that r_X is invertible on $A' \times_S Z_X$. Similarly r_M is invertible on $A' \times_S Z_Y$ and r_Y is invertible on $Y' \times_S Z_Y$. Under the isomorphism $Z_Y \cong Z_X$, r_X becomes identified with r_M.

Let U be an invariant affine neighborhood of $Y_\infty \coprod Z_Y$ in $\overline{Y}$. Then $X' \times_S U$ is an invariant affine neighborhood of $X' \times_S (Y_\infty \coprod Z_Y)$. Since points of $(X' \times_S U)/G$ are orbits, $X' \times_S Y_\infty$ and $X' \times_S Z_Y$ remain disjoint in $(X' \times_S U)/G$. Since $\operatorname{char}(k)$ doesn't divide $|G|$, we have $(X' \times_S Y_\infty)/G = \pi(X' \times_S Y_\infty)$ and similarly for $X' \times_S Z_Y$ where $\pi : X' \times_S U \to (X' \times_S U)/G$ is the quotient map. The invariant regular functions 1 and r_M on $X' \times_S Z_Y$ and $X' \times_S Y_\infty$ define invariant regular functions on their quotients. Since $(X' \times_S U)/G$ is affine, we can apply the Chinese remainder theorem to obtain a regular function $\overline{h}$ on $(X' \times_S U)/G$ that equals $\overline{r_M}$ on $(X' \times_S Z_Y)/G$ and 1 on $(X' \times_S Y_\infty)/G$. We thus have an invariant regular function h on $X' \times_S U$ which equals r_M on $X' \times_S Z_Y$ and 1 on $X' \times_S Y_\infty$.

View h as an invariant rational function on $A' \times_S \overline{Y}$. The support of its divisor is disjoint from $A' \times_S (Z_Y \coprod Y_\infty)$ and so is an element of $\operatorname{Div}^G(A' \times_S \overline{Y}, A' \times_S (Z_X \coprod Y_\infty)) = C_0(A' \times_S B/A')^G$. Since $C_0(A' \times_S B/A')^G \subseteq G\operatorname{Cor}_k(A', B)$, the divisor $-(h)$ determines the equivariant correspondence $\psi : A' \to B$. It remains to verify its properties.

First $i\psi \in G\operatorname{Cor}_k(A', Y)$ corresponds to $-(h)$ in $\operatorname{Div}^G(A' \times_S \overline{Y} A' \times_S Y_\infty)$. But since $h|_{A' \times_S Y_\infty} = 1$, $-(h)$ is a principal relative equivariant Cartier divisor and so represents 0 in $H_0^{Sus}(G; A' \times_S Y/A')$. Thus $i\psi$ is $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopic to zero.

It remains to see that the diagram of the lemma is homotopy commutative. By the construction of λ_A and λ_B the composition $\lambda_A \circ i' \in G\operatorname{Cor}_k(A', A)$ and $\lambda_B \circ i' \in G\operatorname{Cor}_k(B', B)$ are represented by the classes $\Delta A' - (\phi_X)$ and $\Delta B' - (\phi_Y)$ in $\operatorname{Div}^G_{rat}(A' \times_S \overline{X}, A' \times_S (X_\infty \coprod Z_X))$ and $\operatorname{Div}^G_{rat}(B' \times_S \overline{Y}, B' \times_S (Y_\infty \coprod Z_Y))$, where ϕ_X is an invariant rational function which is 1 on $A' \times_S X_\infty$.

On the other hand the inclusions j_A and j_B are represented by the classes $\Delta A'$ and $\Delta B'$. It follows that the differences $\lambda_A \circ i' - j_A \in G\operatorname{Cor}_k(A', A)$ and $\lambda_B \circ i' - j_B \in G\operatorname{Cor}_k(B', B)$ are represented by the classes (ϕ_X) and (ϕ_Y) respectively.

The composition $\psi f' \in G\operatorname{Cor}_k(B', B)$ is represented by the divisor of the rational function hf' which is 1 on $B' \times_S Y_\infty$ and $r_M f' = r_Y$ on $B' \times_S Z_Y$. We thus have $\psi f' = \lambda_B \circ i' - j_B$ in $\operatorname{Div}^G_{rat}(B' \times_S \overline{Y},\, B' \times (Y_\infty \coprod Z_Y))$.

Now the composition $f\psi \in G\operatorname{Cor}_k(A', A)$ represents the push forward of ψ along $H_0^{Sus}(G; A' \times_S B/A') \to H_0^{Sus}(G; A' \times_S A/A'$. By Lemma 6.14 this is represented by the norm $N(h^{-1})$. Since h^{-1} is 1 on $f^{-1}(X_\infty) \subseteq Y_\infty$, $N(h) = 1$ on $A' \times_S X$. By the next lemma we have that $N(h) = r_X$ on $A' \times_S Z_X$ which yields $f\psi = \lambda_A \circ i' - j_A \in G\operatorname{Cor}_k(A',\, A)$ as desired. □

Lemma 8.23. *Let $f : U \to V$ be a finite equivariant map with U and V normal. Suppose that $Z \subseteq V$ and $Z' \subseteq U$ are reduced closed subschemes such that the induced map $Z' \to Z$ is an isomorphism and $U \to V$ is étale in a neighborhood of Z'. If $h \in \mathcal{O}^*(U)^G$ is 1 on $f^{-1}(Z) - Z'$ then $N(h)|_Z$ and $h|_{Z'}$ are identified by $Z' \cong Z$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from the nonequivariant statement [MVW, Lemma 21.10]. (i.e., forget the G-action then [MVW, Lemma 21.10] tells us that $N(h)|_Z$ and $h|_{Z'}$ are identified by $Z' \cong Z$. □

We finish this section with the following useful application of Theorem 8.19.

Theorem 8.24. *Let F be a homotopy invariant presheaf, J an equivariant irreducible zero dimensional smooth G-scheme over k, and $\mathcal{L} \to J$ a G-line bundle. For any open invariant $U \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ we have*

$$H^i_{GNis}(U, F_{GNis}) = \begin{cases} F(U) & i = 0 \\ 0 & i > 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Corollary 3.10 implies that $H^i_{GNis}(U, F) = 0$ for $i > 1$. Consider an equivariant distinguished square $Q = Q(U, V, A)$,

$$\begin{tikzcd} B \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & V \arrow[d] \\ A \arrow[r] & U. \end{tikzcd}$$

There is an equivariant embedding of V into a smooth projective curve $\overline{V}$ with G-action which is finite over $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L} \oplus 1)$. Indeed, ignoring the group action on V there is an embedding into a smooth projective curve $\overline{V}$. Rational maps between smooth projective curves extend uniquely to morphisms which implies that $\overline{V}$ inherits a G-action from V and maps equivariantly and finitely to $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L} \oplus 1)$.

The square Q comes from the equivariant covering morphism of equivariant standard triples, $(\overline{V}, V_\infty, Z) \to (\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L} \oplus 1), U_\infty, Z)$ where $V_\infty = \overline{V} - V$, $U_\infty = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L} \oplus 1) - U$, and $Z = U - A$. The triple $(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L} \oplus 1), U_\infty, Z)$ is split over U by Lemma 8.10. Applying Theorem 8.19 with $Q = Q'$ we see that the Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$0 \to F(U) \to F(A) \oplus F(V) \to F(B) \to 0$$

is split exact. This implies that F is a sheaf in the equivariant Nisnevich topology on U and that $\check{H}^1(\mathcal{U}/U, F) = 0$ for any cover $\mathcal{U}$ coming from a distinguished Nisnevich square.

We claim that any equivariant Nisnevich cover of U can be refined by one coming from an equivariant distinguished square, and consequently $\check{H}^1(\mathcal{U}, F) = 0$. This finishes the proof since $H^1_{GNis}(U, F) = \check{H}^1(U, F)$. First, since F takes disjoint unions to sums we can replace a cover $\{V_i \to U\}$ by a single cover $f : V' \to U$. Indeed there is a dense invariant open $A \subseteq U$ over which f has a splitting. The complement $Z = U - A$ is a finite set of closed points and we choose a splitting $Z \subseteq V_Z$. Let $V = V' - (V_Z - Z)$ then $Q(U, V, A)$ is a distinguished square and the associated cover refines $f : V' \to U$. □

9. Homotopy invariance of cohomology

In this section we show that when G is abelian, the equivariant Nisnevich cohomology with coefficients in a homotopy invariant presheaf with transfers is again homotopy invariant and is a presheaf with equivariant transfers. This result is a fundamental technical result in Voevodsky's machinery of presheaves with transfers and we need the equivariant analogue for our application to the equivariant Cancellation Theorem.

Throughout this section, G is an abelian group and we assume that the base field k satisfies the property that all irreducible representations of G over k are one dimensional (for example an algebraically closed field k satisfies this property for any abelian group). Starting in Section 9.2 we additionally assume the base is algebraically closed if $G \neq \mathbb{Z}/2$.

Proposition 9.1. *Let F be a homotopy invariant presheaf with equivariant transfers. Then F_{GNis} is also a homotopy invariant presheaf with equivariant transfers.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.11, F_{GNis} is a presheaf with equivariant transfers. To show homotopy invariance it suffices to show that $i^* : F_{GNis}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1) \to F_{GNis}(X)$ is injective, where $i : X \to X \times \mathbb{A}^1$ is the inclusion at $0 \in \mathbb{A}^1$. We may assume that X is equivariantly irreducible. Let $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq X$ be the set of generic points with induced G-action. Write K for the residue field of an $\eta \in \mathcal{Z}$. Then $\mathcal{Z}$ is an equivariantly irreducible zero dimensional smooth G-scheme over K. We have a commutative square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_{GNis}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & F_{GNis}(X) \arrow[d] \\
F_{GNis}(\mathcal{Z} \times \mathbb{A}^1) \arrow[r, "\cong"] & F_{GNis}(\mathcal{Z}).
\end{tikzcd}$$

The bottom arrow is an isomorphism by Theorem 8.24 since F may be viewed as a homotopy invariant presheaf with equivariant transfers on $G\mathrm{Sm}/K$. The vertical arrows are injective by the following lemma and so i^* is injective and thus F_{GNis} is homotopy invariant. □

Lemma 9.2. *Let F be a homotopy invariant presheaf with transfers. Let X be a smooth G-scheme over k and $V \subseteq X$ a dense, invariant open subscheme. Then $F_{GNis}(X) \to F_{GNis}(V)$ is injective.*

Proof. Suppose that $\alpha \in F_{GNis}(X)$ vanishes in $F_{GNis}(V)$. For $x \in X$, consider the image of α in $F_{GNis}(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx}) = F(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx})$. Since α goes to zero in $F(V \times_X \mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx})$ there is an equivariant étale neighborhood $\phi : Y \to X$ of Gx and $\alpha' \in F(Y)$ an element such that α maps to α' in the stalk and maps to zero in $F(\phi^{-1}V)$. But by Theorem 8.13 there is an open invariant neighborhood U of Gx in Y such that $F(Y) \to F(U)$ factors through $F(\phi^{-1}V)$ and thus α' goes to zero in $F(U)$ and thus in $F(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx})$. It follows that $\alpha = 0$. □

9.1. **Equivariant contractions.** If F is a presheaf with transfers on Sm/k the contraction $F_{(-1)}$ defined by $F_{(-1)}(X) = F(X \times \mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\})/F(X \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ plays an important role in the analysis of the structure of presheaves with transfers. We introduce an equivariant analogue and establish a few basic results concerning equivariant contractions.

Definition 9.3. Let F be a presheaf on $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$ and W a representation of G. Define the presheaf $F_{(-W)}$ by

$$F_{(-W)}(X) = \mathrm{coker}(F(X \times \mathbb{A}(W)) \to F(X \times \mathbb{A}(W) - \{0\})).$$

When F is a presheaf with equivariant transfers then so is $F_{(-W)}$ since it is the quotient of such presheaves. Similarly if F is homotopy invariant then $F_{(-W)}$ is as well.

Nonequivariantly the inclusion $X = X \times \{1\} \subseteq X \times \mathbb{A}^1$ splits the projection $X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \to X$. This induces a decomposition $F(X \times \mathbb{A}^1 - 0) = F(X) \oplus F_{(-1)}(X)$ whenever F is homotopy invariant. When W is a representation with $W^G = 0$ then there is no such equivariant spitting. Nonetheless when F is a presheaf with equivariant transfers we still obtain this decomposition, at least for affine X.

Proposition 9.4. *Let F be a homotopy invariant presheaf with equivariant transfers on $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$. Let S be a smooth affine G-scheme over k and W be a one-dimensional representation. Then there is an equivariant finite correspondence $\lambda : S \times \mathbb{A}(W) \to S \times \mathbb{A}(W) - 0$ inducing a decomposition*

$$F(S \times \mathbb{A}(W) - \{0\}) = F(S) \oplus F_{(-W)}(S).$$

Moreover, this decomposition is natural for equivariant maps $S' \to S$, where S' is affine.

Proof. We have an equivariant standard triple $(S \times \mathbb{P}(W \oplus 1) \to S, S \times \infty, S \times 0)$. By Lemma 8.10 this is split over $X = S \times \mathbb{A}(W)$. Applying Proposition 8.12 yields the correspondence λ which induces the splitting $F(S \times \mathbb{A}(W) - 0) \to F(S \times \mathbb{A}(W))$. □

Proposition 9.5. *Let F be a homotopy invariant presheaf with equivariant transfers and W a one-dimensional representation. Then*

$$(F_{GNis})_{(-W)}(S) = (F_{(-W)})_{GNis}(S)$$

for any smooth semilocal G-scheme S.

Proof. By Proposition 9.1, F_{GNis} is a homotopy invariant sheaf with equivariant transfers. Observe that $(F_{(-W)})_{GNis} \to (F_{GNis})_{(-W)}$ is a morphism of presheaves with equivariant transfers. Applying Corollary 8.15 to the kernel and cokernel of this map, it suffices to show that $(F_{(-W)})_{GNis}(J) = (F_{GNis})_{(-W)}(J)$ for any equivariantly irreducible zero dimensional G-scheme. The left-hand side is by definition $F(J \times \mathbb{A}(W) - \{0\})/F(J \times \mathbb{A}(W))$. The right-hand side is $F_{GNis}(J \times \mathbb{A}(W) -$

$\{0\})/F_{GNis}(J \times \mathbb{A}(W))$. Applying Proposition 9.1 and Theorem 8.24 shows the two sides are equal as needed. □

Definition 9.6. Let $i : Z \hookrightarrow Y$ be an invariant closed embedding with open complement $j : V \subseteq Y$ and F a presheaf. Define the equivariant Nisnevich sheaf $F_{(Y,Z)}$ on Z as in the nonequivariant case. That is let $K_{(Y,Z)} = K$ be the cokernel of $F \to j_*j^*F$ and define $F_{(Y,Z)} = (i^*K)_{GNis}$.

Since sheafification is exact we have an exact sequence

$$F_{GNis} \to (j_*j^*F)_{GNis} \to i_*F_{(Y,Z)} \to 0. \tag{9.7}$$

Lemma 9.8. *For $n \geq 0$ we have $H^n_{GNis}(-, F)_{(Y,Z)} = i^*R^nj_*F$.*

Proof. The same argument as in [MVW06, Example 2.3.8] works here. Namely, we have $H^n_{GNis}(-, F)_{GNis} = 0$ and therefore $i_*H^n(F)_{(Y,Z)} \cong (j_*j^*H^n(F))_{GNis} = R^nj_*F$. Since $i^*i_* = id$ the result follows. □

Let $i : S \hookrightarrow S \times \mathbb{A}(W)$ be the invariant closed embedding determined by $0 \in \mathbb{A}(W)$. Then $F_{(-W)}(U) = K(U \times \mathbb{A}(W))$. We obtain by adjunction the map $K(U \times \mathbb{A}(W)) \to i_*i^*K(U \times \mathbb{A}(W)) = i^*K(U)$. Therefore we have the map of sheaves on S

$$(F_{(-W)})_{GNis} \to F_{(S\times\mathbb{A}(W), S\times 0)}.$$

Proposition 9.9. *Let F be a homotopy invariant presheaf with equivariant transfers, W a one-dimensional G-representation, and S a smooth G-scheme. Then we have an isomorphism*

$$(F_{(-W)})_{GNis}|_S \xrightarrow{\cong} F_{(S\times\mathbb{A}(W), S\times 0)}.$$

Proof. We use the argument of [MVW06, Proposition 23.10]. We need to compare $F_{(-W)}$ and j_*j^*F/F in an invariant neighborhood of an orbit Gs of a point s in a smooth affine G-scheme S. The equivariant standard triple $T = (\mathbb{P}(W \oplus 1)_S, S \times \infty, S \times 0)$ is split over $S \times \mathbb{A}(W)$ by Lemma 8.10. Let U be an affine invariant neighborhood of $S \times 0$ in $S \times \mathbb{A}(W)$ and let $T_U = (\mathbb{P}(W \oplus 1)_S, \mathbb{P}(W \oplus 1)_S - U, S \times 0)$. We need to show that by shrinking S the union $\mathbb{P}(W \oplus 1)_S - U \cup S \times 0$ has an affine invariant neighborhood and therefore T_U is an equivariant standard triple.

There is an invariant open $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}(W \oplus 1)$ so that $Gs \times V$ contains $Gs \times 0$ and the finite invariant set $\mathbb{P}(W \oplus 1)_{Gs} - U_{Gs}$. The complements of U and $S \times V$ intersect in a closed subset, disjoint from the fiber $\mathbb{P}(W \oplus 1)_{Gs}$. Since $\mathbb{P}(W \oplus 1)_S$ is proper over S we may shrink S around Gs to assume that the complements are disjoint. Then $S \times V$ contains both $\mathbb{P}(W \oplus 1)_S - U$ and $S \times 0$ as needed.

The identity on $\mathbb{P}(W \oplus 1)$ is an equivariant covering morphism of triples $T_U \to T$. Let $U_0 = U - S \times 0$. Consider the distinguished square Q

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & U \arrow[d] \\
S \times \mathbb{A}(W) - 0 \arrow[r, "j"] & S \times W.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Write Q' for the same square. The identity $Q' = Q$ comes from the map of triples $T_U \to T$, see Example 8.18. Applying Theorem 8.19 we have a split exact Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$0 \to F(S \times \mathbb{A}(W)) \to F(S \times W - 0) \oplus F(U) \to F(U_0) \to 0.$$

This together with the homotopy invariance of F implies we have a pushout square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(S \times \mathbb{A}(W)) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & F(U) \arrow[d] \\
F(S \times \mathbb{A}(W) - 0) \arrow[r] & F(U_0).
\end{tikzcd}$$

In particular $F(U) \to F(U_0)$ is injective and $F_{(-W)}(S) = F(U_0)/F(U)$.

Note that $j : S \times \mathbb{A}(W) - 0 \to S \times W$ has $j_*j^*F(U) = F(U_0)$ and thus $j_*j^*F/F(U) = F(U_0)/F(U)$ and the result follows by passing to the limit over U and S. □

Lemma 9.10. *Let $f : Y \to X$ be an equivariant étale morphism and $Z \subseteq X$ an invariant closed subscheme such that $f^{-1}(Z) \to Z$ is an isomorphism. Then for any presheaf F we have*

$$F_{(X,Z)} \xrightarrow{\cong} F_{(Y,f^{-1}(Z))}.$$

Proof. It is enough to check the isomorphism on stalks. We may thus assume that Y, X are Henselian semilocal G-schemes, X has a single closed orbit Gx, and Z is nonempty. Since $f^{-1}(Z) \cong Z$ and $Gx \subseteq Z$, it follows that Y also has a single closed orbit and that $Y \cong X$. □

Theorem 9.11. *Let $Z \subseteq X$ be a closed invariant smooth G-scheme of codimension one. Let $x \in Z$ be a rational point such that $I_x = G$. Let $W = T_xX/T_xZ$. There is an invariant neighborhood $U \subseteq X$ of x such that for any smooth G-scheme T we have isomorphisms of sheaves on $(U \cap Z) \times T$*

$$F_{(U\times T,(U\cap Z)\times T)} \cong (F_{(-W)})_{GNis}.$$

Proof. Since x has an invariant affine neighborhood, we may shrink X and Z around x and assume both are affine G-schemes. We have an equivariant map $X \to T_xX$ which is étale at x (see the proof of Lemma 7.3) and such that the preimage of T_xZ is Z. Shrinking X and Z again we may assume that we have an equivariant Cartesian square,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & X \arrow[d] \\
T_xZ \arrow[r] & T_xX
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical maps are étale. The argument can now continue as in [MVW06, Theorem 23.12] to yield the result. Namely, since $Z \times \mathbb{A}(W) \to T_xZ \times \mathbb{A}(W)$ is equivariant and étale we obtain equivariant and étale maps $X' \to X$ and $X' \to Z \times \mathbb{A}(W)$ by setting $X' = X \times_{T_xX} Z \times \mathbb{A}(W)$. The preimage of Z and $Z \times 0$ coincide and are equal to $Z' = Z \times_{T_xZ} Z$. The equivariant, étale map $Z' \to Z$ has an equivariant section Δ which implies that $Z' = \Delta(Z) \coprod Y$. Now $X'' = X' - Y \to X$ is equivariant and étale as is $X'' \to Z \times \mathbb{A}(W)$. Moreover, the preimage of Z and $Z \times 0$ are both equal to Z. Moreover, for any T, $X'' \times T \to X \times T$ and $X'' \times T \to Z \times T \times \mathbb{A}(W)$ are still equivariant and étale and induces an isomorphism between the preimage of $Z \times T$ and $Z \times T$. By Lemma 9.10 and Proposition 9.9 we thus have isomorphisms of sheaves on Z,

$$F_{(X\times T,Z\times T)} \xleftarrow{\cong} F_{(X''\times T,\Delta(Z)\times T)} \xrightarrow{\cong} F_{(Z\times T\times\mathbb{A}(W),Z\times T\times 0)} \cong (F_{(-W)})_{GNis}.$$

□

When $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$, using that representations are defined over any field, we can do better.

Theorem 9.12. *Let $Z \subseteq X$ be a closed invariant smooth $\mathbb{Z}/2$-scheme of codimension one. For any $x \in Z$, there is an invariant neighborhood $U \subseteq X$ of x and a $Z/2$-representation W over k such that for any smooth G-scheme T we have isomorphisms of sheaves on $(U \cap Z) \times T$*

$$F_{(U\times T,(U\cap Z)\times T)} \cong (F_{(-W)})_{GNis}.$$

Proof. Write $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ for the nontrivial element. Once we find an invariant neighborhood U of x, representations V and W, and an equivariant étale map $U \to \mathbb{A}(V) \times \mathbb{A}(W)$ such that the preimage of $\mathbb{A}(V)$ equals $U \cap Z$ then the argument used in the previous theorem applies and we are done.

We first consider the case when $I_x = \mathbb{Z}/2$. Since x has an invariant affine neighborhood, we may shrink X and Z around x and assume both are affine $\mathbb{Z}/2$-schemes. Let W be the representation over k such that $W_{k(x)} = T_xX/T_xZ$.

Choose a basis $\overline{df_1}, \dots, \overline{df_n}$ for $\Omega^1_{X,x} \otimes k(x)$ such that $\sigma\overline{df_i} = \epsilon_i\overline{df_i}$ where for each i, $\epsilon_i = 1$ or -1, and the first $n-1$ elements form a basis for $\Omega^1_{Z,x} \otimes k(x)$. These lift to a basis df_i of $\Omega^1_{X,x}$ as an $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$-module, which we claim can be chosen so that $\sigma df_i = \epsilon_i df_i$. Indeed, any choice of lift will satisfy $\sigma df_i = \epsilon_i df_i + \alpha$ (with α mapping to zero in $\Omega^1_{X,x} \otimes k(x)$). A second application of σ yields that $\alpha = 0$ if $\epsilon_i = 1$ and $\alpha = \sigma\alpha$ if $\epsilon_i = -1$. In anycase $df_i - \alpha/2$ satisfies the required property. Shrinking X if necessary, we may assume that the f_i are regular. Since $\sigma df_i = \epsilon_i df_i$, it follows that $\sigma f_i = \epsilon_i f_i + C$, with $C \in k$. An application of σ shows that $C = 0$. Define an action on $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ by $x_i \mapsto \epsilon_i x_i$ and define a k-algebra map $k[x_1, \dots x_n] \to R$ by $x_i \mapsto f_i$. We thus have an equivariant map $X \to \mathbb{A}^n$ (with linear action just described) which is étale at x, $\mathbb{A}^n = \mathbb{A}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{A}(W)$ and the pullback of $\mathbb{A}^{n-1} \times 0$ is Z.

Now suppose that $I_x = e$. Then $X \to X/G$ is equivariant and is étale at $x \in X$. Shrinking around x we may assume that $X \to X/G$ is étale. Shrinking X further we may suppose that there is an étale map $X/G \to \mathbb{A}^n$ such that the inverse image of $\mathbb{A}^{n-1}$ coincides with Z/G. The composite $X \to X/G \to \mathbb{A}^n$ is then the desired map. □

9.2. **Proof of homotopy invariance.**

We remind the reader that G is an abelian group. If $G \neq \mathbb{Z}/2$ we also assume that k is algebraically closed.

Theorem 9.13. *Let F be a homotopy invariant presheaf with equivariant transfers on $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$. Then $H^n_{GNis}(-, F_{GNis})$ is also a homotopy invariant presheaf with equivariant transfers.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.13, $H^n_{GNis}(-, F_{GNis})$ is a presheaf with equivariant transfers and it remains to verify that it is homotopy invariant. The case $n = 0$ is Proposition 9.1. We may thus assume that $F = F_{GNis}$ and we proceed by induction on n. Let X be a smooth G-scheme and consider the map $\pi : X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \to X$ and the Leray spectral sequence

$$H^p_{GNis}(X, R^q\pi_*F) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}_{GNis}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, F).$$

We have that $\pi_* F = F$ since $\pi_* F(U) = F(U \times \mathbb{A}^1) \cong F(U)$. By induction we have that $R^q\pi_* F = 0$ for $0 < q < n$. The spectral sequence collapses by Theorem 9.15, yielding the desired isomorphism $H^n_{GNis}(X; F_{GNis}) = H^n_{GNis}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; F_{GNis})$. □

Lemma 9.14. *Let X be a smooth G-scheme over k, $Z \subseteq X$ a closed invariant subset such that* $\operatorname{codim}(Z) \geq 1$, *and x a point of X. Then there is an open invariant neighborhood $U \subseteq X$ of x and a sequence of invariant reduced closed subschemes $\emptyset = Y_{-1} \subseteq Y_0 \subseteq Y_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Y_k$ in U satisfying the following two properties.*

(1) *The G-schemes $Y_i - Y_{i-1}$ are smooth invariant divisors on $U - Y_{i-1}$.*

(2) *$U \cap Z \subseteq Y_k$.*

Proof. The argument is similar to [Voe00, Lemma 4.31]. The key point is that under our assumptions, there is a smooth equivariant curve $p : U \to V$ and so the induction argument of loc. cit. applies here.

□

Now we are ready to prove the vanishing of $R^n\pi_* F$.

Theorem 9.15. *Let G be an abelian group over the algebraically closed field k and let X be a smooth G-scheme. Suppose that F is a homotopy invariant equivariant Nisnevich sheaf with equivariant transfers. Assume that that $R^q\pi_* F = 0$ for $0 < q < n$, and that $H^p_{GNis}(-, F)$ is homotopy invariant for $p < n$. Then $R^n\pi_* F = 0$ as well.*

Proof. We may assume that X is equivariantly irreducible. We need to show that given an $\alpha \in H^n_{GNis}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, F)$ it becomes zero on an equivariant Nisnevich cover of X. By Theorem 8.24 there is an open dense $V \subseteq X$ such that $\alpha|_V$ vanishes. Let $Z = X - V$ with its reduced structure. It now suffices to show that

$$H^n_{GNis}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, F) \to H^n_{GNis}((X - Z) \times \mathbb{A}^1, F)$$

is injective locally in the equivariant Nisnevich topology on X. Using Lemma 9.14 we are reduced to showing that

$$H^n_{GNis}(\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx}) \times \mathbb{A}^1, F) \to H^n_{GNis}(\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}^h_{X-Z,Gx}) \times \mathbb{A}^1, F) \tag{9.16}$$

is injective where $Z \subseteq X$ is a smooth invariant divisor. We can assume that $x \in Z$ is a closed point. If $G \neq \mathbb{Z}/2$ then k is algebraically closed and so we may apply Proposition 3.16 to $\mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx}$ and $\mathcal{O}^h_{X-Z,Gx}$, which implies that (9.16) is identified with

$$H^n_{GNis}(G \times^H \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,x}) \times \mathbb{A}^1, F) \to H^n_{GNis}(G \times^H \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}^h_{X-Z,x}) \times \mathbb{A}^1, F).$$

This is in turn identified with

$$H^n_{HNis}(\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,x}) \times \mathbb{A}^1, \epsilon_* F) \to H^n_{HNis}(\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}^h_{X-Z,x}) \times \mathbb{A}^1, \epsilon_* F)$$

where $\epsilon : H\mathrm{Sm}/k \to G\mathrm{Sm}/k$ is given by $\epsilon(W) = G \times^H W$, see Section 3.3.

It follows from Lemma 4.4 that the homotopy invariant sheaf $\epsilon_* F$ is also a presheaf with equivariant transfers on $H\mathrm{Sm}/k$. Thus in the case $G \neq \mathbb{Z}/2$ and k is algebraically closed, we are reduced to showing (9.16) is injective when $Gx = x$.

Write for simplicity $X' = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx})$ and $Z' = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}^h_{Z,Gx})$ (keeping in mind that if $G \neq \mathbb{Z}/2$, we assume that $Gx = x$). Write as well $i : Z' \to X'$ and $j : U' = X' - Z' \to X'$. The map (9.16) factors as

$$H^n_{GNis}(X' \times \mathbb{A}^1, F) \xrightarrow{\tau} H^n_{GNis}(X' \times \mathbb{A}^1, j_* j^* F) \xrightarrow{\eta} H^n_{GNis}(X' - Z' \times \mathbb{A}^1, j^* F)$$

(where we view F as a sheaf on $X' \times \mathbb{A}^1$). We show that each of these arrows is injective.

First we show that η is injective. We begin by showing that $R^q j_* F = 0$ for $0 < q < n$. By the inductive hypothesis we have that $H^q_{GNis}(-, F)$ is a homotopy invariant presheaf with equivariant transfers. Since $q > 0$ we have $H^q_{GNis}(-, F)_{GNis} = 0$. By Theorem 9.12 in the case $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$ and Theorem 9.11 otherwise, there is a G-representation W such that $(H^q(F)_{(-W)})_{GNis} \cong H^q(F)_{(X'\times\mathbb{A}^1, Z'\times\mathbb{A}^1)}$. By Proposition 9.5 we have $(H^q(F)_{(-W)})_{GNis} = ((H^q(F)_{GNis})_{(-W)}))_{GNis} = 0$. Finally we have

$$R^q j_* F \cong i_* H^q(F)_{(X'\times\mathbb{A}^1, Z'\times\mathbb{A}^1)} \cong i_*(H^q(F)_{GNis})_{GNis} = 0$$

by Lemma 9.8 and so $R^q j_* F = 0$ as claimed. Now consider the Leray spectral sequence

$$H^p_{GNis}(X' \times \mathbb{A}^1, R^q j_*(j^* F)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}_{GNis}(X' - Z', j^* F).$$

Since $R^q j_* F = 0$ for $0 < q < n$ we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^n_{GNis}(X' \times \mathbb{A}^1, j_* j^* F) \xrightarrow{\eta} H^n_{GNis}(U' \times \mathbb{A}^1, j^* F) \to H^0(X' \times \mathbb{A}^1, R^n j_* j^* F).$$

In particular η is injective as required.

It remains to show that τ is injective as well. By Corollary 8.14 we have an injection $F \to j_* j^*$. Combining this with (9.7) we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to F \to j_* j^* F \to i_* F_{(X'\times\mathbb{A}^1, Z'\times\mathbb{A}^1)} \to 0.$$

As noted in the previous paragraph we have $((F)_{(-W)})_{GNis} \cong (F)_{(X'\times\mathbb{A}^1, Z'\times\mathbb{A}^1)}$ as sheaves on $Z' \times \mathbb{A}^1$. Consider the long exact sequence associated to the above short exact sequence,

$$\begin{aligned} H^{n-1}(X' \times \mathbb{A}^1, j_* j^* F) \to H^{n-1}(Z' \times \mathbb{A}^1, F_{(-W)}) \to H^n(X' \times \mathbb{A}^1, F) \\ \to H^n(X' \times \mathbb{A}^1, j_* j^* F) \to H^n(Z' \times \mathbb{A}^1, F_{(-W)}). \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to show that $H^{n-1}(X'\times\mathbb{A}^1, j_* j^* F) \to H^{n-1}(Z'\times\mathbb{A}^1, F_{(-W)})$ is onto. For $n > 1$ we have that $H^{n-1}(Z' \times \mathbb{A}^1, F_{(-W)}) = H^{n-1}(Z', F_{(-W)}) = 0$, by homotopy invariance of $F_{(-W)}$, the induction hypothesis and that Z' is a semilocal Hensel G-scheme with one orbit. It remains to consider $n = 1$ and show that

$$F(U' \times \mathbb{A}^1) = H^0(X' \times \mathbb{A}^1, j_* j^* F) \to H^0(Z' \times \mathbb{A}^1, F_{(-W)})$$

is surjective. By homotopy invariance of F and $F_{(-W)}$ this map is identified with the map $F(U') \to F_{(-W)}(Z')$. By Theorem 9.11 and (9.7) we have a surjection

$$j_* j^* F \to i_*(F_{(-W)})_{GNis} \to 0$$

which shows that $F(U') \to F_{(-W)}(Z')$ is surjective because X' is local. We conclude that τ is injective and the proof of the theorem is complete. □

9.3. **Applications of homotopy invariance.** Our first application of the above work is that equivariant Zariski and equivariant Nisnevich cohomology agree. As before, G is abelian and k is assumed to be algebraically closed or $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$ and k is perfect.

Theorem 9.17. *Let F be a homotopy invariant, equivariant Nisnevich sheaf with equivariant transfers on $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$. Then for any smooth G-scheme X we have an isomorphism*

$$H^n_{GZar}(X, F) \cong H^n_{GNis}(X, F).$$

Proof. The Leray spectral sequence for $X_{GZar} \to X_{GNis}$ shows that it is enough to show that $H^n_{GNis}(S, F) = 0$ where $S = \mathcal{O}_{X,Gx}$ is the semilocal ring at an orbit. By Theorem 9.13 and Corollary 8.15 it suffices to show that $H^n_{GNis}(J, F) = 0$ for any zero dimensional G-scheme over k. But this follows from Corollary 3.10. $\square$

Below we write $H^n(-, F)$ for cohomology in either the equivariant Zariski or equivariant Nisnevich topology with coefficients in F_{GNis}.

Theorem 9.18. *Let F be a homotopy invariant presheaf with equivariant transfers on $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$. Let S be a smooth semilocal G-scheme over k. Let W be a one-dimensional representation and $T \subseteq W \subseteq \mathbb{P}(W \oplus 1)$ an invariant affine open. Then $H^n(S \times T, F) = 0$ for any $n > 0$. In particular, $H^n(S, F) = 0$ for $n > 0$.*

Proof. By Theorem 9.13 $H^n(-, F)$ is a homotopy invariant presheaf with equivariant transfers, in particular the second statement follows from the first (take $T = W$). By Corollary 8.15 it suffices to show that $H^n(J \times T, F) = 0$ for any equivariantly irreducible zero dimensional G-scheme J over k. This is Theorem 8.24.

$\square$

Theorem 9.19. *Let F be a homotopy invariant sheaf with equivariant transfers on $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$. Let W be a one-dimensional representation and X a smooth affine G-scheme over k. Then*

$$H^n(X \times \mathbb{A}(W) - 0, F) \cong H^n(X, F) \oplus H^n(X, F_{(-W)}).$$

Proof. Write $\pi : X \times \mathbb{A}(W) - 0 \to X$ for the projection. By Theorem 9.18, $H^q(S \times \mathbb{A}(W) - 0, F) = 0$ for any smooth semilocal G-scheme S and any $q > 0$. Therefore the Leray spectral sequence degenerates since $R^q\pi_*F = 0$ for $q > 0$. We therefore have $H^n(X \times \mathbb{A}(W) - 0, F) \cong H^n(X, \pi_*F)$. But by Proposition 9.4 we have a decomposition $\pi_*F = F \oplus F_{(-W)}$ of sheaves on X and so we are done. $\square$

9.4. **The group $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$.** We finish this section with a construction for the case $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$ which will be useful for the Cancellation Theorem later. Write $\mathbb{G}_m^\sigma$ for $\mathbb{G}_m$ with $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action given by $x \mapsto x^{-1}$. Note that $\mathbb{G}_m^\sigma$ is not an invariant open in any representation.

Define $F_{(-\sigma)}$ to be the presheaf given by

$$F_{(-\sigma)}(X) = \mathrm{coker}(F(X) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} F(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^\sigma))$$

where $\pi : \mathbb{G}_m^\sigma \to \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ is the structure map. Note that inclusion at $\{1\}$ yields an equivariant section $i_1 : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathbb{G}_m^\sigma$. We thus have

$$F(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^\sigma) = F(X) \oplus F_{(-\sigma)}(X).$$

In particular if F is a presheaf with equivariant transfers, homotopy invariant, or a sheaf then so if F.

Write σ for the sign representation. Then $\mathbb{P}(\sigma \oplus 1)$ is $\mathbb{P}^1$ with the action $[a : b] \mapsto [-a : b]$ and $\mathbb{G}_m^\sigma$ embeds into $\mathbb{P}(\sigma \oplus 1)$ as a closed invariant subscheme (but is not contained in $\mathbb{A}(\sigma)$).

Lemma 9.20. *Let X_∞ be the complement of $\mathbb{G}_m^\sigma \subseteq \mathbb{P}(\sigma \oplus 1)$ and Z a finite, invariant set of closed points, disjoint from X_∞. The triple $(\mathbb{P}(\sigma \oplus 1), X_\infty, Z)$ is split over any invariant open subscheme $U \subseteq \mathbb{G}_m^\sigma$.*

Proof. The argument is a simpler version of the argument given in Lemma 8.10. The key point is that $\mathrm{Pic}((\mathbb{G}_m^\sigma \times \mathbb{G}_m^\sigma)/\mathbb{Z}/2) = 0$ by [Mag80, Corollary 12]. $\square$

Theorem 9.21. *Let F be a homotopy invariant presheaf, S be a smooth semilocal $\mathbb{Z}/2$-scheme over k, and $U \subseteq \mathbb{G}^\sigma$ an invariant open subscheme. Then*

$$H^i(S \times U, F_{GNis}) = \begin{cases} F(U) & i = 0 \\ 0 & i > 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. As $H^i(-, F_{GNis})$ are homotopy invariant presheaves with equivariant transfers, it suffices by Corollary 8.15 to treat the case when S is a zero dimensional smooth $\mathbb{Z}/2$-scheme. This case follows exactly as in the argument for Theorem 8.24, replacing the use of Lemma 8.10 with Lemma 9.20. $\square$

Proposition 9.22. *Let F be a homotopy invariant presheaf with equivariant transfers on $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$. Then*

$$(F_{GNis})_{(-\sigma)} = (F_{(-\sigma)})_{GNis}$$

for any smooth semilocal G-scheme S.

Proof. The argument is the same as in Proposition 9.5. $\square$

Theorem 9.23. *Let F be a homotopy invariant sheaf with equivariant transfers on $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$. Then*

$$H^n(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^\sigma, F) \cong H^n(X, F) \oplus H^n(X, F_{(-\sigma)}).$$

Proof. Write $\pi : X \times \mathbb{G}_m^\sigma \to X$ for the projection. By Theorem 9.21, $H^q(S \times \mathbb{G}_m^\sigma, F) = 0$ for any smooth semilocal G-scheme S over k and $q > 0$. Therefore $R^q\pi_*F = 0$ for $q > 0$ and so the Leray spectral sequence degenerates yielding $H^n(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^\sigma, F) \cong H^n(X, \pi_*F)$. Since $\pi_*F = F \oplus F_{(-\sigma)}$ we are done. $\square$

10. Cancellation Theorem

In this section we apply the machinery developed in the previous sections in order to establish a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-equivariant version of Voevodsky's Cancellation Theorem. The argument given here is an equivariant modification of Voevodsky's argument in [Voe10a].

Suppose that (Z, z) is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-variety with invariant basepoint. Write $e : Z \to Z$ for the equivariant idempotent defined to be the composition $Z \to z \to Z$.

Definition 10.1. Let (Z, z) and e be as above. For $\mathbb{Z}/2$-schemes X, Y define

$$\mathrm{Cor}_k(X \wedge Z, Y \wedge Z) = \{\mathcal{V} \in \mathrm{Cor}_k(X \times Z, Y \times Z) \,|\, \mathcal{V} \circ (id_X \times e) = 0 = (id_Y \circ e) \circ \mathcal{V}\}.$$

Note that it inherits a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action from that on $\mathrm{Cor}_k(X \times Z, Y \times Z)$.

This construction applies in particular to the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-varieties $(\mathbb{G}_m, 1)$ and $(\mathbb{G}_m^\sigma, 1)$, where $\mathbb{G}_m$ is considered with trivial action and $\mathbb{G}_m^\sigma$ has action given by $x \mapsto 1/x$.

Write f_i, $i = 1, 2$ for the projection $f_i : X \times \mathbb{G}_m \times Y \times \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathbb{G}_m$ to the ith copy of $\mathbb{G}_m$. Similarly write $f_i^\sigma : X \times \mathbb{G}_m^\sigma \times Y \times \mathbb{G}_m^\sigma \to \mathbb{G}_m$ to the ith copy of $\mathbb{G}_m$ (considered with trivial action). Define the rational functions g_n and g_n^σ by

$$g_n = \frac{f_1^{n+1} - 1}{f_1^{n+1} - f_2} \quad \text{and} \quad g_n^\sigma = \frac{(f^\sigma)_1^{n+1} - 1}{(f^\sigma)_1^{n+1} - (f^\sigma)_2}.$$

We consider the associated divisors $D_n := D(g_n)$ and $D_n^\sigma := D(g_n^\sigma)$. Of course these are exactly the same divisor nonequivariantly, the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-actions are different. Observe that both D_n and D_n^σ are invariant divisors.

Notation 10.2. We write $\mathbb{G}$ to refer to one of $\mathbb{G}_m$ or $\mathbb{G}_m^\sigma$ and g_n will correspondingly refer to either g_n and g_n^σ.

Lemma 10.3 ([Voe10a, Lemma 4.1])**.** *For any $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathrm{Cor}_k(X \times \mathbb{G}, Y \times \mathbb{G})$ there exists an N such that for all $n \geq N$ the divisor D_n of g_n intersects $\mathcal{Z}$ properly over X.*

For $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathrm{Cor}_k(X \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ the intersection $\mathcal{Z} \cdot D_n$ is an equidimensional relative cycle for n large enough. Define $\rho_n(\mathcal{Z}) \in \mathrm{Cor}_k(X, Y)$ to be the projection to $X \times Y$ of this intersection. Observe that $g\rho_n(\mathcal{Z}) = \rho_n(g\mathcal{Z})$ for $g \in \mathbb{Z}/2$. This is well defined up to equivariant $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy.

Lemma 10.4 ([Voe10a, Lemmas 4.3, 4.4, 4.5])**.**

(1) *For $\mathcal{W} \in \mathrm{Cor}_k(X, Y)$ and $n \geq 1$ we have $\rho_n(\mathcal{W} \times id_{\mathbb{G}}) = \mathcal{W}$.*

(2) *Let e denote the composition $\mathbb{G} \to \{1\} \to \mathbb{G}$. Then $\rho_n(id_X \otimes e) = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$ and all $g \in G$.*

(3) *Let $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathrm{Cor}_k(X \times \mathbb{G}, Y \times \mathbb{G})$ such that $\rho_n\mathcal{Z}$ is defined. Let $\mathcal{W} \in c(X', X)$ be arbitrary. Then $\rho_n(\mathcal{Z} \circ (\mathcal{W} \otimes id_{\mathbb{G}})$ is defined and*
$$\rho_n(\mathcal{Z} \circ (\mathcal{W} \otimes id_{\mathbb{G}}) = \rho_n(\mathcal{Z}) \circ \mathcal{W},$$
where $\circ$ denotes composition of correspondences.

(4) *Let $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathrm{Cor}_k(X \times \mathbb{G}, Y \times \mathbb{G})$ be such that $\rho_n\mathcal{Z}$ is defined. Let $f : X' \to Y'$ be a morphism of schemes. Then $\rho_n(\mathcal{Z} \times f)$ is defined and*
$$\rho_n(\mathcal{Z} \otimes f) = \rho_n(\mathcal{Z}) \otimes f.$$

Write $\mathcal{I} \in \mathrm{Cor}_k(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{G})^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ for the finite correspondence given by $\mathcal{I} = id_{\mathbb{G}} - e$.

Proposition 10.5. *There is a homotopy $H \in \mathrm{Cor}_k(\mathbb{G} \wedge \mathbb{G} \wedge \mathbb{A}^1, \mathbb{G} \wedge \mathbb{G})^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ such that $H_0 - H_1 = \tau - id_{\mathbb{G}\wedge\mathbb{G}}$, where τ is the endomorphism of $\mathbb{G} \wedge \mathbb{G}$ which switches the factors.*

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}_m^\sigma$. We follow the argument of [BV08, Proposition 3.2], which is the case $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}_m$.

Write $p : \mathbb{G}_m^\sigma \times \mathbb{G}_m^\sigma \to Sym^2\mathbb{G}_m^\sigma$. Write p^t for its transpose. Then $p^tp \in \mathrm{Cor}_k(\mathbb{G}_m^\sigma \times \mathbb{G}_m^\sigma, \mathbb{G}_m^\sigma \times \mathbb{G}_m^\sigma)$ is equal to $id + \tau$. Write $\alpha : \mathbb{G}_m^\sigma \times \mathbb{G}_m^\sigma \to \mathbb{G}_m^\sigma \times \mathbb{G}_m^\sigma$ for the map defined by $(x, y) \mapsto (xy, 1)$.

Define the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-scheme D to have underlying scheme $D = \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{A}^1$ and the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action is specified by letting the nontrivial element act by $(x, y) \mapsto (x^{-1}, x/y)$. The map $\mathbb{G}_m^\sigma \times \mathbb{G}_m^\sigma \to D$, $(x, y) \mapsto (xy, x + y)$ is an equivariant isomorphism. Therefore we have an equivariant $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy between the correspondences p and $p\alpha$. Explicitly, we have an equivariant homotopy $H : D \times \mathbb{A}^1 \to D$, given by $(x, y, t) \mapsto (x, t(1 + x) + (1 - t)y)$ which induces the desired homotopy.

We therefore have that $id + \tau = p^tp \simeq p^tp\alpha = \alpha + \tau\alpha$. Now $\alpha + \tau\alpha$ takes values in $1 \times \mathbb{G}_m^\sigma \cup \mathbb{G}_m^\sigma \times 1$ and therefore $id = \tau$ in $\mathbb{Z}/2\,\mathrm{Cor}_k(\mathbb{G}_m^\sigma \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^\sigma, \mathbb{G}_m^\sigma \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^\sigma)/\sim_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ □

For $\mathcal{W} \in c(X \wedge \mathbb{G}, Y \wedge \mathbb{G})$ define $\mathcal{W} \otimes^{(\tau)} \mathcal{I} \in c(X \wedge \mathbb{G} \wedge \mathbb{G}, Y \wedge \mathbb{G} \wedge \mathbb{G})$ by $\mathcal{W} \otimes^{(\tau)} \mathcal{I} = (id_Y \otimes \tau) \circ (\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{I}) \circ (id_X \times \tau)$.

Lemma 10.6. *Let* $\mathcal{W} \in \mathrm{Cor}_k(X \wedge \mathbb{G}, Y \wedge \mathbb{G})^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$. *There is an equivariant homotopy*

$$\phi = \phi_{\mathcal{W}} \in \mathrm{Cor}_k(X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \wedge \mathbb{G} \wedge \mathbb{G}, Y \wedge \mathbb{G} \wedge \mathbb{G})^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$$

such that $\phi_0 - \phi_1 = \mathcal{W} \otimes^{(\tau)} \mathcal{I} - \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{I}$.

Proof. Let $H \in \mathrm{Cor}_k(\mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{A}^1, \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G})^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ be the homotopy as in the previous proposition. We proceed as in [Sus03, Lemma 4.70]. Let $\phi = \phi_{\mathcal{W}}$ be defined by

$$\begin{gathered} \phi = (id_Y \otimes H) \circ [(\pm(\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{I}) \otimes id_{\mathbb{A}^1}] + \\ +(id_Y \otimes \tau) \circ (\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{I}) \circ (id_X \otimes H). \end{gathered}$$

If $\mathcal{W}$ is invariant then ϕ is also invariant. □

Given a presheaf of abelian groups on Sch/k denote C_nF for the presheaf $X \mapsto F(X \times \Delta^n)$.

Theorem 10.7. *Let G be a finite group. Let X, Y be smooth G-varieties. The homomorphism of simplicial abelian groups*

$$C_* \mathrm{Cor}_k(X, Y) \to C_* \mathrm{Cor}_k(X \wedge \mathbb{G}, Y \wedge \mathbb{G})$$

given by $\mathcal{Z} \mapsto \mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{I}$ *is an equivariant homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. We need to show that

$$C_* \mathrm{Cor}_k(X, Y)^{\mathbb{Z}/2} \to C_* \mathrm{Cor}_k(X \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^{\sigma}, Y \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^{\sigma})^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$$

is a weak equivalence of simplicial abelian groups. To show this we show that the induced map of normalized chain complexes is a quasi-isomorphism.

First we show that this map is injective on homology groups. Suppose that $\mathcal{W} \in \mathrm{Cor}_k(X \times \Delta^n, Y)^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ is a cycle such that $\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{I}$ is a boundary. Then there is $\mathcal{V} \in \mathrm{Cor}_k((X \times \Delta^{n+1}) \wedge \mathbb{G}, Y \wedge \mathbb{G})^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ and $\partial_{n+1}(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{I}$ and $\partial_i(\mathcal{W}) = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. By Lemma 10.3 there is N such that $\rho_N(\mathcal{V})$ is defined. By Lemma 10.4 we have that $\rho_N(\partial_i \mathcal{W})$ is defined as well. Moreover by Lemma 10.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_i(\rho_N(\mathcal{V})) = \rho_N(\partial_i(\mathcal{V})) = 0 \quad 0 \leq i \leq n \\ &\partial_{n+1}(\rho_N(\mathcal{V})) = \rho_N(\partial_{n+1}(\mathcal{V})) = \rho_N(\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{W}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathcal{W}$ is itself a boundary and so the map on homology is an injection.

Now we show that the map on homology is surjective. Let $\mathcal{V} \in C_n\mathbb{Z}/2\,\mathrm{Cor}_k(X \wedge \mathbb{G}, Y \wedge \mathbb{G})$ be a cycle (i.e., $\mathcal{V} \in \mathrm{Cor}_k((X \times \Delta^n) \wedge \mathbb{G}, Y \wedge \mathbb{G})^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ satisfies $\partial_i(\mathcal{V}) = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$). Consider the homotopy $\phi = \phi_{\mathcal{V}}$ from Lemma 10.6 which satisfies

$$\phi_0 - \phi_1 = \mathcal{V} \otimes^{(\tau)} \mathcal{I} - \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{I}.$$

Applying ρ_N (with respect to the second factor of $\mathbb{G}$) and using Lemma 10.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho_N(\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{V} \\ &\rho_N(\mathcal{V} \otimes^{(\tau)} \mathcal{I}) = \rho_N(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{I}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\psi_N = \rho_N(\phi)$. We have

$$(\psi_N)_0 - (\psi_N)_1 = \rho_N(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{I} - \mathcal{V}$$

and so $\partial_i(\psi_N) = \rho_N(\partial_i \phi_{\mathcal{V}}) = 0$ (because $\partial_i \phi_{\mathcal{V}} = \phi_{\partial_i \mathcal{V}} = 0$). Thus $\psi_N \in C_* \mathrm{Cor}_k(X \wedge \mathbb{G}, Y \wedge \mathbb{G})^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ is a cycle. The two restrictions

$$C_* \mathrm{Cor}_k(X \wedge \mathbb{G} \wedge \mathbb{A}^1, Y \wedge \mathbb{G})^{\mathbb{Z}/2} \to C_* \mathrm{Cor}_k(X \wedge \mathbb{G}, Y \wedge \mathbb{G})^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$$

induced by $0 \in \mathbb{A}^1$ and $1 \in \mathbb{A}^1$ induce the same map in homology and so $(\psi_N)_0 - (\psi_N)_1 = \rho_N(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{I} - \mathcal{V}$ is a boundary in $C_*\mathbb{Z}/2\,\mathrm{Cor}_k(X \wedge \mathbb{G}, Y \wedge \mathbb{G})$. □

Finally we have the following. Let F be a homotopy invariant sheaf with equivariant transfers. We write $H^n(X, F)$ for hypercohomology in either the equivariant Zariski or equivariant Nisnevich topologies, see 9.17.

Theorem 10.8. *Let X be a smooth $\mathbb{Z}/2$-scheme. Then*

$$H^n(X, C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y)) = H^n_G(X \wedge \mathbb{G}, C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y \wedge \mathbb{G})).$$

Proof. The argument is formally the same as in the nonequivariant case given all of the machinery developed in the previous sections. For convenience we give some details, focusing on the case of $\mathbb{G}^\sigma_m$. Consider the projection $\pi : X \times \mathbb{G}^\sigma_m \to X$. We first consider the Leray spectral sequence (which is convergent as X has bounded cohomological dimension)

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(X, R^q\pi_*C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y \wedge \mathbb{G}^\sigma_m)) \Longrightarrow H^{p+q}(X \times \mathbb{G}^\sigma_m, C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y \wedge \mathbb{G}^\sigma_m)).$$

Write $\mathcal{H}^q$ for the qth cohomology presheaf of the complex $C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y \wedge \mathbb{G}^\sigma_m)$ and write $H^q = \mathcal{H}^q$ for its sheafification. To compute the complex $\mathbb{R}\pi_*C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y \wedge \mathbb{G}^\sigma_m)$ we use the hypercohomology spectral sequence,

$$E_2^{p,q} = R^p\pi_*H^q \Longrightarrow H^{p+q}(\mathbb{R}\pi_*C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y \wedge \mathbb{G}^\sigma_m)).$$

The stalks of $R^p\pi_*H^q$ are $H^p(S \times \mathbb{G}^\sigma_m, H^q)$ where $S = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,Gx})$ for some smooth G-scheme S. By Theorem 9.18 we have $R^p\pi_*H^q = H^p_{GNis}(S \times \mathbb{G}^\sigma_m, H^q) = 0$ for $p > 0$. The spectral sequence thus degenerates and we have

$$H^q(\mathbb{R}\pi_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y \wedge \mathbb{G}^\sigma_m)) = \pi_*H^q.$$

The stalks of π_*H^q are $H^0_{GNis}(S \times \mathbb{G}^\sigma_m, H^q)$ which split into the direct sum

$$H^0_{GNis}(S \times \mathbb{G}^\sigma_m, H^q) = H^q(S) \oplus H^q_{(-1)}(S).$$

By Proposition 9.22 we have that $(\mathcal{H}^q_{GNis})_{(-1)} = (\mathcal{H}^q_{(-1)})_{GNis}$. Therefore we have

$$H^q(\mathbb{R}\pi_*C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y \wedge \mathbb{G}^\sigma_m)) = H^q(C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y \wedge \mathbb{G}^\sigma_m)) \oplus H^q(C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y)).$$

Thus $C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y \wedge \mathbb{G}^\sigma_m) \oplus C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y) \to \mathbb{R}\pi_*C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y \wedge \mathbb{G}^\sigma_m)$ is a quasi-isomorphism and therefore

$$H^*(X \times \mathbb{G}^\sigma_m, C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y \wedge \mathbb{G}^\sigma_m)) = H^*(X, C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y \wedge \mathbb{G}^\sigma_m)) \oplus H^*(X, C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y)),$$

as required. □

We finish by relating the complexes $C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{G}^\sigma_m)$ to the ones introduced in Section 5. After a change of coordinates $\mathbb{P}(\sigma \oplus 1)$ can be viewed as $\mathbb{P}^1$ with the action $[x : y] \mapsto [y : x]$ and $\mathbb{G}^\sigma_m$ becomes identified with $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{[0 : 1], [1 : 0]\}$. Consider the Cartesian square in $G\mathrm{Sm}/k$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{G}^\sigma_m \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \arrow[d, "\phi"] \\
\mathbb{G}^\sigma_m \arrow[r] & \mathbb{P}^1.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The action on $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ is given by switching the factors and the map ϕ sends (x, e) to $[x : 1]$ and (x, σ) to $[1 : x]$. Note that $\phi^{-1}(\{[0 : 1], [1 : 0]\}) \cong \{[0 : 1], [1 : 0]\}$

is an equivariant isomorphism. In particular, the above square is an equivariant distinguished square.

Recall that we write S^σ for the topological representation sphere associated to the sign representation. We have $\mathbb{Z}_{top}(S^\sigma) = \mathrm{cone}(\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{Z}/2) \to \mathbb{Z})$, where $\mathbb{Z}_{top}(S^\sigma)$ is as in Example 5.9. From the square above, we have a quasi-isomorphism

$$(C_*\big(\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{G}_m^\sigma)/\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{top}(S^\sigma)\big) \simeq C_*\big(\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{P}(\sigma \oplus 1))/\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{P}(\sigma))\big).$$

Write $\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(T^V) = \mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{P}(V \oplus 1))/\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{P}(V))$ and similarly for expressions such as $\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(X \wedge T^V)$.

Theorem 10.9. *Let X be a smooth $\mathbb{Z}/2$-scheme and V a representation. Then*

$$H^n(X, C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y)) \cong H^n_G(X \wedge T^V, C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y \wedge T^V)).$$

Proof. It is enough to treat the case of a one dimensional representation. Using Theorem 4.13 and a standard spectral sequence argument, one sees that the displayed map of hypercohomology groups can be computed as

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Ext}^n(C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(X), C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y))\\ &\qquad \to \mathrm{Ext}^n(C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(X) \otimes_{tr} C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(T^V), C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(Y) \otimes_{tr} C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(T^V)),\end{aligned}$$

where Ext is computed in $D^-(G\,\mathrm{Cor}_k)$. If V is a trivial representation then we have $C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(T^V) \simeq C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{G}_m)/\mathbb{Z})[1]$ and if V is the sign representation then we have $C_*\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(T^V) \simeq C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr,G}(\mathbb{G}_m^\sigma)/\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{tr} \mathbb{Z}_{top}(S^\sigma)$. In either case, as both shift and $\mathbb{Z}_{top}(S^\sigma)$ are invertible (see Lemma 5.10), the theorem follows from Theorem 10.8. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

It is our pleasure to thank Eric Friedlander for many helpful discussions which have greatly influenced this paper. We also thank David Gepner, Philip Herrman, Amalendu Krishna, Kyle Ormsby, and Ben Williams for useful conversations regarding material in Section 3. The first author thanks the University of Oslo and the IPMU for their hospitality during the preparation of this work. The third author would like to thank the MIT Mathematics Department for its hospitality.

REFERENCES

[BR85] P. Bardsley and R. W. Richardson, *Étale slices for algebraic transformation groups in characteristic p*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **51** (1985), no. 2, 295–317. MR 794118 (86m:14034)

[BV08] A. Beilinson and V. Vologodsky, *A DG guide to Voevodsky's motives*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **17** (2008), no. 6, 1709–1787. MR 2399083 (2009d:14018)

[dS03] P. F. dos Santos, *A note on the equivariant Dold-Thom theorem*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **183** (2003), no. 1-3, 299–312. MR 1992051 (2004b:55021)

[EG98] D. Edidin and W. Graham, *Equivariant intersection theory*, Invent. Math. **131** (1998), no. 3, 595–634. MR 1614555 (99j:14003a)

[EG00] ———, *Riemann-Roch for equivariant Chow groups*, Duke Math. J. **102** (2000), no. 3, 567–594. MR 1756110 (2001f:14018)

[EG08] ———, *Algebraic cycles and completions of equivariant K-theory*, Duke Math. J. **144** (2008), no. 3, 489–524. MR 2444304 (2009i:14009)

[Gab92] O. Gabber, *K-theory of Henselian local rings and Henselian pairs*, Algebraic K-theory, commutative algebra, and algebraic geometry (Santa Margherita Ligure, 1989), Contemp. Math., vol. 126, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992, pp. 59–70. MR 1156502 (93c:19005)

[Gab94] ———, *Affine analog of the proper base change theorem*, Israel J. Math. **87** (1994), no. 1-3, 325–335. MR 1286833 (95f:14035)

[Gro67] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas IV*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1967), no. 32, 361. MR 0238860 (39 #220)

[GT84] H. A. Gillet and R. W. Thomason, *The K-theory of strict Hensel local rings and a theorem of Suslin*, Proceedings of the Luminy conference on algebraic K-theory (Luminy, 1983), vol. 34, 1984, pp. 241–254. MR 772059 (86e:18014)

[Har77] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. MR 0463157 (57 #3116)

[Her] P. Herrmann, *Equivariant motivic homotopy theory*, Ph. D-Thesis, Universität Osnabrück, 2012.

[HKO11] P. Hu, I. Kriz, and K. Ormsby, *The homotopy limit problem for Hermitian K-theory, equivariant motivic homotopy theory and motivic Real cobordism*, Adv. Math. **228** (2011), no. 1, 434–480. MR 2822236

[Jos07] R. Joshua, *Bredon-style homology, cohomology and Riemann-Roch for algebraic stacks*, Adv. Math. **209** (2007), no. 1, 1–68. MR 2294217 (2008i:14016)

[Kan79] M. C. Kang, *Picard groups of some rings of invariants*, J. Algebra **58** (1979), no. 2, 455–461. MR 540650 (82m:14004)

[KØ12] A. Krishna and P. A. Østvær, *Nisnevich descent for K-theory of Deligne-Mumford stacks*, J. K-Theory **9** (2012), no. 2, 291–331. MR 2922391

[Kri10] A. Krishna, *Gersten conjecture for equivariant K-theory and applications*, Math. Ann. **347** (2010), no. 1, 123–133. MR 2593287 (2011a:19001)

[LLFM96] H. B. Lawson, Jr., P. Lima-Filho, and M.-L. Michelsohn, *Algebraic cycles and equivariant cohomology theories*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **73** (1996), no. 3, 679–720. MR 1407465 (97i:55022)

[LS08] M. Levine and C. Serpé, *On a spectral sequence for equivariant K-theory*, K-Theory **38** (2008), no. 2, 177–222. MR 2366561 (2009f:19006)

[Lun73] D. Luna, *Slices étales*, Sur les groupes algébriques, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1973, pp. 81–105. Bull. Soc. Math. France, Paris, Mémoire 33. MR 0342523 (49 #7269)

[Mag80] A. R. Magid, *Picard groups of rings of invariants*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **17** (1980), no. 3, 305–311. MR 579090 (81g:14004)

[MFK94] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, and F. Kirwan, *Geometric invariant theory*, third ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (2) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (2)], vol. 34, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994. MR MR1304906 (95m:14012)

[MV99] F. Morel and V. Voevodsky, *$\mathbf{A}^1$-homotopy theory of schemes*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1999), no. 90, 45–143 (2001). MR 1813224 (2002f:14029)

[MVW06] C. Mazza, V. Voevodsky, and C. Weibel, *Lecture notes on motivic cohomology*, Clay Mathematics Monographs, vol. 2, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006. MR 2242284 (2007e:14035)

[Nie08] Z. Nie, *Karoubi's construction for motivic cohomology operations*, Amer. J. Math. **130** (2008), no. 3, 713–762. MR 2418926 (2009d:14019)

[Ray70] M. Raynaud, *Anneaux locaux henséliens*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 169, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970. MR 0277519 (43 #3252)

[Sus83] A. Suslin, *On the K-theory of algebraically closed fields*, Invent. Math. **73** (1983), no. 2, 241–245. MR 714090 (85h:18008a)

[Sus03] ———, *On the Grayson spectral sequence*, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova **241** (2003), no. Teor. Chisel, Algebra i Algebr. Geom., 218–253. MR 2024054 (2005g:14043)

[SV96] A. Suslin and V. Voevodsky, *Singular homology of abstract algebraic varieties*, Invent. Math. **123** (1996), no. 1, 61–94. MR 1376246 (97e:14030)

[Tot99] B. Totaro, *The Chow ring of a classifying space*, Algebraic K-theory (Seattle, WA, 1997), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 67, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 249–281. MR 1743244 (2001f:14011)

[Voe00] V. Voevodsky, *Cohomological theory of presheaves with transfers*, Cycles, transfers, and motivic homology theories, Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. 143, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000, pp. 87–137. MR 1764200

[Voe03] ———, *Motivic cohomology with $\mathbf{Z}/2$-coefficients*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. (2003), no. 98, 59–104. MR 2031199 (2005b:14038b)

[Voe10a] ______, *Cancellation theorem*, Doc. Math. (2010), no. Extra volume: Andrei A. Suslin sixtieth birthday, 671–685. MR 2804268 (2012d:14035)

[Voe10b] ______, *Homotopy theory of simplicial sheaves in completely decomposable topologies*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **214** (2010), no. 8, 1384–1398. MR 2593670 (2011a:55022)

[Voe10c] ______, *Unstable motivic homotopy categories in Nisnevich and cdh-topologies*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **214** (2010), no. 8, 1399–1406. MR 2593671 (2011e:14041)

[VSF00] V. Voevodsky, A. Suslin, and E. M. Friedlander, *Cycles, transfers, and motivic homology theories*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 143, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000. MR 1764197 (2001d:14026)

[Wei94] C. A. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 38, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. MR 1269324 (95f:18001)

[YØ09] S. Yagunov and P. A. Østvær, *Rigidity for equivariant K-theory*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **347** (2009), no. 23-24, 1403–1407. MR 2588790 (2010k:19010)

E-mail address: `heller@math.uni-wuppertal.de`

Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Gaussstr. 20, D-42119 Wuppertal, Germany

E-mail address: `m.voineagu@unsw.edu`

UNSW Sydney, NSW 2052 Australia

E-mail address: `paularne@math.uio.no`

Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo, Norway.